

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 03:56:13 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Kavanaugh_Summer_1982_Calendar_Pages.pdf; 09.23.18_CEG_to_BMK_Attorneys.pdf

Inline-Images: image001.jpg

From: Alexandra Walsh [mailto:awalsh@wilkinsonwalsh.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 11:51 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6); Beth Wilkinson
<BWilkinson@wilkinsonwalsh.com>

Cc: 'Michael R. Bromwich' (b)(6); Lisa Banks <banks@kmblegal.com>; katz@kmblegal.com; Duck,
Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)

(b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6);

Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6); Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6); Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Dear Mr. Davis,

In response to the request for documents in Chairman Grassley's letter of September 23, please see attached.

Thank you.

Alexandra Walsh | Founding Partner
WILKINSON WALSH + ESKOVITZ LLP
2001 M Street NW, 10th Floor
Washington, DC 20036
Direct: (202) 847-4020 | Fax: (202) 847-4005
awalsh@wilkinsonwalsh.com
www.wilkinsonwalsh.com

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 11:05 PM

To: Beth Wilkinson <BWilkinson@wilkinsonwalsh.com>; Alexandra Walsh <awalsh@wilkinsonwalsh.com>

Cc: 'Michael R. Bromwich' (b)(6); Lisa Banks <banks@kmblegal.com>; katz@kmblegal.com; Duck,
Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem)

(b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6);

Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6); Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6); Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Duplicative Material



1982

THE NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL AUDUBON CALENDAR

$\frac{1}{10} \times \frac{14}{14}$

$\frac{1}{14} \times \frac{812}{84}$

May 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1 GO TO HOLY CHILD PARTY - DRIVE (with Nikki) SAT at Whitman
2 GO TO BULLETS GAME w/ SQUI + NIKKI LOSE 10399 OT (1-3)	3 scribble CUT OUR LAWN	4 scribble	5 Bullets Lose in Double OT	6	7 Grounded - Stay on	8 scribble Gaelic Football 11:00 PROM Donny Drives
9 MOTHER'S DAY 1 YEAR	10	11	12 5:30 NIKKI COMES OVER	13	14 GROUNDED GO TO ST. MICHAEL'S Gaelic football 3:00 NIKKI AT BEACH	15 ST. MICHAEL'S scribble H. Ch PROM
16	17	18	19 NIKKI COMES OVER QUE R???	20 ASCENSION DAY GO TO LIBRARY PLAY B-BALL AT SQUI'S SWIM AT DONNY'S	21 B-BALL + F-BALL MEETING GROUNDED FATHER-SON DINNER NIKKI AT	22 HAIRCUT TIMMY'S PARTY BEACH
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30 PENTECOST SUNDAY	31 MEMORIAL DAY HOLIDAY					

April 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	

June 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

Northwestern
Mutual Life

June 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1 MATH 8:30 OUT OF SCHOOL	2 Dr. DeLatorre 2:00 English Paper Due	3 KEMPER	4 Go to Kemper w/ Dad	5 Achievement Tests 8:30 w/ 12th BEACH WEEK Sean Feeley Drives
6 TRINITY SUNDAY BEACH Suzanne	7 A	8 C Suzanne	9 H Suzanne Mikki DAD'S BIRTHDAY	10 W KEMPER	11 E	12 END SPEND NIGHT AT SOUV'S IN REHOBOTH
13 Go to Rocky III w/ Squi, PJ, Bee COME HOME FROM BEACH	14 FLAG DAY GAME 11:30 1ST PTS. 48-39 WEIGHTS URGO'S	15	16 GAME 5:30 LOST 0-1 2 PTS GO TO GREASE II w/ Suzanne	17 pick up pictures	18 FIVE-STAR BASKETBALL CAMP STARTS	19
20 FATHER'S DAY	21	22	23	24	25 Go to party at beachhouse w/ JC, Squi + Danny	26 B-BALL CAMP ENDS Go to St. Michael's w/ Squi + Mark see Poltergeist
27 COME HOME FROM ST. MICHAEL'S Play B-Ball at Chevy Chase playground	28 START WORK GAME 5:30 vs. Blair - LOST 12-14 LIFT at Prep	29 Tobin's House - Workout 6-8 Play B-Ball at Maplewood WORK	30 Dr. DeLatorre 2:00 Game 5:30 vs. Whitman 21 PTS. LOST 7-8 LIFT at Prep			

May 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
						1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

Northwestern Mutual Life

July 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

July 1982

11 - 2 - 12 - 21 - 5

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				Tobin's House - Workout 1 Go to Timmy's for Skis w/ Judge, Tom, PJ, Bernie, Squi	Go to Beach w/ Squi for Weekend 9 10	3
INDEPENDENCE DAY 4 11 Anne Dougherty's Party	2 Come Home from Beach w/ Donny 5 1120	Game 6:45 vs. Tigers LOST 5 PTS. 5-6 6	Columbia 7 LIFT AT PREP	Congressional 8 Dr. Strawberry 1:30	Game 8:00 vs. St. Albans 9 Go to St. Michael's for Weekend	10 Richie Stanton's Party
11 Come Home from St. M's	12 LIFT	13	14 LIFT	Game 6:45 vs. High Point LOST 14 PTS 4-6 15	16 Go to Michael's for Weekend	17
18 Come back from St. Michael's	5 19 LIFT	Game 9:15 vs. WJ LOST 19 PTS. 20	Game 6:45 vs. Gonzaga LOST 9 PTS. 21 LIFT	Game 5:30 vs. St. Albans LOST 9 PTS. 22	23 Go to Connecticut for weekend w/ Grammy	24
25	4 26 INTERVIEW BROWN	27 INTERVIEW YALE	Dr. DeBartolo 2:00 28 Go to Judge's	29	12 30 Go to Beach w/ Tom, Squi	13 31

