

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Cc: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 12:01:58 +0000

Importance: Normal

Can someone send me the letter?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2018, at 8:00 AM, Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Raj, This will probably make news today. The substance of the letter says almost nothing; it just calls for an investigation that is already happening. I don't expect these clerks to say more publicly. I suppose it also underscores that Judge Kavanaugh hires clerks from across the partisan spectrum and encourages them to speak their mind.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Chris Michel (b)(6)
Date: October 2, 2018 at 7:56:41 AM EDT
To: (b)(6) Christopher Michel EOP/WHO
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)
Date: October 2, 2018 at 7:40:25 AM EDT
To: Chris Michel (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Might be worth rallying a letter saying that folks stand by their boss. Assuming you can get a big group to sign such a letter.

From: Chris Michel (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 7:39 AM
To: Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Thanks. Infuriating. (The substance says very little but of course it will not be reported that way.)

On Oct 2, 2018, at 7:26 AM, Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) wrote:

Best,
Andrew

----- Original message -----

From: "Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)
Date: 10/2/18 6:33 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6), "Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6), "Foy, Taylor (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)
Subject: Fwd: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Ugh

----- Original message -----

From: Bridget Fahey (b)(6)
Date: 10/1/18 11:34 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6), "Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)" (b)(6)
Subject: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Please find a letter from three of Judge Kavanaugh's former law clerks attached.

Best,
Bridget

<Letter - October 1.pdf>

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Bacon, Antoinette T. (ODAG)" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: Docs

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 21:40:31 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: CC_docs_for_prep_-_not_for_distribution.zip

-----Original Message-----

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 7:56 AM

To: Tyson, Jill C. (DO) (OGA) (FBI) (b)(7)(E)

Subject: FW: Docs

-----Original Message-----

From: Champoux, Mark (OLP)

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:13 PM

To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6);

Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer

(OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)

(b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Docs

Here is a set of CC docs -- not appropriate for outside distribution -- that are (b)(5).

(WH folks, in light of file size, let me know if this comes through).

MC

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Champoux, Mark (OLP)

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:09 PM

To: 'Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO' (b)(6)

Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6);

Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer

(OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)

(b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Docs

Here is a zip file with the most relevant publicly-produced docs (b)(5) I think). I will follow-up with a set of CC docs that (b)(5).

MC

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 9:40 PM
To: Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6)
Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6);
Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer
(OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)
(b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Docs

Awesome. Many thanks! The email will probably not go out to mooters until tomorrow morning, so no time pressure to get them tonight on my end.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Sep 19, 2018, at 9:36 PM, Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

>
> It's (b)(5), but just a handful that are of particular interest/potential. Many are committee confidential. I'll try to pull together the set of public docs and circulate so you have them for distribution.

>
>> On Sep 19, 2018, at 9:28 PM, Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

>>
>> Thanks! About how many are there? And about how many are public (rather than committee confidential) such that they can be sent to Friday moot participants?

>>
>> Sent from my iPhone

>>
>>> On Sep 19, 2018, at 9:21 PM, Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

>>>
>>> Sorry — this is my communication failure. Mark mentioned earlier this evening that they are available on an OLP share drive. We will have access through Brett.

>>>
>>> Sent from my iPhone

>>>
>>>> On Sep 19, 2018, at 9:16 PM, Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

>>>>
>>>> Hi all!

>>>>
>>>> I'm not sure who's running point on this, but do we have an ETA on getting the (b)(5) documents Megan asked for a couple of days ago? We have a prep session with him tomorrow at 10, and he will likely have a moot Friday morning. Any sense of whether the packet will be available before the 10 am tomorrow or in time for the Friday mooters to prep with?

>>>>
>>>> Thanks! Sorry to be a pain! And, thanks to Jeff for sending (b)(5) this afternoon. We'd obviously like to (b)(5).

>>>>
>>>> Claire

>>>>
>>>> Sent from my iPhone

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Bacon, Antoinette T. (ODAG)" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: Docs

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 21:39:59 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Public_Docs_for_Moot.zip

-----Original Message-----

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 7:56 AM

To: Tyson, Jill C. (DO) (OGA) (FBI) (b)(7)(E)

Subject: FW: Docs

Duplicative Material



From: "Tyson, Jill C. (DO) (OGA)" (b)(7)(E)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP) (JMD)" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Docs

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 12:08:58 +0000

Importance: Normal

Received.

-----Original Message-----

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 7:56 AM

To: Tyson, Jill C. (DO) (OGA) (b)(7)(E)

Subject: FW: Docs

Duplicative Material



From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Tyson, Jill C. (DO) (OGA) (FBI)" (b)(7)(E)

Subject: FW: Docs

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 11:56:14 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: CC_docs_for_prep_-_not_for_distribution.zip

-----Original Message-----

From: Champoux, Mark (OLP)

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:13 PM

To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6);

Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer

(OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)

(b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Docs

Duplicative Material

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)
To: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Cc: "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Wong, Candice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP)" (b)(6), "LaCour, Alice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Docs

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 11:00:02 -0000

Importance: Normal

I have them

Sent from my iPhone

> On Sep 19, 2018, at 10:16 PM, Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:
>
> Ugh -- neither set is coming through for me, unfortunately. Brett, do they come through on your DOJ account, such that you could easily print in the morning? Or, Mark, do you think you could split in two?
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6)
> Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:09 PM
> To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
> Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
> Subject: RE: Docs

Duplicative Material

From: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" (b)(6)
Cc: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Wong, Candice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP)" (b)(6), "LaCour, Alice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Docs

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 02:27:33 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thanks so much, Mark! Really appreciate it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:26 PM
To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Docs

All -- I just separately sent Claire various emails with the attachments broken up.

Let me know if you need anything else.

MC

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:15 PM
To: Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6)
Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Docs

Duplicative Material

From: "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" (b)(6)
To: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Cc: "Wong, Candice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP)" (b)(6), "LaCour, Alice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Docs

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 01:21:21 +0000

Importance: Normal

I sent the collection to Brett this afternoon (link to where they are located on our DOJ share drive). If you need printed copies, let me know. If you want me to transmit a different way, just let me know.

And we've sent them to Jeff for incorporation in (b)(5).

> On Sep 19, 2018, at 9:18 PM, Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Duplicative Material

From: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)" (b)(6);
(b)(6); "Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP)"
(b)(6); "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6);
(b)(6) Senate Email Address; "Dickey, Jennifer B.
EOP/WHO" (b)(6); "Morrell, David M. EOP/WHO"
(b)(6); "Pickett, Bethany (OLP)"
(b)(6); "Bernie, Andrew (OLP)" (b)(6);
"Urda, Patrick (OLP)" (b)(6); "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO"
(b)(6); "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6); "Shah,
Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6); "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO"
(b)(6)

Subject: RE: Moot Materials

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 18:01:40 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: \$REV_00233872__2018PublicReproductions.pdf;
\$REV_00286919__2018PublicReproductions.pdf;
REV_00108699__2018PublicReproductions.pdf

3 of 3.

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 2:01 PM
To: 'Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)' (b)(6); (b)(6);
(b)(6); 'Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP)' (b)(6); 'Fragoso, Michael (OLP)'
(b)(6); (b)(6) Senate Email Address; Dickey, Jennifer B.
EOP/WHO (b)(6); Morrell, David M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); 'Pickett,
Bethany (OLP)' (b)(6); 'Bernie, Andrew (OLP)' (b)(6); 'Urda, Patrick
(OLP)' (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); 'Talley, Brett (OLP)'
(b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO
(b)(6)
(b)(6)
Subject: RE: Moot Materials

2 of 3.

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 2:01 PM
To: 'Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)' (b)(6); (b)(6);
(b)(6); 'Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP)' (b)(6); 'Fragoso, Michael (OLP)'
(b)(6); (b)(6) Senate Email Address; Dickey, Jennifer B.
EOP/WHO (b)(6); Morrell, David M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); 'Pickett,
Bethany (OLP)' (b)(6); 'Bernie, Andrew (OLP)' (b)(6); 'Urda, Patrick
(OLP)' (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); 'Talley, Brett (OLP)'
(b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO
(b)(6)
(b)(6)
Subject: RE: Moot Materials

1 of 3.

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 2:00 PM

To: 'Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)' (b)(6); (b)(6);
(b)(6); Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP)
(b)(6); (b)(6) Senate Email Address; Dickey, Jennifer B.
EOP/WHO (b)(6); Morrell, David M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); 'Pickett,
Bethany (OLP)' (b)(6); 'Bernie, Andrew (OLP)' (b)(6); Urda, Patrick
(OLP) (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)
(b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO
(b)(6)

Subject: Moot Materials

Potential 9/21 mooters,

Apologies for the delay in sending you the details of tomorrow's moot – we are still waiting for a final decision on the format and timing. In the meantime, I wanted to pass along some materials in case you wanted to start prepping. Attached are drafts of two speeches BK has given, as well as both his and Mark Judge's yearbook entries. After this, I'll forward some public emails that could be the subject of questioning. (I'll have to send them in several emails, because they are large.)

I'll do my best to get back to you with more concrete logistical details as soon as possible. I do not anticipate that any of us will be questioning for more than 10 minutes.

Claire

Claire McCusker Murray
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel
(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Edits

Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2018 04:53:31 +0000

Importance: Normal

I like it A LOT! And much more helpful than blah..... BRETT

Sent from my iPhone

> On Oct 4, 2018, at 12:48 AM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

>

> New line:

>

(b)(5)

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

> Sent: Thursday, October 4, 2018 12:44 AM

> To: Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO

(b)(6)

> Subject: RE: Edits

>

> Blah.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

> Sent: Thursday, October 4, 2018 12:43 AM

> To: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

> Subject: Edits

>

(b)(5)

> -verb tense should be consistent and be were not present tense

>

> I think that is it for me and Annie on edits?

>

>

>

> Sent from my iPhone

From: "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Clark,
Justin R. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Surrogate Talkers

Date: Sun, 23 Sep 2018 13:55:52 +0000

Importance: Normal

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: "Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Cc: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Surrogate talkers
Date: Sun, 23 Sep 2018 13:41:41 +0000
Importance: Normal

And to be clear, (b)(5)

Point is, (b)(5)

On Sep 23, 2018, at 9:16 AM, Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

One more point: (b)(5)

On Sep 23, 2018, at 9:12 AM, Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Raj - Call me on the Fox poll that just came out if you need talkers on it. The biggest take away are:

(b)(5)

JC

On Sep 23, 2018, at 8:55 AM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Comms people should have them too.

On Sep 23, 2018, at 8:53 AM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Justin, when you're working your magic one on one, Strassel's tweets are spot on.

On Sep 23, 2018, at 8:01 AM, Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Thanks. Kerri can you send me the final approved in a clean email? Want to send to our groups this am

On Sep 23, 2018, at 7:47 AM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Go go

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 23, 2018, at 12:32 AM, Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

[Are these approved?](#)

From: Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO
Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:10 AM
To: 'Talley, Brett (OLP)' (b)(6)
Cc: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Surrogate talkers

(b)(5)

From: Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO
Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:07 AM
To: 'Talley, Brett (OLP)' (b)(6)
Cc: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Surrogate talkers

[See below for tweaks re: both comments:](#)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)
Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2018 12:04 AM
To: Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Cc: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Surrogate talkers

I would note (b)(5). I would also note (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 22, 2018, at 11:54 PM, Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: "Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Cc: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Surrogate talkers
Date: Sun, 23 Sep 2018 13:07:09 +0000
Importance: Normal

Gotcha. Thanks.

On Sep 23, 2018, at 8:53 AM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Duplicative Material



From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 18:39:41 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: (b)(5)_v.2.docx

From: Pickett, Bethany (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:37 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Yes, please see the attached. Please let me know if you need anything else on this. Thanks!

Bethany Pickett

Office of Legal Policy

United States Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20530

(b)(6)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:17 PM

To: Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Can I get a final with everything in one place?

From: Pickett, Bethany (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:08 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Bethany Pickett

Office of Legal Policy
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

(b)(6)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:17 PM

To: Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Yes, with a top bullet of (b)(5)

From: Pickett, Bethany (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:16 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Brett, is this the format that you want?

(b)(5)

Bethany Pickett

Office of Legal Policy
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

(b)(6)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:46 PM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Katie Crytzer

Chief of Staff

Office of Legal Policy

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20530

Office: (b)(6)

Cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:41 PM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Katie Crytzer
Chief of Staff
Office of Legal Policy
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530
Office: (b)(6)
Cell: (b)(6)
(b)(6)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:10 PM
To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)
Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Katie Crytzer
Chief of Staff
Office of Legal Policy
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530

Office: (b)(6)

Cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 11:59 AM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Katie Crytzer
Chief of Staff
Office of Legal Policy
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530

Office: (b)(6)

Cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 18:14:18 -0000

Importance: Normal

From: Pickett, Bethany (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:08 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material



From: "Pickett, Bethany (OLP)" (b)(6)
To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)
Cc: "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" (b)(6), "Bernie, Andrew (OLP)" (b)(6), "Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)" (b)(6), "Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 17:20:56 +0000

Importance: Normal

Got it. Thanks!

Bethany Pickett
Office of Legal Policy
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
(b)(6)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:17 PM
To: Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6)
Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material

From: "Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 17:04:56 +0000

Importance: Normal

Will do.

Katie Crytzer

Chief of Staff

Office of Legal Policy

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20530

Office: (b)(6)

Cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:01 PM

To: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

In the final document, can we have (b)(5)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:46 PM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 16:59:38 -0000

Importance: Normal

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:46 PM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material



From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 16:43:04 -0000

Importance: Normal

From: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:42 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12 41 PM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material

From: "Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 16:42:07 +0000

Importance: Normal

Catch up on Inconsistencies

Katie Crytzer

Chief of Staff

Office of Legal Policy

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20530

Office: (b)(6)

Cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:41 PM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett,

Bethany (OLP) (b)(6); Bernie, Andrew (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 16:12:18 -0000

Importance: Normal

We are pulling these things together in tranches.

From: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12:11 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 12 10 PM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Pickett, Bethany (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material

From: "Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: (b)(5)

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 16:00:28 +0000

Importance: Normal

From: Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 11:59 AM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: (b)(5)

Duplicative Material

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Assume you know this

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2018 21:52:01 +0000

Importance: Normal

Don called BK. (b)(5)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 5:37 PM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Assume you know this

Yes. But they may just not know

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 5:34 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

BK's lawyers?

On Sep 28, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

His lawyers. Also, Jake Tapper is hearing that Leland may change her story. (b)(5)
(b)(5), but something to watch.

From: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 5:22 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Assume you know this

Who is confused?

On Sep 28, 2018, at 5:14 PM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

There's some confusion about whether (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 5:03 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Thanks – got it from McConnell

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 5:01 PM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: Assume you know this

But the readout from Davis is (b)(5)

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Talkers?