June 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30			

August 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

Northwestern
Mutual Life

August 1982

Jay
4903 Crescent
KAV
5103 Ball

Podmons

Dr. Strawberry
Dr. Caulfield
Podmons

<p>(14) 1 stay at Bernies at Beach</p>	<p>(3) 2 15</p>	<p>3 Come Home from Beach w/ Bernie Go to O's Doubleheader w/ Squi, Tom, Mark, Matt, Tommy, Tim</p>	<p>4 List at Prep - 145</p>	<p>5 Go to New York w/ Mom + Dad</p>	<p>6 →</p>	<p>7 Come Back from New York Go to Beck's - Mark, Denise, Laurie, Tommy, Hall</p>
<p>8 Play Tennis w/ Mom at CCC Play B-Ball at Prep</p>	<p>9 Dad's Car LIFT WEIGHTS BILLY BELT'S Fr. Calvin Prep</p>	<p>10 5103 Ball KAV Vergo's Joe Harrington 4:00 at GMLB</p>	<p>11 KAV Vergo's Joe Harrington 4:00 at GMLB</p>	<p>12 James Vergo's Go to St. M's w/ Dad</p>	<p>13 →</p>	<p>14 (16) Go to Rehoboth w/ Squi, Tom, Mark + Donny</p>
<p>15 Come Home from Beach</p>	<p>16 Dr. Strawberry 9:30 Pick up Pictures</p>	<p>17 CAL JOB HARRINGTON Pick up Pictures</p>	<p>18 Dr. Cowley Joe Harrington 4:00</p>	<p>19 4903 Crescent Work for Mom Ber Mo's Judge 5103 Crescent SIPPAWATH</p>	<p>20 KAV 5103 Ball 5314 Whaley Jay spend night at camp</p>	<p>21 GET PRESCRIPTIONS REFILLED GET FOOD FOR CAMP GET HAIRCUT NIGHT OFF</p>
<p>22 FOOTBALL CAMP STARTS</p>	<p>23 C</p>	<p>24 A</p>	<p>25 M</p>	<p>26 P</p>	<p>27 →</p>	<p>28 Vergo's Party - Suzanne SCRIMMAGE VS. SEVERN AT PREP 11:00</p>
<p>29 CAMP STARTS AGAIN</p>	<p>30 C</p>	<p>31 A</p>	<p>29 P</p>	<p>30 CAMP FOOD - chips, doughnuts FAM TV BOX</p>	<p>→</p>	

July 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

September 1982

S	M	T	W	T	F	S
			1	2	3	4
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30		

Northwestern
Mutual Life

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 03:44:03 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: 09.23.18_CEG_to_Attorneys.pdf

Inline-Images: image001.jpg

Attached 9/23/2018 document request to Dr. Ford's attorneys

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 11:37 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 11:05 PM

To: 'BWilkinson@wilkinsonwalsh.com' <BWilkinson@wilkinsonwalsh.com>; 'awalsh@wilkinsonwalsh.com' <awalsh@wilkinsonwalsh.com>

Cc: 'Michael R. Bromwich' (b)(6); 'Lisa Banks' <banks@kmblegal.com>; 'katz@kmblegal.com'

<katz@kmblegal.com>; Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Sawyer, Heather

(Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

; Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6); Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-

Rep) (b)(6); Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Duplicative Material

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)
To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [redacted], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [redacted], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [redacted], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [redacted]"

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 03:07:30 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: 180925_-_Ltr_to_Davis.pdf; 09.23.18_CEG_to_BMK_Attorneys.pdf

Inline-Images: image001.jpg

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [redacted]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 11:05 PM

To: 'BWilkinson@wilkinsonwalsh.com' <BWilkinson@wilkinsonwalsh.com>; 'awalsh@wilkinsonwalsh.com' <awalsh@wilkinsonwalsh.com>

Cc: 'Michael R. Bromwich' (b)(6) [redacted]; 'Lisa Banks' <banks@kmblegal.com>; 'katz@kmblegal.com' <katz@kmblegal.com>; Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]; Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]; Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) [redacted]; Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) [redacted]; Kenny, Steve (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) [redacted]; Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) [redacted]

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Duplicative Material

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 06:23:33 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 2:22 AM

To: 'Michael R. Bromwich' (b)(6)

Cc: Joseph Abboud <Abboud@kmblegal.com>; Lisa Banks <banks@kmblegal.com>; Debra Katz <katz@kmblegal.com>; Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Sorry for the delay, Mr. Bromwich. I am getting unburied from email, and I am still at work.

The Senate Judiciary Committee generally does not permit witnesses and their attorneys to interview senators and their staffs before testifying.

The Chairman looks forward to meeting Dr. Ford. Again, the Chairman has done everything in his power to provide a safe, comfortable, and dignified forum for her testimony.

See you in the morning.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Michael R. Bromwich (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 3:25 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Cc: Joseph Abboud <Abboud@kmblegal.com>; Lisa Banks <banks@kmblegal.com>; Debra Katz <katz@kmblegal.com>; Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)

Subject: Re: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Renewing this request. Please advise as to time and place.

MRB

On Sep 26, 2018, at 7:23 AM, Michael Bromwich (b)(6) wrote:

Mike,

We would like to meet with Rachel Mitchell today. Please advise as to time and place.

Thank you.

On Tue, Sep 25, 2018 at 10:06 PM, Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) wrote:

Mr. Abboud,

I presume that you have no concerns about public release. But I just want to confirm.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(b)(6) (direct)
(b)(6) (cell)
202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 9:29 PM
To: 'Joseph Abboud' <Abboud@kmblegal.com>; 'Michael R. Bromwich' (b)(6); Lisa Banks <banks@kmblegal.com>; Debra Katz <katz@kmblegal.com>
Cc: Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Received.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(b)(6) (direct)
(b)(6) (cell)
202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Joseph Abboud [<mailto:Abboud@kmblegal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 9:18 PM
To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6); 'Michael R. Bromwich' (b)(6); Lisa Banks <banks@kmblegal.com>; Debra Katz <katz@kmblegal.com>
Cc: Sawyer, Heather (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- request for evidence

Duplicative Material

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "King, Kara (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Letter to Judge Kavanaugh

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 23:44:04 -0000

Importance: Normal

Let me see how things are going. And I wouldn't hold your breath for an answer...

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 7:27 PM, King, Kara (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

A little boring, but better than having to answer this letter!! If you're able to escape tomorrow, I'm having lunch with Judge Urda with possible guest appearance by Lola Kingo, and you're welcome to join! (b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 7:25 PM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

Thanks! How's antitrust?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 7:22 PM, King, Kara (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

FYI just in case.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Berger, Christine (Judiciary-Dem)" (b)(6)

Date: September 18, 2018 at 6:39:14 PM EDT

To: (b)(6) Kara King (OLP), (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP)

(b)(6) Lola Kingo (OLP)

Cc: "Greenfeld, Helaine (Hirono)" (b)(6)

Subject: Letter to Judge Kavanaugh

Dear Kara, Michael, Lola:

Please find attached a letter from Senators Hirono, Murray, Harris, and Warren to Judge Kavanaugh with additional questions related to his nomination to the Supreme Court.

We have sent the letter by postal mail, but we wanted to send you an electronic copy as well.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks very much.

Best regards,

Christine

Christine Berger

Counsel

OFFICE OF SENATOR MAZIE K. HIRONO | Committee on the Judiciary

730 HART Senate Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20510

📞 (b)(6)

<2018.09.18_Letter to Kavanaugh.pdf>

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

September 18, 2018

The Honorable Brett Kavanaugh
U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
333 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Judge Kavanaugh:

We are writing to follow up on the answers you submitted in response to questions for the record regarding your nomination to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court. This past Friday, a former law clerk of then-Judge Alex Kozinski—Heidi Bond—wrote an article extensively detailing Judge Kozinski’s “legendary” inappropriate sexual comments and the extreme nature of the sexually explicit emails that Judge Kozinski sent to those on his “Easy Rider Gag List.”¹ In light of the pervasive and explicit nature of Judge Kozinski’s inappropriate sexual comments and emails, Ms. Bond suggested that it was difficult to believe your assertions—made under oath—that you “do not remember” ever hearing such comments or receiving such emails. This lack of candor is deeply troubling not only because a Supreme Court Justice with lifetime tenure must have unimpeachable honesty and integrity, but also because a Supreme Court Justice must be able to have a thoughtful and sincere conversation about sexual misconduct and harassment.

Moreover, several Senators have asked you questions regarding your relationship with Judge Kozinski, your former mentor, that remain unanswered or require additional information. Therefore, we ask that you provide answers to the following additional questions for the record.

1. As Ms. Bond explained, the type of emails that Judge Kozinski sent to his “Easy Rider Gag List” are unforgettable and Judge Kozinski’s sexual comments are “legendary.” Therefore, we’ll ask you again:
 - a. **Have you ever heard Judge Kozinski make inappropriate sexual comments?**
 - b. **Have you received from Judge Kozinski any sexually suggestive or explicit emails similar to the ones identified in Ms. Bond’s September 14, 2018 article cited above?**
2. When we asked whether you had received sexually suggestive or explicit emails from Judge Kozinski, either on the “Easy Rider Gag List” or otherwise, we asked you to look at your email accounts to refresh your memory. However, it appears that you did not check your emails and simply asserted, “I do not remember receiving inappropriate emails of a sexual nature from Judge Kozinski.” **Please check your emails and confirm**

¹ Heidi Bond, *I Received Some of Kozinski’s Infamous Gag List Emails. I’m Baffled by Kavanaugh’s Responses to Questions About Them*, SLATE (Sept. 14, 2018), <https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2018/09/kavanaugh-kozinski-gag-list-emails-senate-hearings.html>.

whether you received any sexually suggestive or explicit emails from Judge Kozinski.

3. Senator Coons asked, “Have you conducted a search of your email accounts and/or correspondence with Judge Kozinski in an effort to provide an accurate response to the preceding question? If not, why not?” Instead of answering his questions, you repeated the same non-responsive answer, stating, “I do not remember receiving inappropriate emails of a sexual nature from Judge Kozinski.” **Please directly answer his questions.**
4. Senator Hirono asked you to provide specific details of how frequently you communicated by phone, email, and text with Judge Kozinski. Instead of details, you provided vague responses that you did not communicate with Judge Kozinski “very often.” **Please provide additional details.**
5. At the hearing, you said that “had I heard those allegations” of sexual misconduct by another judge:

“I would’ve done three things immediately. I would’ve called Judge Tom Griffith who’s on our court, who’s on the Codes of Conduct committee for the federal Judiciary appointed by Chief Justice Roberts. I would’ve called Chief Judge Garland who’s chair of the Executive Committee. I would’ve called Jim Duff who’s chair of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. If, for any reason, I was not satisfied with that, I would’ve called Chief Justice Roberts directly.”