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 20:04:40 +0000

Importance: Normal

Yes. For his review.

From: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 4:04 PM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Cc: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Talkers?

These look good to me. Abegg asked me to send to him for a look before either he or we send to Collins. Okay to send to him?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2018, at 3:57 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)"
(b)(6)

Subject:

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 19:32:50 +0000

Importance: Normal

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)" (b)(6), "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" (b)(6), "Wong, Candice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Loveland, Dan (OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6), "Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)" (b)(6), "Williams, Beth A (OLP)" (b)(6), "Bash, Zina" (b)(6), "LaCour, Alice (OLP)" (b)(6), "O'Connor, Kasey (OLP)" (b)(6), "Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)" (b)(6), "Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), (b)(6) Porter Wilkinson (b)(6), (b)(6) Travis Lenkner
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(6), "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Hearing Email
Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 14:15:50 +0000
Importance: Normal

Already sent

From: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Loveland, Dan (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Williams, Beth A (OLP) (b)(6); Bash, Zina (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); O'Connor, Kasey (OLP) (b)(6); Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP) (b)(6); Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO (b)(6); (b)(6) Porter Wilkinson (b)(6); (b)(6) Travis Lenkner
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Hearing Email

Yes. We will update. Standby.

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 10:11 AM
To: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6); Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Loveland, Dan (OLP) (b)(6)

(b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)
(b)(6); Williams, Beth A (OLP) (b)(6); Bash, Zina
(b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); O'Connor, Kasey (OLP)
(b)(6); Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP) (b)(6); Swonger, Amy H.
EOP/WHO (b)(6); (b)(6) Porter Wilkinson; (b)(6) Travis Lenkner
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Kupec, Kerri A.
EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Hearing Email

Do we want to add (b)(5) _____?

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 10:10 AM
To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6); Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO
(b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Lacy,
Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark
(OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)
(b)(6); Loveland, Dan (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP)
(b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Williams, Beth A (OLP)
(b)(6); Bash, Zina (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP)
(b)(6); O'Connor, Kasey (OLP) (b)(6); Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)
(b)(6); Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO (b)(6);
(b)(6) Porter Wilkinson; (b)(6) Travis Lenkner; Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO
(b)(6)
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Kupec, Kerri A.
EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Hearing Email

Do we want to pop this out?

JUDGE KAVANAUGH: BY THE NUMBERS

- 6 cleared FBI background investigations
-
- 17,000+ pages of judicial opinions, speeches, and writings
-

- **290,000**+ pages of publicly accessible e-mails and other documents from the Bush White House
-
- **34**+ hours of sworn testimony
-
- **1,200**+ written questions; more than all other SCOTUS nominees combined
-
- **3** letters to the Committee under penalty of perjury categorically denying all accusations

From: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 10:01 AM
To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO
(b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP)
(b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP)
(b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Loveland, Dan (OLP)
(b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP)
(b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Williams, Beth A (OLP)
(b)(6); Bash, Zina (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP)
(b)(6); O'Connor, Kasey (OLP) (b)(6); Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)
(b)(6); Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO (b)(6);
(b)(6) Porter Wilkinson; (b)(6) Travis Lenkner; Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO
(b)(6)
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Kupec, Kerri A.
EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: Hearing Email

All – You are receiving this email as part of the hearing rapid response. If you wish to be removed from this email list, please let me know.

From: "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6)
To: "Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)" (b)(6), "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" (b)(6), "Wong, Candice (OLP)" (b)(6), "Loveland, Dan (OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6), "Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)" (b)(6), "Williams, Beth A (OLP)" (b)(6), "Bash, Zina" (b)(6), "LaCour, Alice (OLP)" (b)(6), "O'Connor, Kasey (OLP)" (b)(6), "Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)" (b)(6), "Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), (b)(6) Porter Wilkinson, (b)(6) Travis Lenkner
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(6), (b)(6), "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Hearing Email

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 14:13:35 +0000

Importance: Normal

Maybe also (b)(5) ?

From: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 10:13 AM
To: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark (OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Loveland, Dan (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Williams, Beth A (OLP) (b)(6); Bash, Zina (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP) (b)(6); O'Connor, Kasey (OLP) (b)(6); Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP) (b)(6); Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO (b)(6); (b)(6) Porter Wilkinson; (b)(6) Travis Lenkner
Cc: (b)(6); (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Hearing Email

Duplicative Material

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Hearing Email

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 14:12:51 -0000

Importance: Normal

We are going to add one bullet.

From: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 10:10 AM

To: Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP) (b)(6); Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO

(b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Lacy,

Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Champoux, Mark

(OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)

(b)(6); Loveland, Dan (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP)

(b)(6); Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) (b)(6); Williams, Beth A (OLP)

(b)(6); Bash, Zina (b)(6); LaCour, Alice (OLP)

(b)(6); O'Connor, Kasey (OLP) (b)(6); Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)

(b)(6); Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO (b)(6);

(b)(6) Porter Wilkinson; (b)(6) Travis Lenkner; Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

(b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Kupec, Kerri A.

EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Hearing Email

Duplicative Material

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Vetting Judge Kavanaugh: By The Numbers

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 14:13:30 +0000

Importance: Normal

All –

A reminder of how Judge Kavanaugh has been vetted at the end of the hearings earlier this month.

-

VETTING JUDGE KAVANAUGH: BY THE NUMBERS

- 6 cleared FBI background investigations
-
- 17,000+ pages of judicial opinions, speeches, and writings
-
- 290,000+ pages of publicly accessible e-mails and other documents from the Bush White House
-
- 34+ hours of sworn testimony
-
- 1,200+ written questions; more than all other SCOTUS nominees combined
-
- 3 interviews with the Committee under penalty of felony categorically denying all accusations

Raj Shah
Deputy Assistant to the President
The White House
Cell: (b)(6)
@RajShah45

From: "(b)(6) (OLP)" (b)(6)
To: "Antell, Kira M. (OLP)" (b)(6), "Aslan, Erin (OLP)"
(b)(6), "(b)(6) (OLP)" (b)(6), "Banks, C. Edward
(OLP)" (b)(6), "(b)(6) (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Beelaert, Jeffrey (OLP)" (b)(6), "Bernie, Andrew (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Crytzer, Katherine (OLP)" (b)(6), "Davis, Valorie A (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Day, Sean (OLP)" (b)(6), "Edlow, Joseph B.
(OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Freeman, Lindsey (OLP)" (b)(6), "Ganjei, Nicholas (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Glover, Matthew (JMD)" (b)(6), "Goobic,
Timothy (OLP)" (b)(6), "Gormsen, Eric T (OLP)"
(b)(6), "(b)(6) (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Higginbotham, Ryan K (OLP)" (b)(6), "Hinchman, Robert (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Hudson, Andrew (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Jackson, Leroy A (OLP)" (b)(6), "Jones, Kevin R (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Karp, David J (OLP)" (b)(6), "King, Kara
(OLP)" (b)(6), "Kingo, Lola A. (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Leibman, Melissa (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Matthews, Matrina (OLP)" (b)(6),
"O'Connor, Kasey (OLP)" (b)(6), "Park, Janet (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Parson, Rusty (JMD)" (b)(6), "Pazur, Shannon
(OLP)" (b)(6), "Pickett, Bethany (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Prescott, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Reinfurt, Sandy (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Riggs, Kate M. (OLP)" (b)(6), "Robbins,
Alexander (OLP)" (b)(6), "Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Soskin, Eric (OLP)" (b)(6), "(b)(6)
(OLP)" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6),
"Urda, Patrick (OLP)" (b)(6), "Von Bokern, Jordan L. (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Wasserman, Carl (OLP)" (b)(6),
"White, Cleo (OLP)" (b)(6), "Williams, Beth A (OLP)"
(b)(6), "Wise, Amy (OLP)" (b)(6), "Wong, Candice
(OLP)" (b)(6), "OLP-Interns (JMD)" (b)(6),
"Kingo, Lola A. (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: Departure Email
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2018 19:49:02 +0000
Importance: Normal

Hi All,

Today is my last day at OLP. The last two months have been interesting to say the least. We may not be there yet with BK, but I'm sure with this team he can get confirmed. It has been a pleasure working with all of you. I'm sad I won't be here to watch BK cross the finish line.

Thanks to Sean and Jennie for leading the interns. Thanks to Jordan for his excellent and totally necessary supervision. Thanks to Lindsey for her fruit snacks. Thanks to Bethany for always waiving the Texas flag. Thank you to the other interns for being such great teammates--those binders don't make themselves. And thank you to everyone else who took the time in the middle of a busy confirmation to talk to the interns. This has been a once in a lifetime opportunity.

My personal email is (b)(6) My phone number is (b)(6). If any of you need a restaurant recommendation in (b)(6), let me know.

(b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Raj Shah EOP/WHO >

Subject: Fwd: Thing we need quickly

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 15:59:11 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: (b)(5).docx; ATT00001.htm

Here's what Larry put together.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 20, 2018 at 11:53:30 AM EDT

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Thing we need quickly

Here's what I've got

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 9:44 AM

To: Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: Thing we need quickly

Hi Larry. We are trying to (b)(5)

(b)(5) We'd like to pull together a document that has some of these examples. It would include things like (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Feel free to add anything else. I am also including (b)(5)

(b)(5) We'd like to drive the news today with this, so while I'd like it to be thorough, send me whatever you can put together by noon (though if you can do it earlier, great).

The point should be (b)(5). Feel free to include stuff about (b)(5)

If you are unavailable, Mike can you put someone else who is good on this?

From: "Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Thing we need quickly

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 14:00:08 +0000

Importance: Normal

I'm on it.

From: Talley, Brett (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 9:44 AM

To: Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: Thing we need quickly

Duplicative Material

From: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Little lies

Date: Fri, 5 Oct 2018 00:29:11 +0000

Importance: Normal

Recommend also adding a sentence or two in (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

> On Oct 4, 2018, at 8:26 PM, Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> wrote:

>
> Should be fine. Our only concern was that (b)(5)
> Delete that for now. Don't want to cause new problems.

> Sent from my iPhone

>> On Oct 4, 2018, at 8:13 PM, Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

>> The version you sent Sasse and Flake is cleared (although it doesn't include (b)(5)).
>> Cc: into Brett to make sure (b)(5). Or, if you have 20-30 mins, I can put the new info in.

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>> On Oct 4, 2018, at 6:54 PM, Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)> wrote:

>>> Is there a cleared version I can send to Murkowski?

>>> Sent from my iPhone

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Megan Lacy" <(b)(6)> "Champoux, Mark (OLP)"
<(b)(6)> "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Fwd:

Date: Mon, 17 Sep 2018 00:37:26 -0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: 8370.jpg; 8370(1).jpg

I tip my hat to you, sir.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 16, 2018, at 8:25 PM, Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(b)(6) (direct)
(b)(6) (cell)
202-224-9102 (fax)
(b)(6)

----- Original message -----

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Date: 9/16/18 8:24 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Nominations Strategy (b)(6)
Subject: Fwd:

If these allegations were so serious, why did Feinstein do nothing with them for nearly 6 weeks? Why did she hide these allegations from her colleagues? Why did she fail to raise these issues on the 2 background calls before his hearing, during her meeting with him before the hearing, during 3 days and 32 hours of his testimony, during the closed session (which Feinstein skipped), or in 1 of the nearly 1,300 written questions submitted after the hearing? Why now, on the eve of the vote?

Can we have the SJC Tweet this?

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

----- Original message -----

From: Michael Davis <(b)(6)>

Date: 9/16/18 8:19 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Subject:

8:18 ↗



Thread

975

3,317

9,991



Sen Dianne Feinstein ✓

@SenFeinstein



The committee shouldn't move forward with a vote for numerous reasons. Serious questions have been raised about Judge Kavanaugh's

raised about Judge Kavanaugh's record. He's made misleading statements to the committee. And serious allegations by Mrs. Ford have been referred to the FBI.



Dianne Feinstein: Why I'm voting 'no' on Brett Kavanaugh

Tweet your reply



From: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)"
<(b)(6)> "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Pls provide feedback
Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 14:20:35 +0000
Importance: Normal

On (4) — would change (b)(5)

Was there enough of a press hit on (b)(5) that we should add?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2018, at 9:43 AM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Going to launch an (b)(5) twitter screed Would like input. All these tweets fit:

(1/10) (b)(5)

(2/10) (b)(5)

(3/10) (b)(5)

(4/10) (b)(5)


(5/10) (b)(5)

(6/10) (b)(5)


(7/10) (b)(5)

(8/10) (b)(5)

(9/10)(b)(5)



(10/10)(b)(5)



Raj Shah

Deputy Assistant to the President

The White House

Cell: (b)(6)

@RajShah45

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: Pls provide feedback
Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 14:19:49 +0000
Importance: Normal

Yeah. Annie, you good?

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO
Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 9:55 AM
To: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Cc: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: Pls provide feedback

Thoughts on putting (b)(5) ? I like that (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel
(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

On Oct 2, 2018, at 9:43 AM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Duplicative Material

(b)(5)

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Pls provide feedback

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 14:16:13 +0000

Importance: Normal

(b)(5)

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 9:55 AM

To: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Cc: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Pls provide feedback

Duplicative Material

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: I assume we want (b)(5)?

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 12:38:45 +0000

Importance: Normal

Yes

On Sep 18, 2018, at 8:38 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> wrote:

From: "Wong, Candice (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: (b)(5) Article re: (b)(5)

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2018 03:34:34 +0000

Importance: Normal

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 27, 2018, at 10:36 PM, Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Do we have anything on this case?

(b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Wong, Candice (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

<(b)(6)> "Glover, Matthew (JMD)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: (b)(5) Article re: (b)(5)

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2018 03:11:02 +0000

Importance: Normal

Not really. The case was just about (b)(5)

I can put together a tweetable paragraph. Unless we need something longer-form.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 27, 2018, at 10:36 PM, Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Duplicative Material

From: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>, "(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)", "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>, "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Testimony

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 18:18:42 +0000

Importance: Normal

Correct

Sent from my iPhone

> On Sep 26, 2018, at 2:18 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

>

> Still nothing on her statement?

>

>> On Sep 26, 2018, at 12:31 PM, Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

>>

>> Committee confirmed that for me and I've asked whether we can say it publicly

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

>>> On Sep 26, 2018, at 12:30 PM, Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

>>>

>>> Ford apparently didn't submit testimony at 10 am. (b)(5)

>>>

>>>

>>> Sent from my iPhone

From: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Phone Call with Kavanaugh
Date: Tue, 25 Sep 2018 15:08:43 +0000
Importance: Normal

Our team's preference is 12:30, but BK and his lawyer are both also free at 5:00.