In response to Senator Hirono’s question for the record about whether you contacted Judge Kozinski after his sexual misconduct allegations were made public, you stated, “I contacted Judge Kozinski shortly after he resigned because I was concerned about his mental health.”

In addition to reaching out to Judge Kozinski out of concern for his well being, did you take any of the steps you indicated that you would take in response to sexual misconduct allegations? Did you contact Chief Justice Roberts or anyone at the Ninth Circuit at any point after fifteen women came forward with their stories of sexual misconduct by Judge Kozinski? Did you contact the Administrative Office of the Courts?


6. At the hearing, you stated “There need to be better reporting mechanisms. Women who are the victims of sexual harassment need to know who they can call, when they can call.” **During your 12 years as a federal judge, what steps have you taken to establish “better reporting mechanisms”?**
7. Senator Harris asked you in her questions for the record whether allegations against Judge Kozinski should be fully investigated since the Judicial Council investigation into Judge Kozinski’s alleged misconduct was terminated when he announced his resignation from the bench. Your answer just said that it was an issue for the Judicial Conference and

others to decide. You are a sitting federal judge and may potentially be confirmed for a lifetime position on the Supreme Court. **Is it accurate to state that you have no opinion or belief as to whether the allegations against Judge Kozinski should be fully investigated?**

8. Throughout the past year, we have seen tremendous courage from women and men who have come forward about the sexual misconduct and harassment they have experienced in their workplaces and their communities. We have heard from women and men in all different industries and at different stages of their professional careers. **In the course of your professional career, have you ever observed sexual harassment or misconduct? Have you reported the behaviors you observed? If not, why not?**

In light of Chairman Grassley's announcement that he intends to hold another public hearing on your nomination on Monday, September 24, 2018, we request a response by that date. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,




MAZIE K. HIRONO
United States Senator



PATTY MURRAY
United States Senator



KAMALA D. HARRIS
United States Senator



ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)
To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)
Cc: "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Letter to Judge Kavanaugh
Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 23:40:58 +0000
Importance: Normal

I'm not even inclined to acknowledge receipt.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 7:24 PM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

These are improper and we will not be responding.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 7:21 PM, Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

So are these SQFRs? Wouldn't they normally be submitted through the Committee rather than in a personal letter?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 6:42 PM, Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

From: Berger, Christine (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 6:39 PM
To: King, Kara (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Kingo, Lola A. (OLP) (b)(6)
Cc: Greenfeld, Helaine (Hirono) (b)(6)
Subject: Letter to Judge Kavanaugh

Dear Kara, Michael, Lola:

Please find attached a letter from Senators Hirono, Murray, Harris, and Warren to Judge Kavanaugh with additional questions related to his nomination to the Supreme Court.

We have sent the letter by postal mail, but we wanted to send you an electronic copy as well.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks very much.

Best regards,
Christine

Christine Berger

Counsel

Office of Senator Mazie K. Hirono | Committee on the Judiciary
730 Hart Senate Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20510

📞 (b)(6)

<2018.09.18_Letter to Kavanaugh.pdf>

From: "Urda, Patrick (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Kingo, Lola A. (OLP)" (b)(6)

Cc: "Day, Sean (OLP)" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Letter to Judge Kavanaugh

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 23:15:29 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thanks, LK!

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 6:50 PM, Kingo, Lola A. (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

From: Berger, Christine (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 6:39 PM

To: King, Kara (OLP) (b)(6); Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Kingo, Lola A. (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Greenfeld, Helaine (Hirono) (b)(6)

Subject: Letter to Judge Kavanaugh

Dear Kara, Michael, Lola:

Please find attached a letter from Senators Hirono, Murray, Harris, and Warren to Judge Kavanaugh with additional questions related to his nomination to the Supreme Court.

We have sent the letter by postal mail, but we wanted to send you an electronic copy as well.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thanks very much.

Best regards,
Christine

Christine Berger

Counsel

Office of Senator Mazie K. Hirono | Committee on the Judiciary

730 Hart Senate Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20510

📞 (b)(6)

<2018.09.18_Letter to Kavanaugh.pdf>

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: Letter from Senators Hirono, Murray, Harris, and Warren

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 23:30:41 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: 2018.09.18_Letter_to_Burck.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: William Burck [mailto:williamburck@quinnemanuel.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2018 6:50 PM

To: Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) [REDACTED]; Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: Letter from Senators Hirono, Murray, Harris, and Warren

A letter from our friends

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Berger, Christine (Judiciary-Dem)" (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Date: September 18, 2018 at 6:38:01 PM EDT

To: "williamburck@quinnemanuel.com" <williamburck@quinnemanuel.com>

Subject: Letter from Senators Hirono, Murray, Harris, and Warren

Dear Mr. Burck:

Please find attached a letter from Senators Hirono, Murray, Harris, and Warren to Mr. Burck regarding certain documents involving former Judge Kozinski that relate to Judge Kavanaugh's nomination to the Supreme Court.

We have sent the letter by postal mail, but we wanted to send you an electronic copy as well.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Thanks very much.

Best regards,

Christine

Christine Berger

Counsel

Office of Senator Mazie K. Hirono | Committee on the Judiciary

730 Hart Senate Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20510

📞 (b)(6)

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

September 18, 2018

William A. Burck
Quinn Emanuel
1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005

Dear Mr. Burck:

We write to follow up on the document production you have been conducting in support of the nomination of Brett Kavanaugh. Because these documents have not been produced by the National Archives in accordance with the Presidential Records Act, there are serious questions regarding the validity of this document production.