From: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 10:54 AM
To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Cc: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO
<(b)(6)> Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: Phone Call with Kavanaugh

Grassley staff suggests 5 or so.

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:13 PM
To: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO
<(b)(6)> Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: Phone Call with Kavanaugh

Would 11:30 am work?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 24, 2018, at 9:10 PM, Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Date: September 24, 2018 at 9:02:42 PM EDT
To: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Lola A. Kingo, (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
Subject: Fwd: Phone Call with Kavanaugh

Hey guys- is Judge Kavanaugh free for a BI call tomorrow?

----- Original message -----

From: "Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Date: 9/24/18 8:40 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Lay, DeLisa (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)> "Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)"
<(b)(6)> "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: Phone Call with Kavanaugh

Lauren,

Could you arrange a call with DOJ for Judge Kavanaugh and loop in the Dems? We need to ask him about Ramirez and two other allegations we have received. I'll ask Roz to arrange for a court reporter.

Since the allegations we're discussing are not technically part of his BI—unlike the previous call about the Blasey Ford allegations—could we ask Rachel to participate?

Thanks,

Steve Kenny
Nominations Counsel
United States Senate Judiciary Committee
(b)(6)

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Phone Call with Kavanaugh
Date: Tue, 25 Sep 2018 13:31:43 +0000
Importance: Normal

They'd like to do the afternoon.

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 10:13 PM
To: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO
<(b)(6)> Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: Phone Call with Kavanaugh

Duplicative Material

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: New Attorney for Ramirez -- John Clune

Date: Mon, 24 Sep 2018 13:30:03 +0000

Importance: Normal

<https://twitter.com/writerkev/status/1044216352938946560>

<https://twitter.com/writerkev/status/1044216899444256770>

<http://hbcboulder.com/attorneys.php?action=detail&bioId=41>

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Champoux, Mark (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: (b)(6) Megan Lacy WHO/EOP

Subject: docs of interest from production

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 22:06:02 +0000

Importance: Normal

See here: <G:\OLP\Gershwin\Brett Kavanaugh\Doc Response\Post>

(Brett will have to access)

I added a \$ symbol at the beginning of each document name that I think are particularly worth your time. Call me and I can give you more details. And let me know if you'd like binders printed or anything like that.

MC

(b)(6)

From: "Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Cc: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Talkers re: (b)(5)

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 15:52:47 +0000

Importance: Normal

Got it.

Thank you all.

From: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 11:37 AM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Talkers re: (b)(5)

Just for talking at the moment (b)(5).

On Sep 19, 2018, at 11:27 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

Defer to Annie.

From: Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 11:21 AM

To: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Cc: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: Talkers re: (b)(5)

Thank you!

Can we send these or are these just for talking?

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:47 AM

To: Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Cc: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: Talkers re: (b)(5)

As requested.

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Hudson, Andrew (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)"

<(b)(6)> "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Absurdly Dishonest Tweet

Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2018 15:12:28 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.png

From Politico's Chief Washington Correspondent:

<https://twitter.com/IsaacDovere/status/1042933551300325376>



Edward-Isaac Dovere ✓

@IsaacDovere

Follow



Kavanaugh responses (so far):

- there was no incident
- it was a long time ago/who's to say what counts as assault?
- he says he didn't do it
- should high school be held against him?
- it can't be proven either way
- there's no time to look into it
- maybe someone else did it

5:28 PM - 20 Sep 2018

5.2K 468 1.1K

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Sahil Kapur Tweet

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 23:30:07 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg; image002.jpg

[Don't remember the last time I saw footnotes in a Tweeted statement.](#)

From: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 7:29 PM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Sahil Kapur Tweet

Kinda.

From: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 7:28 PM

To: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: Sahil Kapur Tweet

[Is McCaskill saying the SJC should do it, not FBI?](#)

From: Scotus News Alerts <ScotusNewsAlerts@gop.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 6:52 PM

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Sahil Kapur Tweet

Sahil Kapur

@sahilkapur

NEWS: Sen. Claire McCaskill (D-Missouri) is a NO on Brett Kavanaugh.

WASHINGTON – U.S. Senator Claire McCaskill released the following statement on the nomination of Judge Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court:

“I have been thorough in examining Judge Kavanaugh’s record. And while the recent allegations against him are troubling and deserve a thorough and fair examination by the Senate Judiciary Committee, my decision is not based on those allegations but rather on his positions on several key issues, most importantly the avalanche of dark, anonymous money that is crushing our democracy.

“He has revealed his bias against limits on campaign donations which places him completely out of the mainstream of this nation. He wrote, ‘And I have heard very few people say that limits on contributions to candidates are unconstitutional although I for one tend to think those limits have some constitutional problems.’¹

“Going even further, Judge Kavanaugh will give free reign to anonymous donors and foreign governments through their citizens to spend money to interfere and influence our elections with so-called ‘issue ads.’ These ‘issue ads’ are now flooding the airways in this nation to directly influence election outcomes, drowning the concept of

individuals having the strongest voice in our democracy. Judge Kavanaugh has clearly said that there should be literally no restrictions on these ads. He has called restrictions on these dark money issue ads ‘blatantly unconstitutional.’² And in a court opinion, he went out of his way to say that foreign nationals weren’t restricted from creating or contributing to these ads—even when that wasn’t the issue before the court.³ A Russian company that has been indicted for election interference is currently using Judge Kavanaugh’s opinion to argue for their innocence.⁴

“While I am also uncomfortable about his view on Presidential power as it relates to the rule of law, and his position that corporations are people, it is his allegiance to the position that unlimited donations and dark anonymous money, from even foreign interests, should be allowed to swamp the voices of individuals that has been the determining factor in my decision to vote no on his nomination.”

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO"

<(b)(6)> "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO"

<(b)(6)> "McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO"

<(b)(6)> "Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)"

<(b)(6)>

Subject: Shell of Talking Points -- people pls take a look

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 19:11:32 +0000

Importance: Normal

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Raj Shah
Deputy Assistant to the President
The White House
Cell: (b)(6)
@RajShah45

From: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Fwd: Spoke with Corker's spox this AM

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 13:50:16 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.png

Corker in good place

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Date: September 18, 2018 at 9:48:39 AM EDT
To: "Megan Lacy" <(b)(6)> (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "Mark Champoux" <(b)(6)> (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP)
Subject: FW: Spoke with Corker's spox this AM

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 9:48 AM
To: Ventry, Garrett (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> Nominations Strategy
(b)(6)
Subject: RE: Spoke with Corker's spox this AM

Great

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Ventry, Garrett (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 9:47 AM

To: Nominations Strategy (b)(6)

Subject: Spoke with Corker's spox this AM

She said: my boss is in a good place on Kavanaugh and the hearing

Garrett Ventry | *Communications Adviser for Nominations*

 [Senate Judiciary Committee](#)

Chairman Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa)

C: (b)(6)

From: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: tentative/draft schedule for today

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 12:36:26 +0000

Importance: Normal

Yeah the vote and a couple 15 minute breaks get it there pretty quickly.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 27, 2018, at 8:35 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Much longer day than expected.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 27, 2018, at 8:31 AM, Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

This just in. I bet (b)(5) (and note they did build in a 45 minute break before the Judge's opener).

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 27, 2018 at 8:24:34 AM EDT

To: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: tentative/draft schedule for today

	Thursday 9/27
10:00-10:15	CEG Opener
10:15-10:30	Feinstein Opener
10:30-10:45	Dr. Ford
10:45-10:50	CEG/Mitchell
10:50-10:55	Feinstein
10:55-11:00	Hatch/Mitchell
11:00-11:05	Leahy
11:05-11:10	Graham/Mitchell
11:10-11:25	BREAK
11:25-11:30	Durbin
11:30-11:35	Cornyn/Mitchell
11:35-11:40	Whitehouse
11:40-11:45	Lee/Mitchell
11:45-11:50	Klobuchar

11:50-11:55	Cruz/Mitchell
11:55-12:00	Coons
12:00-12:05	Sasse/Mitchell
12:05-12:20	BREAK
12:20-12:25	Blumenthal
12:25-12:30	Flake/Mitchell
12:30-12:35	Hirono
12:35-12:40	Crapo/Mitchell
12:40-1:10	LUNCH BREAK & 12:40 VOTE
1:10-1:15	Booker
1:15-1:20	Tillis/Mitchell
1:20-1:25	Harris
1:25-1:30	Kennedy/Mitchell
1:30-2:15	BREAK
2:15-2:30	Kavanaugh
2:30-2:35	CEG/Mitchell
2:35-2:40	Feinstein
2:40-2:45	Hatch/Mitchell
2:45-2:50	Leahy
2:50-2:55	Graham/Mitchell
2:55-3:00	Durbin
3:00-3:05	Cornyn/Mitchell
3:05-3:10	Whitehouse
3:10-3:15	Lee/Mitchell
3:15-3:20	Klobuchar
3:20-3:25	Cruz/Mitchell
3:25-3:30	Coons
3:30-3:35	Sasse/Mitchell
3:35-3:50	BREAK
3:50-3:55	Blumenthal
3:55-4:00	Flake/Mitchell
4:00-4:05	Hirono
4:05-4:10	Crapo/Mitchell
4:10-4:15	Booker
4:15-4:20	Tillis/Mitchell
4:20-4:25	Harris
4:25-4:30	Kennedy/Mitchell

From: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: Peter Doocy Tweet

Date: Tue, 25 Sep 2018 19:14:42 +0000

Importance: Normal

...

From: Scotus News Alerts <ScotusNewsAlerts@gop.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 3:11 PM

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Peter Doocy Tweet

Peter Doocy

@pdoocy

NEW: Sen. Feinstein, D-Ca, just told me "I have no way of knowing" if Dr. Ford is actually going to show up to Kavanaugh hearing Thursday - if outside counsel is asking the questions

><https://twitter.com/pdoocy/status/1044664321815515137><

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: (b)(6) Raj Shah EOP/WHO, "Donaldson, Ann M. EOP/ Who" <(b)(6)>, (b)(6) Liz Horning EOP/WHO
Subject: Fwd: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 18:28:31 -0000
Importance: Normal
Inline-Images: image001.gif

More games.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Date: September 20, 2018 at 2:21:44 PM EDT
To: "Megan Lacy" (b)(6), "Mark Champoux" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP)
Subject: FW: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(b)(6) (direct)
(b)(6) (cell)
202-224-9102 (fax)
(b)(6)

From: Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 2:05 PM
To: Nominations Strategy (b)(6)
Subject: Fwd: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford

----- Original message -----

From: "Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)" <(b)(6)>
Date: 9/20/18 2:03 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Debra Katz (b)(6), "Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Cc: Lisa Banks (b)(6), "Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)
(b)(6), "Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)" <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford

Dear Ms. Katz, thank you for your email. I am adding Kolan Davis, Chairman Grassley's staff director and Heather Sawyer, Senator Feinstein's General Counsel. I will reach out to Grassley's staff and see if there is a time that works for both offices and one of us will get back to you. Thanks

From: Debra Katz (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 1:49 PM
To: Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Debra Katz (b)(6); Lisa Banks (b)(6)
Subject: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford
Importance: High

Dear Ms. Willey and Ms. Duck:

I would like to set up a call with you later today to discuss the conditions under which Dr. Christine Blasey Ford would be prepared to testify next week. As you are aware, she has been receiving death threats, which have been reported to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and she and her family have been forced out of their home. She wishes to testify, provided that we can agree on terms that are fair and which ensure her safety. A hearing on Monday is not possible and the Committee's insistence that it occur then is arbitrary in any event. Dr. Ford has asked me to let you know that she appreciates the various options you have suggested. Her strong preference continues to be for the Senate Judiciary Committee to allow for a full investigation prior to her testimony.

Please let us know when you are available to talk to us later today.

Best regards,

Debra Katz

 **KATZ, MARSHALL & BANKS, LLP**

[Debra S. Katz](#)

1718 Connecticut Ave., N.W.

Sixth Floor

Washington, D.C. 20009

Tel: 202-299-1140

Fax: 202-299-1148

Email: (b)(6)

Website: www.kmblegal.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named in the address box. Do NOT forward this message to any third party. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone; delete this message from all your files, and return any printouts you may have made to us by regular mail.

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 19:01:59 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.gif

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Lisa Banks (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 2:59 PM

To: Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> [REDACTED]

Debra Katz (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Cc: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> [REDACTED]

Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)

<(b)(6)> [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford

Katharine,

Who will be participating on the call?

Lisa

 **KATZ, MARSHALL & BANKS, LLP**

Lisa J. Banks

Partner

1718 Connecticut Ave., N.W.

Sixth Floor

Washington, D.C. 20009

Tel: 202-299-1140

Fax: 202-299-1148

Email: (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Website: www.kmblegal.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named in the address box. Do NOT forward this message to any third party. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination,

distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone; delete this message from all your files, and return any printouts you may have made to us by regular mail.

From: Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep) [mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 2:52 PM
To: Debra Katz (b)(6)
Cc: Lisa Banks (b)(6); Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford

Are you available at 3:30 or 4 for a call?

Also, I'm still having a problem receiving some of your emails. I'll confirm receipt immediately after I receive your response and will contact our IT director to make sure it's not a problem on my end.

----- Original message -----

From: "Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)" <(b)(6)>
Date: 9/20/18 2:03 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Debra Katz (b)(6), "Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)
Cc: Lisa Banks (b)(6), "Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6), "Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)" <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: Dr. Christine Blasey Ford

Duplicative Material

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Jake Sherman Tweet

Date: Sat, 22 Sep 2018 18:58:56 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg; image001(1).jpg

Oh well.

We should (b)(5)

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:53 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

(b)(5)

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:50 PM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Ok I mean (b)(5)

I can work phones, but (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:47 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

(b)(5)

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:47 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Wait — should that (b)(5)

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:45 PM, Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

It is 100% correct.

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:44 PM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

I'm moving this around

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:42 PM, Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

We should (b)(5)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Scotus News Alerts <ScotusNewsAlerts@gop.com>
Date: September 22, 2018 at 2:31:06 PM EDT
To: undisclosed-recipients:;
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Jake Sherman Tweet

Jake Sherman
@JakeSherman

New email from Fords attorneys

Dear Ms. Willey:

Dr. Ford accepts the Committee's request to provide her first-hand knowledge of Brett Kavanaugh's sexual misconduct next week. Although many aspects of the proposal you provided via email, on September 21, 2018, at 2:33 pm, are fundamentally inconsistent with the Committee's promise of a fair, impartial investigation into her allegations, and we are disappointed with the leaks and the bullying that have tainted the process, we are hopeful that we can reach agreement on details. Can we set up a time for later this afternoon to continue our negotiations?