Moreover, in response to questions for the record, Judge Kavanaugh directed his answer to you and your team. Specifically, Judge Kavanaugh acknowledged that he had received emails from former Judge Alex Kozinski to his White House email address. When he was asked on the record whether “any of the 102,000 pages of documents over which Mr. Bill Burck has attempted to claim ‘constitutional privilege’ contain correspondence between you and Judge Kozinski,” he stated, “I cannot speak knowledgeably to the details of the document production.” He further responded that you and your team, including Senate Judiciary Committee Members and officials in the Trump Administration, “made the decisions regarding the processing and production of documents related to my nomination.”

Therefore, we ask you to provide the Senate Judiciary Committee with any and all documents sent from Alex Kozinski, as well as any and all correspondence where Alex Kozinski is in the “To,” “CC,” or “BCC” fields. If you withhold any of these documents, please provide a privilege log with the necessary details, including the basis for the privilege, the date of the document, the parties to the communication, and the subject matter of the communication sufficient to show why the privilege applies.

Given Judge Kavanaugh’s hearing testimony and responses to questions on the record, and recent articles that raise further questions regarding the credibility of Judge Kavanaugh’s statements, these documents are clearly relevant to the nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to hold a lifetime position in our nation’s highest court.

In light of Chairman Grassley’s announcement that he intends to hold another public hearing on Judge Kavanaugh’s nomination on Monday, September 24, 2018, we ask that you provide the documents requested by that date. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.


Sincerely,




MAZIE K. HIRONO
United States Senator



PATTY MURRAY
United States Senator



KAMALA D. HARRIS
United States Senator



ELIZABETH WARREN
United States Senator

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [redacted], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [redacted], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [redacted], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [redacted]"

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- hearing

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 01:34:10 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) [redacted] (direct)

(b)(6) [redacted] (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [redacted]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 9:33 PM

To: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]; Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6) [redacted]

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

If the Ranking Member and her staff actually want to start to work in good faith and cooperate with the Chairman during this confirmation process, which is doubtful, I presume that we would have both the Chairman and the Ranking Member invite Judge Kavanaugh and Dr. Ford to testify.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) [redacted] (direct)

(b)(6) [redacted] (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [redacted]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:58 PM

To: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]; Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Marc,

The Chairman is invited Judge Kavanaugh. The Ranking Member, presumably, is inviting Dr. Ford.

I'm not playing your games.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Hearn, Marc (Judiciary-Dem)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:57 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

The email you forwarded me (thank you for forwarding) said that the Chairman was inviting Dr. Ford to testify. If that's no longer true, please let me know.

We will circle back on any other witnesses.

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:54 PM

To: Hearn, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

I presume that is who the Ranking Member would like to invite, so we are happy to accommodate.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Hearn, Marc (Judiciary-Dem)
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:53 PM
To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Aren't you also calling Dr. Ford?

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:51 PM
To: Hearn, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)
Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

We are going to call Judge Kavanaugh.

Who do you want?

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
(b)(6) (direct)
(b)(6) (cell)
202-224-9102 (fax)
(b)(6)

From: Hearn, Marc (Judiciary-Dem)
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:50 PM
To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Mike,

To be clear — and you already know this — my email obviously was not a “proposal for 2 witnesses.” As you know, no proposal about the number of witnesses has been made from our side.

Marc

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:41 PM
To: Hearn, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)
Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)
Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Thanks, Marc.

Your proposal for 2 witnesses sounds fine: (1) Dr. Ford; and (2) Judge Kavanaugh.

We invited Judge Kavanaugh today on the BI call. (The Ranking Member's staff declined to join that call.) Judge Kavanaugh is eager to testify on Monday – or sooner.

We also reached out to Dr. Ford, through her attorney. I hope the attorney finds the time between media interviews to respond to the Chairman's staff's email.

Jason Covey (cc'd) will send out formal invitations.

The Ranking Member's staff has not seemed interested in any cooperation lately, but we will keep you in the loop.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Hearn, Marc (Judiciary-Dem)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 7:28 PM

To: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)

Subject: Re: SCOTUS -- hearing

Mike,

This is the first that our side has heard about a hearing. I assume you have already contacted Judge Kavanaugh and Dr. Ford to confirm that they are both available for a hearing on Monday? (My boss is also asking.) If so, please forward me the correspondence with them and loop me in on future communications. Thanks.

Marc

On Sep 17, 2018, at 5:57 PM, Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) wrote:

The Senate Judiciary Committee will have another day of hearing next Monday, to address the accuser's allegations.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Megan Lacy" (b)(6), "Mark Champoux" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP)

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- hearing

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 01:01:12 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:58 PM

To: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Duplicative Material

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [REDACTED], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [REDACTED], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [REDACTED]"

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- hearing

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 00:55:06 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:54 PM

To: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [REDACTED]

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [REDACTED]; Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6) [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Duplicative Material

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Megan Lacy (b)(6) [redacted], "Mark Champoux (b)(6) [redacted], (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP) [redacted], (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP) [redacted]"

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- hearing

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 00:51:38 +0000

Importance: Normal

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6) [redacted]

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:51 PM

To: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6) [redacted]; Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6) [redacted]

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Duplicative Material

From: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

Subject: Fwd: SCOTUS -- hearing

Date: Tue, 18 Sep 2018 00:47:06 +0000

Importance: Normal

Sounds like only the two witnesses.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Date: September 17, 2018 at 8:41:41 PM EDT

To: "Megan Lacy" (b)(6), "Mark Champoux" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP)

, "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: FW: SCOTUS -- hearing

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Monday, September 17, 2018 8:41 PM

To: Hearron, Marc (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6)

Cc: Brest, Phillip (Judiciary-Dem) (b)(6); Covey, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: SCOTUS -- hearing

Duplicative Material

From: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: RE:

Date: Wed, 26 Sep 2018 18:28:10 +0000

Importance: Normal

(Davis just sent.)