Sincerely,
Debra S. Katz
Lisa Banks

cc: Michael R. Bromwich

><https://twitter.com/JakeSherman/status/1043568110991740928><

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Jake Sherman Tweet

Date: Sat, 22 Sep 2018 18:55:45 -0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg; image001(1).jpg

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 22, 2018, at 2:52 PM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Duplicative Material



From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- Schumer's statement on the floor just now

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 14:39:55 +0000

Importance: Normal

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 10:34 AM

To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Babin, Reginald (Schumer)

<(b)(6)> Patterson, Mark (Schumer) <(b)(6)>

Sawyer,

Heather (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- Schumer's statement on the floor just now

So Schumer's statement is incorrect that the Senate Judiciary Committee's Republican staff refused the Democratic staff's request to be on a call with McGahn.

Mark and Reginald, is Schumer going to correct his statement?

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)

Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 10:32 AM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Babin, Reginald (Schumer)

<(b)(6)> Patterson, Mark (Schumer) <(b)(6)>

Sawyer,

Heather (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- Schumer's statement on the floor just now

As requested, please see emails below. We did not ask ahead of time because we were not notified there was a call. Once we learned, as you know, we asked why we were not included.

From: Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 5:15 PM

To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE:

What was in our press release. The chairman had agreed to assist with Flake's request. Didn't think the Minority was a part of that agreement. Sure didn't bring anything to the table. Added Mike as an fyi

From: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 5:12 PM
To: Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE:

And what was said on the call? Why wasn't the minority included in the call?

From: Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 5:09 PM
To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE:

Was just a call relating what Flake asked for. Flake signed off on the press release

From: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 4:18 PM
To: Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Subject:

Can you please share whatever you sent to the FBI?

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 10:28 AM
To: Babin, Reginald (Schumer) <(b)(6)> Patterson, Mark (Schumer)
<(b)(6)> Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>
Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>
Subject: SCOTUS -- Schumer's statement on the floor just now
Importance: High

Mark, Reginald, Jennifer, and Heather,

Hope you are doing well. Schumer just stated on the Senate floor that the Senate Judiciary Committee's Republican staff refused the Democratic staff's request to be on a call with McGahn.

I do not recall any such request by the Democratic staff. Can you provide me more specifics?

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(b)(6) (direct)
(b)(6) (cell)
202-224-9102 (fax)
(b)(6)

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO"

Subject: Statements by (b)(5)

Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2018 12:44:57 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Statements_by_(b)(5).docx

See attached.

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>, "(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)", "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>, "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Don's Schedule Tuesday?

Date: Sun, 23 Sep 2018 15:29:21 +0000

Importance: Normal

Don's schedule is clear on Tuesday- POTUS and most staff are at UNGA.

Liz Horning

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6)

C: (b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2018 11:21 AM

To: Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Cc: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>, (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>, Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Subject: Don's Schedule Tuesday?

All,

With the hearing pushed back from Wed to Thurs, our thought (at BK's suggestion) is to push the prep schedule back a day, assuming that's ok with everyone? On that new schedule, the prep team will go to chambers tomorrow to do (b)(5) with BK tomorrow (instead of today) and the (b)(5) will be Tues instead of Mon (which gives the SJC another day to figure out the format of the hearing). That gives Wed as a buffer day to schedule any extra prep needed. Does that seem ok?

How is Don's schedule Tuesday? We'll schedule the moot around his schedule and BK's.

Thanks!

Claire

Sent from my iPhone

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: SCOTUS -- Ashley

Date: Sun, 30 Sep 2018 01:11:23 +0000

Importance: Normal

He also sent to Don. Haven't heard back yet.

On Sep 29, 2018, at 9:06 PM, Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Thoughts?

I think (b)(5). I would suggest (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Travis D. Lenkner" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 29, 2018 at 8:58:20 PM EDT

To: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: SCOTUS -- Ashley

What do you think about this?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)** <(b)(6)>

Date: Sat, Sep 29, 2018 at 5:59 PM

Subject: SCOTUS -- Ashley

To: "Travis D. Lenkner" <(b)(6)>

It would be great if she would call (1) Flake, (2) Collins, and (3) Murkowski, talking to them about the personal toll these allegations have had on the Kavanaugh family.

Thank you,

Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations

United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman

224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Shah, Raj S.
EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Condi

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 15:43:31 +0000

Importance: Normal

Just to file away—Condi’s chief of staff called. She says Condi is “120 percent” behind BK, wants to put out a statement reiterating support after Monday, and will call any senator we want. (But she won’t do anything until we ask/approve.)

Sent from my iPhone

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: Personal

Date: Mon, 24 Sep 2018 02:49:30 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (direct)

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2018 10:46 PM

To: (b)(6) Stan Garnett [REDACTED]

Subject: Personal

Stan,

Good to connect. I know you from Denver, where I served as a litigation attorney for 10 years before moving to DC to help with Justice Gorsuch's confirmation. In Denver, I clerked for then-Judge Gorsuch, worked for Greenberg Traurig, worked for Wheeler Trigg, and then ran my own practice.

I look forward to working with you on this matter. Please feel free to contact me anytime.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (direct)

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: Lower Court Hearing Next Week
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 00:39:48 +0000
Importance: Normal

I can think (b)(5) . But I think (b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel
(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 8:37 PM
To: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Cc: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: Lower Court Hearing Next Week

Why not?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 19, 2018, at 8:35 PM, Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

FYSA

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel
(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 8:34 PM
To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Lower Court Hearing Next Week

Annie:

The Senate Judiciary Committee is trying to determine whether to have a hearing for inferior court nominees next week, as had been originally planned before Judge Kavanaugh's Supreme Court hearing was re-opened for an additional day of testimony.

Davis has proposed holding a hearing on Thursday, September 27 – anchored by Chad Readler and Eric Murphy for CA6 (Ohio). Members of the Committee are reluctant to hold one, and Davis is having trouble finding a member willing to Chair the hearing. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

Let me know if you'd like to discuss tomorrow.

Sean

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Ann M. EOP/ Who" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd: Can you send Judge and Megan's statements

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 19:26:39 -0000

Importance: Normal

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 26, 2018 at 3:24:26 PM EDT

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Can you send Judge and Megan's statements

Barbara "Biz" Van Gelder, an attorney for Judge, told ABC News in a statement that her client denies the claims. "Mr. Judge vehemently denies Ms. Swetnick's allegations," Van Gelder said.

<https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/michael-avenatti-client-allegations-kavanaugh/story?id=58097092>

"This claim is absolutely false and absurd," Meghan McCaleb, a friend of Kavanaugh's since high school told The Daily Signal of allegations from Julie Swetnick, which were made public Wednesday.

...

"We never hung out with anyone from Gaithersburg High School, there was never any drug use at our parties, and the fact that she is coming out and saying that is such an insult to Brett and to all of us," McCaleb said.

"It makes our community look bad and our reputation, and Brett was just not like that ever. No one was."

...

"The fact that Michael Avenatti is coming up with this the day before the hearing is outrageous," McCaleb said.

"I can just tell you that Brett was always a responsible, decent, polite guy, and he would never, ever do anything like this, ever," she said.

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 3:19 PM
To: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Can you send Judge and Megan's statements

Sent from my iPhone

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Can you send Judge and Megan's statements

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 19:26:35 +0000

Importance: Normal

In a different (more useful) format:

Mr. Judge vehemently denies Ms. Swetnick's allegations." – Biz Van Gelder, Attorney for Mark Judge

"This claim is absolutely false and absurd ... We never hung out with anyone from Gaithersburg High School, there was never any drug use at our parties, and the fact that she is coming out and saying that is such an insult to Brett and to all of us . . .

Brett was just not like that ever. No one was . . . I can just tell you that Brett was always a responsible, decent, polite guy, and he would never, ever do anything like this, ever." – Meghan McCaleb, High School Friend of Judge Kavanaugh

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 3:24 PM

To: 'Talley, Brett (OLP)' <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: Can you send Judge and Megan's statements

Duplicative Material

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Neely, Amanda (HSGAC)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP)

Subject: Re: Murphy & Readler Intros?

Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2018 00:00:00 +0000

Importance: Normal

BK Brain. Sorry. Yes. They got moved to next week. And it would be for all 4. (Although obviously, the two district court folks need him less.). Regardless, let us know if it would be helpful to have anyone reach out.

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

On Oct 2, 2018, at 7:58 PM, Neely, Amanda (HSGAC) <(b)(6)> wrote:

The hearing is next week, right? TBD on the intros (and I think he'd do all 4, right?).

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2018, at 7:47 PM, Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Hi Amanda! Just wanted to check and see if Senator Portman was going to be able to introduce Eric and Chad tomorrow. And, if not, if there was anything we could do to help get him there.

Thanks! Sean

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject:

Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2018 13:49:26 +0000

Importance: Normal

Also, I'm sure you've thought about this, but I think (b)(5)

(b)(5)
I'm sure you've considered this, and (b)(5), but just wanted to chip in my two cents.

Sent from my iPhone

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE:

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 21:02:52 +0000

Importance: Normal

INSANITY

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 4:38 PM

To: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd:

What!?!?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 26, 2018 at 4:33:54 PM EDT

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

[@ByronYork](#)

48s

Kavanaugh lawyer asks why Avenatti client Swetnick kept returning to parties when she knew gang rapes were going on. Avenatti, on CNN: 'My client did not have all of the details about what was going on in those back rooms at each party she went to.'

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re:

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 20:38:45 -0000

Importance: Normal

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2018, at 4:35 PM, Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Duplicative Material

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: Opening Testimony for Submission Today by 10 am

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 13:01:23 -0000

Importance: Normal

**Prepared Written Testimony of Judge Brett M. Kavanaugh
Nomination Hearing to Serve as an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court**

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Ann Donaldson EOP/WHO, "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: FYI: Rabbis Urge Immediate Confirmation of Judge Kavanaugh

Date: Thu, 04 Oct 2018 18:54:12 -0000

Importance: Normal

From: Rothenberg, Laurence E (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, October 4, 2018 2:52 PM

To: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: FYI: Rabbis Urge Immediate Confirmation of Judge Kavanaugh

<https://coalitionforjewishvalues.org/2018/09/rabbis-urge-immediate-confirmation-of-judge-kavanaugh/>

Laurence E. Rothenberg
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Office of Legal Policy
U.S. Department of Justice
(b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Ann M. EOP/ Who" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd: SCOTUS -- supplemental FBI BI report received

Date: Thu, 04 Oct 2018 16:15:48 -0000

Importance: Normal

?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Date: October 4, 2018 at 11:58:34 AM EDT

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- supplemental FBI BI report received

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2018 11:58 AM

To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)> Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)

<(b)(6)> Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

<(b)(6)>; Kader, Gabe (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> Lay, DeLisa (Judiciary-Rep)

<(b)(6)> Davis, Patrick (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep)

<(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- supplemental FBI BI report received

We just received another sealed envelope from the White House Counsel's Office. We are bringing it to the Senate SCIF right now (b)(7)(E)).

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2018 3:29 AM

To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)> Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)

<(b)(6)> Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

(b)(6); Kader, Gabe (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) <(b)(6)>
DeLisa Lay (b)(6) <(b)(6)> Davis, Patrick (Judiciary-
Rep) <(b)(6)> Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
(b)(6); Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Subject: SCOTUS -- supplemental FBI BI report received

FYI, after 2:30 am, the Senate Judiciary Committee received a sealed box containing the FBI's supplemental background investigation (BI) report on Judge Kavanaugh. Two committee aides immediately delivered the sealed box to a vault in the Office of Senate Security, together with the FBI's 6 prior FBI BI reports on Judge Kavanaugh going back to 1993.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(b)(6) (direct)
(b)(6) (cell)
202-224-9102 (fax)
(b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Ann Donaldson EOP/WHO

Subject: FW: BI Memos

Date: Mon, 01 Oct 2018 15:17:56 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: MOU_DOJ-POTUS_BI.pdf; MOU_SJC-WHO_BI.pdf

In case useful. Here are the MOU's with the FBI laying out how BIs are conducted. They date from the Obama administration.

From: Kingo, Lola A. (OLP)

Sent: Monday, October 1, 2018 11:08 AM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: BI Memos

Talley—please see attached. All thanks go to Janet for being willing to dig through my files for these!

From: Park, Janet (OLP)

Sent: Monday, October 01, 2018 11:06 AM

To: Kingo, Lola A. (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: BI Memos

Hi Lola,

Please find attached the two scanned memos.

Thank you, and please let me know if I can help with anything else!

Janet

Janet Park

Nominations Researcher

Office of Legal Policy (OLP)

U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20530

Room 4232

Office: (b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: AP Bias

Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2018 20:55:11 -0000

Importance: Normal

From: Hudson, Andrew (OLP)

Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 4:54 PM

To: Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Fragoso,

Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: AP Bias

A great example of AP's bias on this, look at the word cloud-style representation of the statements made by both parties:

https://apnews.com/8f5fc89473d8429f90af397ce0ae6b97/Trump-drops-civil-tone,-slams-Kavanaugh-accuser-credibility?utm_medium=AP&utm_source=Twitter&utm_campaign=SocialFlow

Republican

- High school behavior
- False
- If they're true
- Delaying the process
- She's mistaken
- Mixed up
- Gaps in her memory
- If she is accusing
- Kavanaugh is a fine man
- No other OUTSIDE investigation

Democrat

- Need to be heard
- Sexual assault
- Ask the FBI
- Credible allegations
- We believe her
- Horrific treatment
- Situation is stacked
- Courage

That certainly seems to paint things in a particular light. But it's ridiculous when you look at how they selected which words from each party's officials to include. As an example, here are two of the statements they pulled from (emphasis on which words they selected from each):

Sen Joni Ernst, R-Iowa: "**If she is accusing him** of something so egregious, she needs to be heard."

Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse, D-R.I.: "Survivors **need to be heard** and respected, not attacked and smeared."

Notice that “needs to be heard” actually appears in both statements. Yet only one is considered by AP to be representative of their statement, despite it being the clear point of both statements.

Their list is full of these. For example, for Sen. Jeff Flake’s statement (“Obviously, these are serious charges, and if they’re true, I think they are disqualifying”), they pulled out the words “if they’re true” instead of “Obviously, these are serious charges.”

Absurd.

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>
Cc: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: Here's some quick and dirty talkers

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2018 14:13:09 -0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.png

(b)(5)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Megan Lacy WHO/EOP

Subject: Fwd: Possibly helpful information

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 14:35:47 -0000

Importance: Normal

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 26, 2018 at 9:26:51 AM EDT

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Possibly helpful information

Roger.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

----- Original message -----

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Date: 9/26/18 9:21 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Possibly helpful information

Hi Mike. I spoke last night with a gentleman who (b)(6) He wants to remain anonymous and stay out of this, but he did share one interesting piece of information. He says (b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

I have no reason to think this person would be lying about this, especially as I had to coax any information at all out of him. Might be an interesting line of questioning to pursue. Even if (b)(6), I could go back to this guy and see if he'd be willing to submit a statement recounting the events.

Brett

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Raj Shah EOP/WHO, (b)(6) Ann Donaldson EOP/WHO

Subject: McLean

Date: Wed, 03 Oct 2018 13:14:27 -0000

Importance: Normal

You can always count on Heavy.com. It appears Monica McLean not only exists and not only worked for the DOJ for 20+ years, she signed the Holton-Arms letter of support for Ford. And not the one with a thousand people, the one with 17 that said this:

We, of the Holton Arms Class of 1984, are writing on behalf of our friend and classmate, Dr. Christine Blasey Ford, to attest to her honesty, integrity, and intelligence; and to contend that her decision to provide information pertaining to a sexual assault is not a partisan act. It is an act of civic duty and the experience she described in her letter needs to be seriously considered. We represent all political parties and we support Christine bringing this matter forward.”

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- reporters digging for dirt on BK from Georgetown law students

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 20:29:29 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: FB.jpg

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 3:00 PM

To: Megan Lacy <(b)(6)>; Champoux, Mark (OLP)

<(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Fragoso, Michael (OLP)

<(b)(6)>

Subject: SCOTUS -- reporters digging for dirt on BK from Georgetown law students

[See attached.](#)

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Ann Donaldson EOP/WHO

Subject: White House counsel Don McGahn devised Brett Kavanaugh's strategy, urging the Supreme Court nominee to push back hard on sexual-assault allegations

Date: Wed, 03 Oct 2018 19:36:52 -0000

Importance: Normal

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/the-man-behind-the-brett-kavanaugh-strategy-don-mcgahn-1538594487?emailToken=7ccd62c468338cea4ba54c66a252f8805ks7mWggvFlgzSjjj7L+FjRQQUCaA0Ustn7QpNwizAwe9rQ1s8Ej2R33hDx7D/SawuMh7MUBF+qNoQkMJnUvzk2o97pRdloT0jUvgdtLAn3QUcbVA06SLwPC9UHbq1N6>

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Raj Shah EOP/WHO

Subject: NBC story

Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2018 11:30:58 -0400

Importance: Normal

<https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/supreme-court/dozens-potential-sources-information-have-not-been-contacted-fbi-kavanaugh-n916146>

Sent from my iPhone

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: BI call
Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 21:56:35 +0000
Importance: Normal

They would like to do 8:30.

From: Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 12:08 PM
To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Cc: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: BI call

He's ready and willing to take a call ASAP.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2018, at 12:04 PM, Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

+ Chris

Is anyone currently in touch with BK, or should I reach out?

From: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 12:03 PM
To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: BI call

From: Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 11:38 AM
To: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)> Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> ; Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: BI call

As well as specific allegations from Mr. Avanetti's client.

----- Original message -----

From: "Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Date: 9/26/18 11:35 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
<(b)(6)> "Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

(b)(6)

Subject: BI call

Mike,

I think we'll want to schedule another BI call this afternoon regarding allegations from a Jane Doe in California. Is the Judge free today?

Thanks,

Lauren

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
"Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: BI call
Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 18:05:33 +0000
Importance: Normal

They're working on a court reporter.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2018, at 12:09 PM, Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
wrote:

Duplicative Material

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: BI call

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 16:32:04 +0000

Importance: Normal

Relayed and waiting to hear back.

From: Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 12:08 PM
To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Cc: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: BI call

Duplicative Material

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: BI call
Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 21:43:13 +0000
Importance: Normal

Any conflicts this evening?

From: Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 12:07 PM
To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Cc: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: Re: BI call

With him now and will ask.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2018, at 12:04 PM, Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

Duplicative Material

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: Letter from Members of the Florida House of Representatives

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 21:07:27 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Florida_House_Kavanuagh_Letter.pdf

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: House Majority Office [mailto:HouseMajorityOffice@myfloridahouse.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 5:02 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Subject: Letter from Members of the Florida House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Davis:

Attached, please find a copy of a letter members of the Florida House of Representatives sent Chairman Grassley, Ranking Member Feinstein, and Senate leadership regarding the confirmation of Judge Kavanaugh. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Florida House Majority Office

322 The Capitol
402 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1330
(850) 488-1993

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: Megan Lacy <(b)(6)> Mark Champoux <(b)(6)>
Brett Talley <(b)(6)> "Michael Fragoso" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd:

Date: Mon, 17 Sep 2018 02:21:55 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

----- Original message -----

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Date: 9/16/18 10:20 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: Nominations Strategy (b)(6)

Subject:

Just spoke to Leonard Leo for 30 mins. He is pushing BK to call on us to reopen the hearing, so BK can address these allegations.

I made it clear that this is a recipe for disaster. I also asked Abegg to call Leonard, to relay the same message.

I called Travis Lenkner, BK's point man, and relayed the same.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Fwd: [EXTERNAL] Daily Caller: Man Apologizes For Claiming Kavanaugh Sexually Assaulted His Friend, Says He 'Made A Mistake'

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 02:08:26 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg; image002.jpg; image003.jpg

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Scotus News Alerts <ScotusNewsAlerts@gop.com>

Date: September 26, 2018 at 10:06:49 PM EDT

To: undisclosed-recipients;

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Daily Caller: Man Apologizes For Claiming Kavanaugh Sexually Assaulted His Friend, Says He 'Made A Mistake'

Man Apologizes For Claiming Kavanaugh Sexually Assaulted His Friend, Says He 'Made A Mistake'

Daily Caller

Peter Hasson

September 26, 2018 – 8:46 PM

[>https://dailycaller.com/2018/09/26/rhode-island-kavanaugh-made-a-mistake/<](https://dailycaller.com/2018/09/26/rhode-island-kavanaugh-made-a-mistake/)

A Rhode Island man who accused Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh of sexually assaulting his friend apologized on Wednesday and said he "made a mistake."

The man called Rhode Island Democratic Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse's office and claimed that [Kavanaugh](#) and Mark Judge, a high school friend of Kavanaugh, drunkenly sexually assaulted one of his friends, according to [interview transcripts](#) released by the Senate Judiciary Committee.

A committee investigator asked [Kavanaugh](#) about the allegation during an interview on Tuesday, which he denied.

The man's name was redacted in the transcripts released to the public but the investigator quoted verbatim several outlandish anti-Trump tweets from the man's Twitter account, allowing reporters to identify him on Twitter as "Jeffrey Catalan."

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- publicly release Judge Kavanaugh's 9/17/2018 transcribed interview

Date: Mon, 24 Sep 2018 21:03:47 +0000

Importance: High

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (direct)

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 4:57 PM

To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)> [REDACTED] Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)

<(b)(6)> [REDACTED]

Subject: SCOTUS -- publicly release Judge Kavanaugh's 9/17/2018 transcribed interview

Importance: High

Jennifer and Heather,

Hope you are doing well. The Chairman wants to publicly release Judge Kavanaugh's 9/17/2018 transcribed interview with his staff, as we may need to use this evidence for the hearing on Thursday.

I presume that the Ranking Member has no objection to the public release of this evidence. Please let me know as soon as you can. We really appreciate it.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (direct)

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
To: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Mark Champoux
(b)(6)"; (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)
(b)(6) Michael Frago (OLP)
Cc: "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- meeting this evening

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 21:55:07 +0000

Importance: Normal

Great.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO [mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 5:51 PM
To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> Mark Champoux (b)(6)
(b)(6); (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); (b)(6) Michael Frago (OLP)
Cc: Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>
Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- meeting this evening

Thanks very much, Mike. Adding James. Glad you are connecting with her and yes – highly recommend.

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 5:50 PM
To: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Mark Champoux (b)(6)
(b)(6); (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); (b)(6) Michael Frago (OLP)
Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- meeting this evening

CONFIDENTIAL

We are considering (b)(6) for nominations investigation counsel:

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

She comes highly recommended by former Senator Danforth, Senator Lee, Bill Burck, and, from what I understand, the WHCO.

Thoughts?

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 5:06 PM

To: (b)(6)

Cc: Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Subject: SCOTUS -- meeting this evening

(b)(6)

Great to connect via phone.

Please call my cell phone when you land.

Andrew Ferguson (cc'd) and I look forward to meeting you in person this evening.

Please come to the Dirksen entrance at 1st and C Street, NE.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: Kavanaugh Confirmation Hearing Day 4 (C-SPAN2) – Sen. Mike Lee Questioning, Maureen Mahoney, Rebecca Taibleson, Melissa Smith

Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2018 23:25:10 +0000

Importance: Normal

Is it this?

what can you tell us about what saw and how that would portend for how he would interact with colleagues regardless of their backgrounds andegss o what some people might identify as their political ideologies?

REBECCA TAIBLESON: certainly, senator. the d.c. circuit court of appeals is composed of many judges who have diverse views on the law and on judicial philosophies more generally. but at least when i was there, their views of judge kavanaugh are not diverse. instead, they uniformly respect him, they appreciate his collegiality, his civility, his hard work and, ultimately, the fact that he's a straight shooter. he, there are certainly always going to be disagreements, but those are disagreements that he has in good faith. no hidden agenda, nothing like that. he says what he means and he means what he says. i think on the supreme court he is going to bring those same characteristics, and i think he's going to be sort of a uniter for that reason. i think he's going to bring out the best in his fellow justices, should he be fortunate enough to be confirmed, and is going to have great relationships with justices across the ideological spectrum.

From: Scotus News Alerts <ScotusNewsAlerts@gop.com>

Sent: Friday, September 7, 2018 3:08 PM

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Kavanaugh Confirmation Hearing Day 4 (C-SPAN2) – Sen. Mike Lee Questioning, Maureen Mahoney, Rebecca Taibleson, Melissa Smith

Kavanaugh Confirmation Hearing Day 4 (C-SPAN2) – Sen. Mike Lee Questioning, Maureen Mahoney, Rebecca Taibleson, Melissa Smith

<http://mms.tveyes.com/transcript.asp?PlayClip=FALSE&DTSearch=TRUE&DateTime=09%2F07%2F2018+14%3A21%3A44&market=m1&StationID=200>

SEN. RICHARD BLUMENTHAL: thank you, senator hatch. you for being here. this is another great panel. i want to join my colleague, senator whitehouse, who very eloquently and powerfully thanked ms. eastmond, jackson, cover bin and hunter. you are really shown us how an individual voice can make such a difference. i also want to thank melissa smith for your comments on how a collective voice can be impactful, and a lot of young people would not have their individual voices but for your service as a teacher. i've always thought that being a teacher, along with being police, firefighter, emergency responders, you are the unsung heroes, our public service employees. i want to thank you for your personal testimony about the importance of the issues that matter in real lives to real people and have real impact.

MELISSA SMITH: thank you.

SEN. BLUMENTHAL: and i want to ask ms. eastmond, since we talked about real people and real lives, you know, in connecticut we have, we had a tragedy similar to the one you experienced. and i lived through an afternoon and then a week similar to what you did in parkland. not the same firsthand experience that you did, but i saw the impact on loved ones and children and parents and teachers. as you did. and i saw the impact on moms and dads like fred guttenberg, who was here earlier in the week as you know, and you commented in your testimony about him. if i were judge kavanaugh who, as you know, said that a assault weapons should not be banned, cannot be banned under the second amendment of the constitution, what would you say to him?

AALAYAH EASTMOND: that my life, along with all the other youth, is more important than that gun.

SEN. BLUMENTHAL: and if he said to you, you know, there is this legal principle that says unless there was a ban or one analogous to it at the time of our constitution or traditionally in our law, what would you say about the real impact of that kind of assault weapon on your life?

EASTMOND: yeah. it's unimaginable. the shooter at my school shot 34 kids in under six minutes. and that gun ended 17 lives on february 14th. that gun ended lives at sanity hook. that gun -- sandy hook. that gun end ended lives all over the country, and there's mass shootings that happen almost every month. and i believe that that gun needs to be banned, any assault rifle. and he needs to listen to us because our lives are just as important as any american's freedom to own a gun.

SEN. BLUMENTHAL: well, i hope that judge kavanaugh's listening to you. thank you very much. thank you, mr. chairman.

[inaudible]

SEN. MIKE LEE: thank you, mr. chairman. thanks so much to all of you for being here. my friend and colleague, senator kennedy from louisiana, had to step out for a few minutes, wasn't sure whether be back in time, but he asked me to convey his gratitude to each of you for your testimony and your willingness to provide insights. ms. mahoney, i'd like to start with you. i heard mentioned a minute ago speculation about unfair advantage in court, and senator hatch, i can tell you she always has an unfair advantage in court because she's so good. [laughter] you've always been one of my favorite litigators to watch argue cases in the supreme court. it's an odd little hobby of mine, watching supreme court litigants, and always enjoyed you arguing. one of the things i've appreciated about your arguments is that you focus on the law. you focus on what, why your client's case is right. and you focus -- you seem to have an approach that echoes something you said a minute ago which is if you're willing to go to the hard work of finding an answer in a case, you can find the right answer. the law will normally supply a correct answer. you seem to believe that judge kavanaugh shares this view. tell me how that can help instill a sense of civility among members of the bar and among jurists, the belief that there's a right answer in the law?

MAUREEN MAHONEY: i think, i think there is a right answer in the law. i think he believes that, and it should instill a sense of confidence in the judiciary because there is sort of this pervasive view that the justices -- or i becoming more per vase isive -- that the justices are just partisans, you know, deciding for their team. and i certainly don't believe that's the case. i don't think that's what's going on. there are different ideologies, but i don't think it's partisanship. and i think that justice kavanaugh -- judge kavanaugh, justice kavanaugh hopefully, will perform his role in a way that people will understand that he is just working to get the answer the way he asks questions, the way he probes evenly, the way he shows respect for everyone and the way he explains his decisions and the way he surprises people sometimes with the way that he rules. you won't be happy, republicans won't be happy every time. democrats won't be happy every time. but it will be a product of his reasoning and his effort and his work in the case. and i think americans should be grateful for that kind of judicial approach whether they're republicans or democrats, and i would hope that we could get beyond some of this polarization.

SEN. LEE: as someone who's devoted her career to arguing in pregnant of the supreme court, you can -- in front of the supreme court, you can confirm there is no aisle --

MAHONEY: there is no political aisle.

SEN. LEE: and, in fact, 5-4 decisions are very rare.

MAHONEY: they're very rare. yes, they are.