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 2:28 PM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); 'Talley, Brett (OLP)' (b)(6)

Subject: FW:

Polygraph and hand-written note provided by Blasey Ford to Committee. (She sent simultaneously to media.)

Most relevant things to note on quick first glance:

- 1.) Polygraph took place on August 7. The only questions she was asked were "is any part of your statement false" and "did you make up any part of your statement."
- 2.) The statement that she wrote herself and then read says that there were four boys and a couple of girls at the party!

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 2:25 PM

To: Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject:

<https://twitter.com/desiderioDC/status/1045015026237665280>

<https://twitter.com/desiderioDC/status/1045015131489480704>

Sean Sandoloski
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: SCOTUS -- Feinstein on FBI BI

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 17:21:43 +0000

Importance: Normal

[@SenFeinstein](#) indicates that the FBI report on Kavanaugh should NOT be made public: "It would seem to me that if people are going to be identified this ought to be held very close and not." "I think the investigation ought to be closely held," she reiterated.

<https://twitter.com/ElizLanders/status/1047171789787467776>

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: SCOTUS: Julie Swetnick's 'Credibility Issues'

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 22:43:51 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg



Senate Republican
Communications Center

2 0 2 • 2 2 8 • N E W S

Contact:

Antonia Ferrier 202.228.NEWS

<https://bit.ly/2NhlLf>

Julie Swetnick's 'Credibility Issues'

'NBC News Was Unable To Independently Corroborate Swetnick's Claims,' And 'There Are Things That She Told Us On Camera That Differ From Her Written Statement' While Swetnick's Ex-Boyfriend Says 'She's Not Credible At All'

RICHARD VINNECCY, Swetnick's Ex-Boyfriend: "Never, never once [did] she mention that to me. We used to talk just about everything, and she never once mentioned that at all." ([Fox News' "The Ingraham Angle," 10/01/2018](#))

'Does [Julie Swetnick] Have Credibility Issues?' 'I Would Say Yes'

MSNBC's CRAIG MELVIN: "Credibility. Does she [Julie Swetnick] have credibility issues?"

NBC's KATE SNOW: "I would say yes because there are—just to be clear there are things that she said to me that differ from her initial statement, which was a sworn statement last week, submitted to the Judiciary Committee. So I think that, in many people's minds, raises a credibility question." ([MSNBC's "MSNBC Live," 10/02/2018](#))

KATE SNOW: "... NBC News, for the record, has not been able to independently verify her claims. There are things that she told us on camera that differ from her written statement last week." ([MSNBC's "The Beat with Ari Melber," 10/01/2018](#))

- "NBC News was unable to independently corroborate Swetnick's claims and has not spoken with anyone who says they saw Swetnick at parties with Brett Kavanaugh. Kavanaugh has said he does not know Swetnick and has called her claims a farce." ([NBC News, 10/01/2018](#))

SNOW: "We've been trying independently to reach out to anyone who remembers ... and we've been asking her attorney for names. So far we've not found anyone who remembers that." ([MSNBC's "The Beat with Ari Melber," 10/01/2018](#))

- “Swetnick provided NBC News with the names of four friends who she said went to the parties with her. One is deceased, while two others did not respond to requests for comment. A fourth told NBC News he didn’t remember Swetnick and didn’t think he’d socialized with her.” ([NBC News, 10/01/2018](#))

Swetnick Claims She Decided To Come Forward ‘About Six Weeks Ago’ Only After Learning Of Dr. Ford’s Accusations, But Those Only Became Public A Little More Than Two Weeks Ago

SNOW: “She’s also unclear about when she first decided to come forward.” ([MSNBC’s “The Beat with Ari Melber,” 10/01/2018](#))

JULIE SWETNICK: “I wouldn’t be here today except for about six weeks ago, I happened to learn about Brett Kavanaugh becoming one of the people who was short listed to go to the Supreme Court.”

SNOW: “This is in July, summer?”

SWETNICK: “I don’t remember exactly. But it was about six weeks ago. And then I became aware of Dr. Ford and her description of what had happened to her at a party one time, that also had Brett Kavanaugh involved.”

...
SNOW: “So you only thought about coming forward when you learned about Dr. Ford?”

SWETNICK: “Yes. Because I did not know how close Brett Kavanaugh was to possibly becoming the next Supreme Court justice.”

SNOW: “I just want to get the timeline right about this, because he was nominated in July. Christine Ford, Dr. Christine Blasey Ford, came forward in *The Washington Post* more recently in September. So was it in September that you –“

SWETNICK: “Uh, it’s been several weeks. But I’m not somebody who follows the news. I’m not political at all.” ([MSNBC’s “The Beat with Ari Melber,” 10/01/2018](#))

Swetnick’s Ex-Boyfriend, Richard Vinneccy: ‘She’s Not Credible At All,’ ‘Everything That Came Out Of Her Mouth Was Just Exaggerations,’ ‘She Was Always Wanting To Be The Center Of Attention’

VINNECCY: “I know a lot about her.... She’s not credible at all. Not at all.” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))

- “Vinneccy made clear that he did not believe her story. ‘I have a lot of facts, evidence, that what she’s saying is not true at all,’ he said. ‘I would rather speak to my attorney first before saying more.’” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))

VINNECCY: “You know, she was always wanting to be the center of attention. And she always wanted to do something major. She was always trying to impress me with everything. She basically was telling me that she was exaggerating everything. If anybody knows Julie, and I know because I lived with her, and everything that came out of her mouth was just exaggerations. And she never mentioned that to me at all. That was something that I was very surprised to hear this. Actually, I was very surprised to actually see her making these accusations because she had never, ever mentioned that to me at all.” ([Fox News’ “The Ingraham Angle,” 10/01/2018](#))

- **VINNECCY:** “Like I said before, if anybody knows the Julie Swetnick I know, she is--if you asked me personally if I believe her, I don’t believe her. Personally, I don’t believe her. I really don’t believe her.... Nobody knows Julie Swetnick better than me.... But the facts are that I know her. And that is why I came here to tell the truth. I mean I know how she is.” ([Fox News’ “The Ingraham Angle,” 10/01/2018](#))

“Vinneccy, 63, is a registered Democrat, according to Miami-Dade County voting records.” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))

Vinneccy Filed A Restraining Order Against Her After He Says She Threatened To Kill Him And His Family

FOX NEWS’ LAURA INGRAHAM: “Now, you claim, Richard, that Ms. Swetnick threatened you after you broke up with her. What types of threats are you alleging?”

VINNECCY: “Right after I broke up with her, she basically called me many times and at one point she basically said you will never, ever see your unborn child alive and I'm just going to go over there, I'm going to kill you guys.”

INGRAHAM: “She said she was going to kill you?”

VINNECCY: “Yes. And that really scared me a little bit.” ([Fox News’ “The Ingraham Angle,” 10/01/2018](#))

“Julie Swetnick ... had a restraining order filed against her years later in Miami by her former boyfriend. A Miami-Dade County court docket shows a petition for injunction against Swetnick was filed March 1, 2001, by her former boyfriend, Richard Vinneccy, who told POLITICO Wednesday the two had dated for four years before they broke up.” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))

- “According to Vinneccy, Swetnick threatened him after they broke up and even after he got married to his current wife and had a child. ‘Right after I broke up with her, she was threatening my family, threatening my wife and threatening to do harm to my baby at that time,’ Vinneccy said in a telephone interview with POLITICO.” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))

VINNECCY: ‘She Talked About Lawsuits,’ ‘You Are Going To Have To Stop This Lawsuit Thing Because It’s Ridiculous’

VINNECCY: “But the more I saw her, I mean the more I saw her, I basically experienced the things that she was doing. I just didn’t like it, and the fact that she was lying to me, she pretty much talked about everybody. She talked about lawsuits.... She was trying to—at one point, I remember she told me that she was filing a lawsuit, harassment lawsuit against American Airlines. So I finally said, ‘Listen, you are going to have to stop this lawsuit thing because it’s ridiculous.’” ([Fox News’ “The Ingraham Angle,” 10/01/2018](#))

Swetnick Filed A Personal Injury Lawsuit In Maryland Against The Washington Metro (WMATA) Claiming ‘She Lost More Than \$420,000 In Earnings After She Hurt Her Nose In A Fall On A Train In 1992.’

“Swetnick was on the other side of a civil case in 1994, as a plaintiff, when she filed a personal injury lawsuit in Maryland against the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority. She claimed she lost more than \$420,000 in earnings after she hurt her nose in a fall on a train in 1992. Swetnick, who described herself in court records as a model and actor, claimed she had “numerous modeling commitments” with several companies at the time of the accident but missed out them because of her injuries.” ([“3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes,” The Associated Press, 9/30/2018](#))

- “To support her claim for lost wages, Swetnick named ‘Konam Studios’ as one of the companies promising to employ her. A court filing identified Nam Ko, a representative of ‘Kunam Studios,’ as a possible plaintiff’s witness for her case. Ko, however, told AP on Friday that he was just a friend of Swetnick’s and that he had never owned a company with a name spelled either way and had never agreed to pay her money for any work before she injured her nose. He said he first met Swetnick at a bar more than a year after her alleged accident.” ([“3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes,” The Associated Press, 9/30/2018](#))

- “I didn’t have any money back then. I (was) broke as can be,’ Ko said. Ko said he has a hazy memory of Swetnick asking to use him as a ‘character reference’ but doesn’t recall hearing about her lawsuit. **‘I thought it was for a job application,’ he said.**” ([“3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes,” The Associated Press, 9/30/2018](#))

Swetnick Filed A Sexual-Harassment Complaint A Decade Ago In Which She Was Represented By Debra Katz’s Law Firm

“Roughly a decade ago, Ms. Swetnick was involved in a dispute with her former employer, New York Life Insurance Co., over a sexual-harassment complaint she filed, according to people familiar with the matter. Representing her in the complaint was the firm run by Debra Katz, the lawyer currently representing Dr. Ford.” ([“Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh,” The Wall Street Journal, 9/26/2018](#))

- “The company ultimately reached a financial settlement with Ms. Swetnick, the people said. A spokesman for New York Life confirmed that Ms. Swetnick worked there as an agent for less than two years, from 2006 to 2008.” ([“Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh,” The Wall Street Journal, 9/26/2018](#))