SEN. LEE: ms. tablesen, i appreciated your comments. having served as a law clerk myself, i know there's a special bond, relationship that develops between a law clerk and the judge or justice for whom the law clerk is working. one of the reasons for that is you're able to interact with the jurist on a day-to-day basis. not only in seeing, in your case, how judge kavanaugh interacted with his law clerks, but also how he interacted with his colleagues. what can you tell us about what saw and how that would portend for how he would interact with colleagues regardless of their backgrounds andegss o what some people might identify as their political ideologies?

REBECCA TAIBLESON: certainly, senator. the d.c. circuit court of appeals is composed of many judges who have diverse views on the law and on judicial philosophies more generally. but at least when i was there, their views of judge kavanaugh are not diverse. instead, they uniformly respect him, they appreciate his collegiality, his civility, his hard work and, ultimately, the fact that he's a straight shooter. he, there are certainly always going to be disagreements, but those are disagreements that he has in good faith. no hidden agenda, nothing like that. he says what he means and he means what he says. i think on the supreme court he is going to bring those same characteristics, and i think he's going to be sort of a uniter for that reason. i think he's going to bring out the best in his fellow justices, should he be fortunate enough to be confirmed, and is going to have great relationships with justices across the ideological spectrum.

SEN. LEE: thank you. ms. smith, i have great respect for teachers. both my parents worked as educators in different capacities at different points in their careers, and they always taught me to have great respect for my teachers, especially social studies teachers because of the importance of the subject matter you teach. can you help me understand, i understand that resources are scarce and more resources often need to be devoted to public education to make sure that you as a teacher and your colleagues, those with whom you work have the capacity to do your job, to educate people. help me understand the connection between your concern for those resources and the jurisprudential philosophy of this federal judge. ..

SMITH: with less funding than we have now

SEN. LEE: when you say his position, you don't mean his policy positions because the policymaker but as a jurist deciding on whether or not something is lawful. to say whether the policymakers are empowered to make those decisions, isn't there a difference between those two things?

SMITH: yes i know. we often believe whether they be elected officials or judges, they're not supposed to bring their personal views into it and base decisions on the law but it doesn't seem like that's the case, maybe not with judge kavanaugh but there's also a concern that personal views will influence judgment and a concern that teachers have, that students have and when he has publicly spoken in support of public school vouchers, that's a concern that we have

SEN. LEE: my time has expired, thank you mister chairman.

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: Megan Lacy <(b)(6)> Mark Champoux <(b)(6)>
Brett Talley <(b)(6)> "Michael Fragoso" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd: Tweet from Jeff Flake (@JeffFlake)

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 04:08:17 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

----- Original message -----

From: "Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Date: 9/18/18 11:55 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: Nominations Strategy (b)(6)

Subject: Tweet from Jeff Flake (@JeffFlake)

Jeff Flake (@JeffFlake) tweeted at 11:50 PM on Tue, Sep 18, 2018:

When Dr. Ford came forward, I said that her voice should be heard and asked the Judiciary Committee to delay its vote on Judge Kavanaugh. It did so. I now implore Dr. Ford to accept the invitation for Monday, in a public or private setting. The committee should hear her voice.

(<https://twitter.com/JeffFlake/status/1042259571463471109?s=09>)

Get the official Twitter app at <https://twitter.com/download?s=13>

From: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: WSJ: Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 23:59:15 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg

(b)(5)

From: Scotus News Alerts <ScotusNewsAlerts@gop.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 7:43 PM

Subject: [EXTERNAL] WSJ: Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh

Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh

Wall Street Journal

Rebecca Ballhaus & Aruna Viswanatha

September 26, 2018 – 7:35 PM

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/attorney-avenatti-releases-affidavit-from-woman-describing-kavanaugh-at-parties-in-1980s-1537974634>

In declaration, woman alleges she was drugged, raped at 1982 party where Kavanaugh was present

On the eve of a critical Senate hearing that could decide the fate of Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh, a third woman came forward to accuse him of sexual misconduct in the early 1980s.

The revelations stunned Capitol Hill, where Republican lawmakers are seeking to push through a nomination that legal scholars and lawmakers say would create a conservative majority that could survive for decades.

Judge Kavanaugh's judicial elevation, once expected to move quickly and smoothly through the Senate, now hangs on the votes of a handful of GOP senators troubled by the late-breaking accusations. Sens. Jeff Flake of Arizona, Susan Collins of Maine and Lisa Murkowski of Alaska have all said they would determine their votes after Thursday's hearing. With a 51-49 advantage, Republicans can't afford more than one defection, assuming no Democrat votes for him.

At the Senate Judiciary Committee hearing, Judge Kavanaugh and Christine Blasey Ford are scheduled to testify on her allegations that he sexually assaulted her when they were teenagers. The judge has denied Dr. Ford's claims. Judiciary committee aides were seeking to speak with Ms. Swetnick but she isn't scheduled to appear at the hearing.

The accusations have emerged as a test for Congress in how it handles sexual misconduct issues in the age of the "#MeToo" movement, a challenge that could influence the outcome of the November midterm elections. Republican lawmakers were so concerned about the optics of their 11-man judiciary committee roster grilling Dr. Ford that they hired a female outside counsel, Arizona prosecutor Rachel Mitchell, to do most of their questioning.

The committee is tentatively scheduled to vote on Judge Kavanaugh's nomination on Friday, and the full-Senate could act on it early next week.

That schedule was rattled Wednesday when Ms. Swetnick issued a sworn statement alleging that between 1981 and 1982, she became aware of efforts by Judge Kavanaugh and his high-school friend, Mark Judge, to "spike" the "punch" at house

parties she attended with drugs “and/or grain alcohol” and subsequently “target” women.

In a statement released by the White House on Wednesday, Judge Kavanaugh called the allegations “ridiculous and from the Twilight Zone.” Of Ms. Swetnick, he said: “I don’t know who this is and this never happened.” Mr. Judge’s lawyer denied the allegations.

Ms. Swetnick said she attended more than 10 house parties in the Washington area between 1981 and 1983 with Judge Kavanaugh and Mr. Judge, where she watched them “drink excessively and engage in highly inappropriate conduct, including being overly aggressive with girls” and grabbing them “without their consent.”

She said that in 1982, she was drugged and raped by a group of boys. Ms. Swetnick doesn’t allege that Judge Kavanaugh and Mr. Judge engaged in the rape but said they were “present” when she was victimized. She said that witnesses could verify her account and that she told people about the attack shortly after it happened.

She also said she had a “firm recollection” that at these house parties, boys lined up outside rooms “waiting for their ‘turn’ with a girl inside the room,” and that those boys included Judge Kavanaugh and Mr. Judge.

High-school friends of Judge Kavanaugh on Wednesday rebutted the new allegations of sexual misconduct. In a letter to the Senate Judiciary Committee, more than 60 people who said they knew the Supreme Court nominee in high school dismissed as “nonsense” accusations that he spiked drinks at parties so women could be assaulted. They said they didn’t know Ms. Swetnick.

Ms. Swetnick’s declaration was made public in a tweet by attorney Michael Avenatti, a lawyer who also represents Stephanie Clifford, the former adult-film star whom a lawyer for President Trump paid in October 2016 to keep quiet about an alleged affair with Mr. Trump. Mr. Avenatti in recent days has repeatedly called for a Federal Bureau of Investigation probe into the allegations against Judge Kavanaugh.

Hours after Mr. Avenatti tweeted the sworn declaration from Ms. Swetnick, the GOP president attacked him on Twitter, calling him a “third-rate lawyer who is good at making false accusations, like he did on me and like he is now doing on Judge Brett Kavanaugh.”

President Trump stands “firmly behind” Judge Kavanaugh, a White House official said, and has “no plans to pull” his nomination.

The new allegations from Ms. Swetnick could renew pressure on Mr. Judge to testify before the Senate committee. Dr. Ford has alleged that he was with Mr. Kavanaugh when she was attacked. Democrats have previously called for Mr. Judge to testify, but a lawyer for Mr. Judge has said his client has no recollection of the episode Dr. Ford described and didn’t want to testify.

Ms. Swetnick said she holds active clearances at the Treasury Department, U.S. Mint and Internal Revenue Service. She said in her declaration that she would be willing to testify to the information contained there.

Ms. Swetnick graduated from Gaithersburg High School in 1980, according to a spokeswoman for Montgomery County Public Schools, which would mean she attended the parties described in her declaration after she had graduated. Judge Kavanaugh graduated from high school in 1983.

She has worked for two decades as a web developer, according to an online resume, including as a subcontractor for government agencies including units of the U.S. Treasury and State Departments.

Ms. Swetnick, who Mr. Avenatti said approached him about a month ago with her allegations, has little online presence. A registered voter in Washington, she isn’t affiliated with any political party. Federal Election Commission records show no donations by her to federal candidates. She has had a hunting license in Montana, according to public records, and was for years a resident of Bethesda, Md., where she listed a business in 2009 called International Building Solutions.

More recently, Ms. Swetnick moved into an upscale residential and retail area of Washington called City Center, into the same building where senior Trump adviser Stephen Miller lives and where former White House communications director Hope Hicks once resided.

Public records reveal a handful of instances in which Ms. Swetnick filed complaints against others, or been the subject of them. Mr. Avenatti didn't respond to a request for comment.



In 1993, she filed a criminal harassment complaint with state prosecutors in Maryland against a podiatrist and his wife, alleging repeated phone calls, according to court records, but the case was withdrawn two months after it was filed.

In 2001, Ms. Swetnick was the defendant in a domestic-violence case filed by Richard Venneccy in Miami-Dade County, Fla. The case was dismissed when both parties failed to appear in court in March of that year, according to court documents reviewed by the Journal.

Roughly a decade ago, Ms. Swetnick was involved in a dispute with her former employer, New York Life Insurance Co., over a sexual-harassment complaint she filed, according to people familiar with the matter. Representing her in the complaint was the firm run by Debra Katz, the lawyer currently representing Dr. Ford. The company ultimately reached a financial settlement with Ms. Swetnick, the people said.

A spokesman for New York Life confirmed that Ms. Swetnick worked there as an agent for less than two years, from 2006 to 2008. She didn't list her work there on a résumé posted online.

A spokeswoman for Ms. Katz declined to comment.

Records show Ms. Swetnick also had recent run-ins with tax authorities. In 2015, Maryland's comptroller filed a lien against her in Anne Arundel County, a suburb of Baltimore and Washington, for more than \$30,000 in unpaid taxes. The state demanded about the same amount in interest and penalties for a total bill of \$62,821. The state released the lien in 2016.

In 2017, the Internal Revenue Service filed a lien on Ms. Swetnick's Washington apartment for \$40,303 in unpaid taxes, and released it in March, saying the tax bill had been paid.

Mr. Avenatti said in an interview late Tuesday that he had extensively vetted his client's allegations.

“I’ll put my vetting process up against Donald Trump’s vetting process any day of the week,” he said.

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: 8/3/2018 Letter from Chairman and Ranking Member to Archivist re Office of the Independent Counsel

Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2018 13:48:57 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Stern_Letter_to_Grassley-Feinstein,_9-21-2018,_re_Kavanaugh_OIC_Records.3.pdf

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: GaryM Stern [mailto:(b)(6)]

Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 9:35 AM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> [REDACTED] Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem)

<(b)(6)> [REDACTED]

Cc: Megan Lacy <(b)(6)> [REDACTED] Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: 8/3/2018 Letter from Chairman and Ranking Member to Archivist re Office of the Independent Counsel

Please see our third response to this request. Per the attached letter, in the course of reviewing OIC records that had previously been opened to the public over the past decade in response to FOIA requests related to the Vince Foster investigation, we have identified some additional Kavanaugh related records that we had not specifically provided to the Committee or posted on line. Accordingly, we decided to scan the three boxes that contain such previously released information. We will be providing you with DVDs containing these records later today, and plan to post them on our website on Monday.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Gary

Gary M. Stern
General Counsel
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road
College Park, MD 20740

(b)(6) (office)

301-837-0293 (fax)

(b)(6)



On Fri, Aug 17, 2018 at 10:31 AM GaryM Stern <(b)(6)> wrote:

Please see attached letter describing our second, and final, response to the Chairman's and Ranking Member's request for Kavanaugh records from his service with the Office of Independent Counsel Starr. We have now completed our processing of this request.

DVD's with the records will be delivered to the majority and minority offices later today. We also intend to post all of these documents on our website by Monday, at this link:

<https://www.archives.gov/research/investigations/kavanaugh>

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Gary

Gary M. Stern
General Counsel
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road
College Park, MD 20740

(b)(6) (office)

301-837-0293 (fax)

(b)(6)



On Thu, Aug 9, 2018 at 9:31 AM, GaryM Stern <(b)(6)> wrote:

Please see attached letter describing our first, interim response to the Chairman's and Ranking Member's request for Kavanaugh records from his service with the Office of Independent Counsel Starr.

DVD's with the records will be delivered to majority and minority offices later this morning. We also intend to post all of these documents on our website tomorrow (to the extent not already posted), at this link:

<https://www.archives.gov/research/investigations/kavanaugh>

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Gary

Gary M. Stern
General Counsel
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road
College Park, MD 20740

(b)(6) (office)

301-837-0293 (fax)

(b)(6)



On Fri, Aug 3, 2018 at 12:53 PM, Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> wrote:

Mr. Stern:

Please find the attached letter from the Chairman and Ranking Member of the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary to the Archivist of the United States regarding records from the Office of the Independent Counsel.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- please provide copy of the letter from Dr. Ford

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 23:44:32 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 7:44 PM

To: Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)> Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)

<(b)(6)> Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- please provide copy of the letter from Dr. Ford

Thanks, Heather.

The Ranking Member has had the non-BI version of the letter since around 7/30/2018. The only reason the version that the Chairman received is a BI version is because the Ranking Member sent her non-BI version of the letter to the FBI – and never provided the Chairman a copy. The FBI sent to us a redacted version of the letter in the form of the FBI's supplemental BI report.

In other words, there are 2 versions of the exact same letter:

(1) a non-BI, unredacted version that the Ranking Member received around 7/30/2018; and

(2) a BI, redacted version that we received from the FBI, after the Ranking Member hid the letter from the Chairman and all other members for nearly 6 weeks.

We just want the Ranking Member to provide us a copy of the non-BI version.

I will ask the Chairman to call the Ranking Member, if we cannot get this resolved immediately on the staff level.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 7:33 PM
To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>
Cc: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- please provide copy of the letter from Dr. Ford

Hi Mike –

Getting back to you since Marc is not part of the BI process for this nominee.

The FBI has included the letter as part of the BI file. You've been following up with witnesses as part of the BI investigative process. We're fine treating all the supplemental materials the same – the redacted letter and all of your notes and transcripts of the follow-up with Judge Kavanaugh and others. That would mean all this information is available on the same terms. Under your proposal, assume this would then mean that all staff of the Committee (and, of course, all Senators) would have access to all of these supplemental materials? Let us know if this is how you want to handle it.

Thanks -- Heather

From: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem)
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 7:26 PM
To: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)> Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)
<(b)(6)>
Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- please provide copy of the letter from Dr. Ford

Can one of you get back to him?

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 3:04 PM
To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>
Subject: SCOTUS -- please provide copy of the letter from Dr. Ford

Jennifer,

Now that the Dr. Ford has made her allegations public against Judge Kavanaugh, please provide the Chairman's office a copy of the letter that the Ranking Member received.

We need a non-BI version of the letter, so non-BI staff can read the letter.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- please provide copy of the letter from Dr. Ford

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 19:05:27 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (direct)

(b)(6) [REDACTED] (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 3:04 PM

To: Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) <(b)(6)>

Subject: SCOTUS -- please provide copy of the letter from Dr. Ford

Duplicative Material

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> [(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)]

<(b)(6)> , "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO"

Subject: Love it

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 02:57:45 +0000

Importance: Normal



Sarah Sanders (@PressSec)

10/1/18, 10:51 PM

Democrats desperately attack Judge Kavanaugh for throwing ice during college. What motivated New York Times reporter to write this ridiculous story? Throwing ice 33 years ago, or her opinion of Judge Kavanaugh in July? twitter.com/emilybazelon/s...

[Download](#) the Twitter app

Sent from my iPhone

From: "Day, Sean (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: Media Updates

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 21:40:07 +0000

Importance: Normal

From: (b)(6) (OLP)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 5:20 PM

To: Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Cc: Day, Sean (OLP) <(b)(6)> Crytzer, Katherine (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: Media Updates

- David Enrich on Twitter:

Based on extensive interviews by me and @katekelly with Kavanaugh's former Georgetown Prep classmates, what he just said about the meanings of "boofed" and "Devil's Triangle" is not true.

FWIW, Kavanaugh's explanation of the "FFFFFFFFFourth of July" is consistent with what @katekelly and I heard from his ex-classmates: that he had a friend who sometimes stuttered.

[Tweet](#)

- Washington Post: [Article](#)

4 Takeaways according to the Washington Post:

1. This didn't start off well for Republicans
2. Meanwhile, Ford came across as credible, emotional and sympathetic
3. Republicans' decision to hand their questions over to a female prosecutor is a questionable one
4. Kavanaugh is angry ... but does his defiance backfire on him?

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: DRAFT: Briefing Prep TPs/Opening statement

Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2018 14:20:32 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Press_Sec_TPs_10.3.18.docx

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 10:15 AM

To: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: DRAFT: Briefing Prep TPs/Opening statement

From: Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 9:59 AM

To: Sanders, Sarah H. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Kennedy, Adam R. EOP/WHO

<(b)(6)>

Cc: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Subject: DRAFT: Briefing Prep TPs/Opening statement

Given when we're starting, we need some stuff, but Annie, pls review.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Raj Shah
Deputy Assistant to the President
The White House
Cell: (b)(6)
@RajShah45

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd:

Date: Mon, 17 Sep 2018 20:38:35 +0000

Importance: Normal

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 17, 2018 at 4:35:42 PM EDT

To: (b)(6) Raj Shah EOP/WHO

The Department of Justice and the FBI conduct background investigations in accordance with a memorandum of understanding adopted signed by then-Attorney General Eric H. Holder, Jr., and then-White House Counsel Robert F. Bauer in March 2010. The MOU provides as follows:

“[P]rior to an Appointee’s assuming the nominated position ... if the FBI becomes aware of new information that raises questions about the suitability or trustworthiness of an Appointee ... the FBI will so apprise the President or his designated representative as soon as possible.”

The FBI does not make any judgment about the credibility or significance of any allegation. The purpose of a background investigation is to determine whether the nominee could pose a risk to the national security of the United States. Consistent with the memorandum of understanding, the FBI forwarded to the White House Counsel’s Office a letter alleging that the nominee engaged in an incident of misconduct in the 1980s. The allegation does not involve any potential federal crime. The FBI’s role in such matters is to provide information for the use of the decisionmakers.

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: Letter in support of Brett Kavanaugh

Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2018 17:20:57 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Kavanaugh_letter.pdf

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 10:20 AM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: Letter in support of Brett Kavanaugh

----- Forwarded message -----

From: (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Date: Fri, Sep 21, 2018 at 10:18 AM

Subject: Letter in support of Brett Kavanaugh

To: <(b)(6) Mike Davis (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6) Marc Hearron (Judiciary-Dem)>>

Dear Mr. Davis and Mr. Hearron,

Attached please find a letter in support of Brett Kavanaugh.

Thank you,

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: Letter in support of Brett Kavanaugh

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 03:11:55 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Helen_Healey_Rice_Yale_College_'87_letter.docx

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Helen Rice [mailto:(b)(6) [REDACTED]]

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 3:45 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6) [REDACTED]> Hearnon, Marc (Judiciary-Dem)

<(b)(6) [REDACTED]>

Subject: Letter in support of Brett Kavanaugh

From: "Gramley, Shannon M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Bash, Zina"

<(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO"

<(b)(6)> "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO"

<(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

"Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: BK ETA 950/955

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 13:45:58 +0000

Importance: Normal

Shannon M. Gramley

Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Don't misinterpret that ABA statement...

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2018 16:07:17 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg

All -

Those covering today's markup must note that (b)(5)

<https://twitter.com/RajShah45/status/1045698051527053312>

The ABA Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary is separate and independent from its parent organization and has rated Judge Kavanaugh unanimously well-qualified. That hasn't changed. More on the Standing Committee on the Judiciary's Backgrounder is here.

C. Impartiality and Independence

The impartiality and independence of the Committee and its procedures are essential to the effectiveness of its work. The ABA's Board of Governors, House of Delegates and Officers are not involved in any way in the work of the Committee. Furthermore, no disclosures regarding the Committee's substantive work are made to ABA volunteers, up to and including the President of the ABA. Its work is insulated from, and independent of, all other activities of the ABA. Further its work is not affected by ABA policies, other than those stated herein.

Raj Shah
Deputy Assistant to the President
The White House
Cell: (b)(6)
@RajShah45

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
"Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd: SCOTUS -- FBI background investigations and Senate Judiciary Committee follow-up staff calls with nominees

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 00:27:06 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: (b)(5) _TPs.pdf; ATT00001.htm

BUMP in light of breaking news. (I'm sure folks are on this but wanted to put at tops of inboxes.)

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Date: September 17, 2018 at 1:47:50 PM EDT
To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>
Subject: SCOTUS -- FBI background investigations and Senate Judiciary Committee follow-up staff calls with nominees

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: BI interview transcript

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 20:07:29 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.gif

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 4:03 PM

To: (b)(6) Beth Wilkinson [REDACTED] (b)(6) Alexandra Walsh [REDACTED]

Cc: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> [REDACTED] Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep)

<(b)(6)> [REDACTED] Lay, DeLisa (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)> [REDACTED]

Subject: BI interview transcript

Ms. Wilkinson and Ms. Walsh,

We have received a transcript of the Senate Judiciary Committee staff's background investigation interview with Judge Kavanaugh that took place on September 17th. We want to offer Judge Kavanaugh the opportunity to review the transcript and confirm its accuracy. Please let us know if he would like to do so and we can make arrangements.

Thanks,

Lauren



Lauren Mehler
Senior Nominations Counsel

UNITED STATES SENATE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

224 Dirksen Senate Office Building | Washington, DC 20510

phone: (b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: Megan Lacy <(b)(6)> Mark Champoux <(b)(6)>
Brett Talley <(b)(6)> "Michael Fragoso" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd: 43

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 04:16:49 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

----- Original message -----

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

Date: 9/20/18 12:13 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "William A. Burck" <(b)(6)>

Subject: 43

I remember President Bush telling Grassley early on that he would do anything for BK.

Is President Bush going to continue his frolicking with the Clintons, after HRC's bashing of BK today? Is 43 going to put away the water-color brush and push back?

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Ann Donaldson EOP/WHO

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- Judge Kavanaugh's Knowledge of Ramirez's Allegations Before New Yorker article

Date: Wed, 03 Oct 2018 21:46:36 -0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Pre-Publication_Knowledge_of_Ramirez_Allegations.pdf

Pretty good effort from the committee.

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Wednesday, October 3, 2018 5:33 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Subject: SCOTUS -- Judge Kavanaugh's Knowledge of Ramirez's Allegations Before New Yorker article

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: BK

Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2018 13:38:09 +0000

Importance: Normal

Not a bad thought – I think (b)(5), but I agree—(b)(5)

From: Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO

Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 9:16 AM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>


Subject: BK

Annie, Thanks for your thoughtful words and leadership on the call. One thought: (b)(5)

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "McGahn,
Donald F. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M.
EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)
, "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Tuck, Christopher M. EOP/OVP"
<(b)(6)>
Cc: "Hahn, Julia A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Sanders, Sarah H.
EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Walters, Lindsay E. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Gidley, Hogan H. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Kennedy, Adam R. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>
Subject: Doug Jones
Date: Sun, 16 Sep 2018 12:21:41 +0000
Importance: Normal

Doug Jones: 'Push a pause button' on Kavanaugh nomination | AL.com

Updated on Aug 22, 2018 at 05:51 PM CDT

 FILE - In this Dec. 4, 2017 file photo, Democratic senatorial candidate Doug Jones speaks at a news conference in Dolomite, Ala. Jones, the Alabama Democrat who unexpectedly prevailed in one of the country's most Republican states, has a book coming out next year. St. Martin's Press told The Associated Press on Tuesday that Jones' "Bending Toward Justice: The Birmingham Church Bombing that Changed the Course of Civil Rights" is scheduled for January. (AP Photo/Brynn Anderson, File)

FILE - In this Dec. 4, 2017 file photo, Democratic senatorial candidate Doug Jones speaks at a news conference in Dolomite, Ala. Jones, the Alabama Democrat who unexpectedly prevailed in one of the country's most Republican states, has a book coming out next year. St. Martin's Press told The Associated Press on Tuesday that Jones' "Bending Toward Justice: The Birmingham Church Bombing that Changed the Course of Civil Rights" is scheduled for January. (AP Photo/Brynn Anderson, File)

(Brynn Anderson)

By Howard Koplowitz | hkoplowitz@al.com

Sen. Doug Jones, D-Ala., added his name to the handful of Democrats who on Wednesday said that Brett Kavanaugh's Supreme Court nomination should be held up in light of the guilty plea of the president's former personal lawyer and the eight guilty verdicts against President Trump's former campaign manager, Paul Manafort.

Jones said Kavanaugh's nomination should be held up not just because of those legal cases. Alabama's junior senator cited the trove of documents that the Senate Judiciary Committee has yet to obtain from Kavanaugh's tenure as President George W. Bush's staff secretary.

"I think we need to push a pause button right now and let this play out just a little bit," the senator told [MSNBC](#). "And you couple [the legal cases] with the fact that we haven't got the full set of documents, I think it only makes sense."

Jones noted that Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley, R-Iowa, was told by the National Archives that the Kavanaugh documents could be delivered by October at the earliest.

By waiting until then, Jones said, "it'll give some of this other stuff some time to play out," referring to Trump being implicated in violating campaign finance laws by former Trump lawyer Michael Cohen. When he pleaded guilty to eight felony counts in a New York federal courtroom on Tuesday, Cohen maintained he bought the rights to the stories of two women who claimed they had affairs with the then-presidential candidate "in coordination and at the direction of a candidate for federal office.

Jones said the Manafort convictions and the Cohen plea - which he called "two bombshells" - are "undermining this presidency." He said the cases also make Kavanaugh's stance on presidential power more relevant.

"You can't ever determine where this will end up. But I think it does raise the stakes a bit on that part of the Kavanaugh record," Jones said.

While some Democrats have cancelled meetings with Kavanaugh following the legal developments, Jones has not gone that far.

"If the schedule holds, then yes, I'm going to go forward with it," he said. "I'm not going to cancel that."

Meanwhile, the senator is keeping an open mind on Kavanaugh's nomination. He has been facing pressure from both sides of the aisle over his vote, including being heckled

by a protester at a Birmingham town hall who threw a pair of stuffed lips at Jones' direction and said he could "kiss my ass" if he voted for Kavanaugh.

On the other hand, Jones has been the target of ads from the National Rifle Association and the Judicial Crisis Network urging him to vote for Trump's Supreme Court nominee.

Jones has said that appeals from both sides will not impact his decision.

Sent from my iPhone

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)"
<(b)(6)> "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: Senator Gillibrand Letter to President Trump attached.

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 22:29:39 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: 9.26.18_Letter_to_POTUS_re_Kavanaugh.pdf; ATT00001.htm

From: Doty, George E. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 6:27 PM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Knight, Shahira E. EOP/WHO
<(b)(6)>

Cc: Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Riggs, Charlotte R. EOP/WHO
<(b)(6)>

Subject: FW: Senator Gillibrand Letter to President Trump attached.

Annie & Shahira,

Attached please find a letter to the President from Senators Gillibrand, Heinrich, and Whitehouse concerning the Kavanaugh nomination.

Jed

From: Price, Maria (Gillibrand) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 6:23 PM

To: Doty, George E. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Cc: Jamison, Brooke (Gillibrand) <(b)(6)> Tomlinson, Niambe (Gillibrand)
<(b)(6)>

Subject: Senator Gillibrand Letter to President Trump attached.

Hi Jed: Thanks for sending the attached letter to the appropriate staffer for the President. Let me know if you have any questions.

Best,

Maria

Maria Lloyd Price | Counsel

Office of U.S. Senator Kirsten Gillibrand

(b)(6)

>www.gillibrand.senate.gov<

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Talkers to Use If (b)(5)

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 13:27:41 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: (b)(5)_Talkers.docx

For review and comment.

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: Fwd: [EXTERNAL] Request for comment

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 03:49:42 +0000

Importance: Normal

Staying in no comment lane overnight...

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Collins, Kaitlan" <(b)(6)>

Date: September 18, 2018 at 11:16:54 PM EDT

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> (b)(6) Kerri Kupec WHO/EOP

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request for comment

Hi,

We have a letter from someone who says they have been publicly identified by Christine Blasey Ford as someone who was at the party in question in her allegations. This person's name is Patrick Smyth and they deny attending a party of this kind.

Does the White House have a comment?

Thanks,

Kaitlan Collins
CNN White House Correspondent

C: (b)(6)

From: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
To: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Kupec,
Kerri A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO"
<(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Quotes

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 20:28:35 +0000

Importance: Normal

+Kerri and Sean

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 26, 2018, at 4:21 PM, Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

(b)(5)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: BK Support Letter former WH Presidential Correspondence staff

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 16:25:12 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: BKWHPresCorrLetterFinal920.pdf

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Meg Tortorello [mailto:(b)(6)]

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 11:42 AM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) <(b)(6)>

Subject: BK Support Letter former WH Presidential Correspondence staff

Please see the attached letter.

Thank you,

Marguerite Murer Tortorello

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Mazie Question

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 23:47:18 +0000

Importance: Normal

Good quick work on this earlier. Thanks.

> On Sep 20, 2018, at 6:07 PM, Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:

>

> Page 353 of the transcript.

>

> Sean Sandoloski

> Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

> (b)(6)

> O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

> Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 6:07 PM

> To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

> <(b)(6)>

> Subject: RE: Mazie Question

>

>> <https://www.vox.com/2018/9/14/17860362/supreme-court-brett-kavanaugh-sexual-misconduct-allegations><

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

> Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 6:05 PM

> To: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)> Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

> <(b)(6)>

> Subject: Mazie Question

>

> What's the phrasing of the question?

> <09-04-2018 Kavanaugh Nomination Hearing (Day 2).pdf>

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: WH Statement on FBI BI on Judge Brett Kavanaugh

Date: Thu, 4 Oct 2018 06:29:53 +0000

Importance: Normal

White House Spokesman Raj Shah statement on the FBI's supplemental background investigation into Judge Brett Kavanaugh:

"The White House has received the Federal Bureau of Investigation's supplemental background investigation into Judge Kavanaugh, and it is being transmitted to the Senate. With Leader McConnell's cloture filing, Senators have been given ample time to review this seventh background investigation. This is the last addition to the most comprehensive review of a Supreme Court nominee in history, which includes extensive hearings, multiple committee interviews, over 1,200 questions for the record and over a half million pages of documents. With this additional information, the White House is fully confident the Senate will vote to confirm Judge Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court."

Raj Shah
Deputy Assistant to the President
The White House
Cell: (b)(6)
@RajShah45

From: "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Raj Shah EOP/WHO, "Bumatay, Patrick J. (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Cc: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "O'Connor, Kasey (OLP)" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Timeline TPs

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 20:10:47 +0000

Importance: Normal

The below is from a set of TPs that Kasey did – some of it is outdated now so (b)(5). (Stopped updating it last weekend or so.)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Jennie Bradley Lichter
Office of Legal Policy
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530
Office: (b)(6)
Cell: (b)(6)
(b)(6)

From: "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: waiting for flake to call

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 19:42:29 +0000

Importance: Normal

He literally has the American people, the Supreme Court, and Judge Kavanaugh's future all waiting on him...

Liz Horning

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6)

C: (b)(6)

From: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:42 PM

To: Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Subject: RE: waiting for flake to call

Don't you know? He's very special and important and you should be honored to wait.

From: Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)>

Sent: Tuesday, October 2, 2018 3:35 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) <(b)(6)>

Subject: waiting for flake to call

They said the senator would call within the next 20 mins about 30 minutes ago. So we are ready and very excited to take the call whenever they decide to call over.

Liz Horning

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6)

C: (b)(6)

From: "Bash, Zina" <(b)(6)>
To: "Gramley, Shannon M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>
Cc: "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)> "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Re: Prep Session

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 13:42:32 +0000

Importance: Normal

BK arrived, fyi.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Sep 19, 2018, at 6:47 PM, Gramley, Shannon M. EOP/WHO <(b)(6)> wrote:
>
> *Anyone that does NOT have a badge has already been WAVED in for tomorrow*
> <meeting.ics>

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

To: (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Talkers In Event of (b)(5)

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 11:16:06 +0000

Importance: Normal

I wanted to pull some talkers to push in the event that (b)(5). This is a bus ride special, so it needs work. But I wanted to send along what I had so far.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Response to Formal Invitation to Brett Kavanaugh

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 21:39:42 -0000

Importance: Normal

Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep <(b)(6)>

Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep

<(b)(6)>

Willey, Katharine (Judiciary-Rep (b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" <(b)(6)>

To: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" <(b)(6)>

Subject: Thomas timeline

Date: Wed, 19 Sep 2018 12:45:33 -0000

Importance: Normal

<https://www.newsweek.com/anatomy-debacle-204540>.

Last July, as Clarence Thomas stood beside President Bush on a Kennebunkport lawn to accept his nomination, Republicans could hardly contain their glee. Once more, Bush had outfoxed the Democrats. How could white senators vote against a black nominee who, though conservative, had risen from the depths of Southern poverty? Liberal interest groups, with only the scalp of Robert Bork to show for all their judicial headhunting in recent years, nonetheless held out hope. At least it was worth the effort, given that the 43-year-old Thomas might serve on the high court into the 2030s. Since his controversial legal views alone were unlikely to sway senators to vote him down, liberals went out to scour Thomas's personal life. Journalist Juan Williams had done several profiles of Thomas over the years. In a Washington Post op-ed piece last week, Williams revealed that all summer long he was called by eager Senate staffers seeking "anything on your [interview] tapes we can use to stop Thomas." Did he ever take money from the South African government? Did he beat his first wife?

Nothing surfaced-until they got lucky. In August, Nan Aron, head of the Alliance for Justice, which opposed Thomas, got a call from a person saying Thomas had harassed an employee named Anita Hill in the early '80s. The male caller, whom Aron says she knew, had been a classmate of Hill's at Yale Law School. It isn't known whether he was calling at Hill's behest or even with her knowledge. In any event, Aron passed along the information to the staff of Democratic Sen. Howard Metzenbaum, the only member of the Judiciary Committee to vote against Thomas's successful nomination to the federal appeals court in Washington in 1990. Metzenbaum seemed to be the most likely senator to pursue the tip. It was a long shot. Juicy charges percolate up at the last minute in many Supreme Court fights and they usually don't pan out.

Metzenbaum's Labor-committee staff went forward. On Sept. 3 or 4, a female aide phoned Hill. According to Metzenbaum's chronology, the call was only part of a routine inquiry to three women who worked with Thomas at EEOC. Hill, a law professor at the University of Oklahoma, was asked about such legal issues as gender discrimination. The aide mentioned rumors of sexual harassment, but Hill offered nothing. On Sept. 5 a second Labor aide, Ricki Seidman, called Hill. Seidman works as an investigator for Democratic Sen. Ted Kennedy, who, like Metzenbaum, sits on both Labor and Judiciary. This time Hill said she needed to think about whether she would discuss harassment. Four days later Hill agreed to talk. Seidman referred her to a Metzenbaum lawyer named James Brudney, who had attended Yale with Hill. The next day-when the first round of Thomas's confirmation hearings began--Hill detailed her accusations to Brudney. Trouble was, Brudney wasn't on the Judiciary staff. So,

after consulting Metzenbaum, he forwarded the charges to Harriet Grant, chief of nominations for Judiciary. On Sept. 12, in two calls, Hill repeated her story to Grant.

The ball was rolling--with one roadblock. According to Biden, Hill demanded confidentiality, that Thomas not be informed of her charges and that the FBI undertake no investigation. Hill told Grant that she wanted to share her concerns with the committee to "remove responsibility" and "take it out of [her] hands." Biden decided not to tell other committee members of the allegations. Only he, Metzenbaum and Kennedy knew at this point.

Over the next week, as the Thomas hearings continued, Hill spoke to no one at Judiciary. Grant did receive one call--from Susan Hoerchner, a Yale classmate of Hill's, who told Grant that Hill confided in her in the spring of 1981, at the time of the first alleged harassment by Thomas. Hoerchner corroborated Hill's account, reporting that Hill said Thomas caused her to doubt her own professional abilities. On Sept. 19 Hill called Grant again. For the first time Hill said she wanted all committee members to know her story, even if her name had to be used. Hill insisted she didn't want to "abandon" her concerns about Thomas. The day before, she had given an interview to the University of Oklahoma student newspaper. Though she made no mention of harassment, she said "there were a lot of better" candidates than Thomas for the court vacancy.

Still, she was not willing to involve the FBI, a routine step Biden demanded before the issue could go further. Hill thought it over, concluding on Sept. 21 that she didn't want the FBI investigation because she was "skeptical about its utility." Finally, two days later, in what was at least the seventh conversation that week between Hill and the Judiciary staff, Hill said she would submit a statement of her charges to the committee and then agree to bring in the FBI. Throughout these September negotiations, some senators suspect Hill was in regular contact with aides to Kennedy and Metzenbaum, especially Brudney. Thomas's supporters point to them as the source of alleged arm-twisting on Hill. Sen. Dennis DeConcini, a Democrat, says it's apparent that "a Labor-committee aide was giving her advice." Similarly, the White House wonders if Metzenbaum and Kennedy staff badgered Hill into relenting on confidentiality.

Hill faxed her four-page statement to the committee on Sept 23. Biden passed it on to the White House and FBI. This was the first the Bush administration had heard of Hill's claims. White House counsel C. Boyden Gray ordered the FBI to investigate. While the president was briefed, he and his advisers perceived no ticking time bomb; they assumed the charges would prove false. FBI investigators questioned Hill the same day in Oklahoma. Over the next two days, the FBI also talked with Hoerchner and Thomas himself. The nominee learned of the allegations when the FBI showed up at his suburban Virginia house to interview him; he denied the allegations. The FBI completed its report on the 25th. Sources who've seen it say it reads like a bad wire story, a collection of he-said, she-said quotes that reaches no conclusion. Nonetheless, the White House and Republican senators would eventually claim publicly that the report proved Hill wrong.

The White House sent Biden the report, along with a memo from Ken Duberstein, the former Reagan chief of staff hired to manage Thomas's confirmation machine. Biden and his defenders say Hill's desire for confidentiality precluded further investigation. "Talk about sexual

harassment," says a friend of Biden. "How could you pressure this woman?" Of course, he could have called Hill to Washington so that he could meet with her privately to assess her credibility. He could have summoned Hill and Thomas into a closed committee session, though that surely risked a leak. Or he or any other committee member with knowledge of the allegation could have asked for a delay in the vote, pending an attempt at further inquiry.

Biden made one obvious mistake. It was on the night of Sept. 25, after he had received the FBI report. Admittedly under pressure from the White House to get Thomas confirmed before the Supreme Court started its term Oct. 7, Biden scheduled the Judiciary vote on Thomas for Sept. 27. Yet he knew he wouldn't be briefing most of his fellow committee Democrats on the Hill charges until the 26th. That gave colleagues little chance to ask for a postponement of the vote. Biden says he or an aide verbally briefed each of the seven Democrats on the 25th or 26th, and that each had access to both the FBI report and Hill's statement before the vote on Friday the 27th. But some of the senators say Biden gave them short shrift; at least one was never told of the report, NEWSWEEK has learned. Moreover, Hill's statement was given to the other committee Democrats just 20 minutes before the vote--while Biden was on the Senate floor announcing his opposition to Thomas even as he vouched for his character.

Biden wasn't the only one who dropped the ball. NEWSWEEK has learned that Sen. Strom Thurmond, the ranking Republican on Judiciary, didn't tell all the five GOP members of the allegations. Arlen Specter, a wavering vote, first heard about Hill on Thursday (from two Democratic colleagues); he met with Thomas for 30 minutes the morning of the vote. Thurmond staffers told aides to Hank Brown of the charges, but said it contained "nothing of significance," according to Brown. Charles Grassley learned of Hill in newspapers more than a week later. Paul Simon, a Democrat, apparently is the only senator who spoke to Anita Hill prior to the Friday vote. Simon received a call from one of Hill's friends asking that he phone her. When they spoke on Thursday, Hill asked Simon to give her statement out to all 100 senators, but keep it otherwise confidential. Simon suggested that a leak would inevitably occur, so Hill decided against distribution.

Despite the 7-7 deadlock by the Judiciary Committee, Thomas's prospects for confirmation were excellent. The week of Sept. 30, moderate Democrats began declaring support. By Friday, Thomas by all counts had enough votes to win. Going into the weekend-with a full Senate vote scheduled for Tuesday-what could go wrong? "We thought this was in the bag," says a senior administration official.

Sunday is a sleepy day in the capital. Other than churchgoers, the only folks in stockings and ties seem to be on Brinkley. It was this calm that Newsday and National Public Radio disturbed on Oct. 6, when they ran stories on Hill's accusations. Actually, the administration and Thomas knew the night before that the Hill story was about to break. Duberstein turned on the spin cycle. Sen. John Danforth, the Missouri Republican and Thomas's chief patron, held an impromptu press conference at home. They had no idea this bombshell would turn out to be thermonuclear, with a chain reaction to match. Danforth should have listened to his wife. "I dismissed it and she didn't," he acknowledged to The New York Times.

On Monday morning, the Democratic Senate leadership maintained the vote on Thomas would proceed as scheduled the next day. The White House maintained a low profile, though aides did accuse the Democrats of a smear campaign. The assumption that the Hill charges would go away, however, evaporated when Anita Hill went on television. In a one-hour press conference beamed from Norman, Okla., Hill may have killed Clarence Thomas's career plans for the next 40 years. She was credible, she was articulate, she was poised--even in the face of enough menacing phone calls at home that she notified the police. "I resent the idea that people would blame the messenger for the message, rather than looking at the content of the message itself," she said. The political seismographs in Washington recorded the effect: women's groups mobilized, phones blew off the hook in the Senate.

Still, the White House continued to believe Thomas had the votes. Several key senators, NEWSWEEK has learned, told administration officials they needed some evidence, as one official put it, to "hide behind ... something that would allow them to say 'I considered his story and hers, and he's telling the truth'." Gray's office lined up women who worked with Thomas at EEOC who would sing his praises. At 4 p.m., Bush's team gathered to reassess the mess. No women were present. They concluded that, because Hill continued her relationship with Thomas long after she left EEOC, senators could be convinced that she could not possibly have viewed his alleged behavior as very serious.

Leak hunting became an obsession for Republican senators. Their suspicion centered on Metzenbaum, Kennedy and their staffs. Hatch accused Metzenbaum directly--who denied it--and he later apologized. Brown said he intends this week to ask for the appointment of a special counsel. The bad blood spilled over to the Democratic staffs. NEWSWEEK has learned that one meeting of all the chief lawyers for the Democratic members of Judiciary turned into a shouting contest. At issue was Biden's vivisection in the press by these lawyers being quoted anonymously. "People don't trust each other anymore," said a participant.

From: "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Friday moot

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 11:44:16 +0000

Importance: Normal

Sounds good. If (b)(5) . I didn't put them in because they are coming today. (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 20, 2018, at 7:35 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

Let me get sign off from up top.

From: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:59 PM

To: Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: Friday moot

Thoughts?

Format is:

(b)(5)

Senators:

(b)(5)

Claire McCusker Murray

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)