Swetnick Was Sued For Defamation By An Oregon Company And A Woman For ‘Unwelcome, Sexually Offensive Conduct’ And For ‘Ma[king] False And Retaliatory Allegations’

“Julie Swetnick, one of the women accusing Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh of sexual misconduct, faced allegations of her own misconduct during a short stint at a Portland tech company 18 years ago.” ([“Julie Swetnick, A Brett Kavanaugh Accuser, Faced Misconduct Allegations At Portland Company,” The Oregonian, 9/29/2018](#))

Swetnick was sued for defamation in 2000 by Webtrends Corporation in Oregon and a woman named Margie Huetter who appears to have been their HR Director. (Webtrends Corporation vs. Julie Swetnick, Oregon Judicial Department, Case Number 001112165, 11/27/2000)

- “The suit also alleges Swetnick ‘engaged in unwelcome, sexually offensive conduct’ while at Webtrends and ‘made false and retaliatory allegations that other co-workers had engaged in inappropriate conduct toward her.’ The suit alleges Swetnick ‘engaged in unwelcome sexual innuendo and inappropriate conduct’ directed at two male employees during a business lunch, with Webtrends customers present. Swetnick claimed two other employees had sexually harassed her, according to the suit. Webtrends’ suit said it determined Swetnick had engaged in misconduct but could not find evidence to support her allegations against her colleagues. Later, the company alleged, Swetnick took medical leave and simultaneously claimed unemployment benefits in the District of Columbia.” ([“Julie Swetnick, A Brett Kavanaugh Accuser, Faced Misconduct Allegations At Portland Company,” The Oregonian, 9/29/2018](#))

“Company officials later determined, the suit said, that Swetnick had provided false information on her employment application. The suit alleged that she had misrepresented the length of time she worked at a previous employer and falsely claimed that she’d earned an undergraduate degree in biology and chemistry from Johns Hopkins University.” ([“3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes,” The Associated Press, 9/30/2018](#))

- “In the suit, Webtrends alleged Swetnick claimed to have graduated from Johns Hopkins University but the company said it subsequently learned the school had no record of her attendance. Webtrends said she also ‘falsely described her work experience’ at a prior employer.” ([“Julie Swetnick, A Brett Kavanaugh Accuser, Faced Misconduct Allegations At Portland Company,” The Oregonian, 9/29/2018](#))

###

SENATE REPUBLICAN COMMUNICATIONS CENTER

022023-00445

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: SCOTUS: Julie Swetnick: 'She's Not Credible At All'

Date: Sun, 30 Sep 2018 20:31:11 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.jpg



Senate Republican
Communications Center

202 • 228 • NEWS

Contact:

Antonia Ferrier 202.228.NEWS

<https://bit.ly/2P09rO8>

Julie Swetnick: 'She's Not Credible At All'

'The [Defamation] Suit Also Alleges Swetnick "Engaged In Unwelcome, Sexually Offensive Conduct" While At Webtrends And "Made False And Retaliatory Allegations That Other Co-Workers Had Engaged In Inappropriate Conduct Toward Her"'

Julie Swetnick By The Numbers

One Defamation Suit Filed Against Her Involving Sexual Harassment Allegations In Oregon

One Restraining Order Filed Against Her By Her Ex-Boyfriend In Florida

One Sexual Harassment Lawsuit, Where She Was Represented By Debra Katz's Law Firm

Two Tax Liens Filed Against Her, Totaling Over \$100,000

Three More Court Cases In Maryland That She Was A Party To

Swetnick Was Sued For Defamation By An Oregon Company And A Woman For 'Unwelcome, Sexually Offensive Conduct' And For 'Ma[king] False And Retaliatory Allegations'

"Julie Swetnick, one of the women accusing Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh of sexual misconduct, faced allegations of her own misconduct during a short stint at a Portland tech company 18 years ago." (["Julie Swetnick, A Brett Kavanaugh Accuser, Faced Misconduct Allegations At Portland Company," The Oregonian, 9/29/2018](#))

Swetnick was sued for defamation in 2000 by Webtrends Corporation in Oregon and a woman named Margie Huetter who appears to have been their HR Director. (Webtrends Corporation vs. Julie Swetnick, Oregon Judicial Department, Case Number 001112165, 11/27/2000)

- "The suit also alleges Swetnick 'engaged in unwelcome, sexually offensive conduct' while at Webtrends and 'made false and retaliatory allegations that other co-workers had engaged in inappropriate conduct toward her.' The suit alleges Swetnick 'engaged in unwelcome sexual innuendo and inappropriate conduct' directed at two male employees during a business lunch, with Webtrends customers present. Swetnick claimed two

other employees had sexually harassed her, according to the suit. Webtrends' suit said it determined Swetnick had engaged in misconduct **but could not find evidence to support her allegations** against her colleagues. **Later, the company alleged, Swetnick took medical leave and simultaneously claimed unemployment benefits in the District of Columbia.**" (["Julie Swetnick, A Brett Kavanaugh Accuser, Faced Misconduct Allegations At Portland Company," *The Oregonian*, 9/29/2018](#))

"Company officials later determined, the suit said, that Swetnick had provided false information on her employment application. The suit alleged that she had misrepresented the length of time she worked at a previous employer and falsely claimed that she'd earned an undergraduate degree in biology and chemistry from Johns Hopkins University." (["3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes," *The Associated Press*, 9/30/2018](#))

- "In the suit, Webtrends alleged Swetnick claimed to have graduated from Johns Hopkins University but the company said it subsequently learned the school had no record of her attendance. Webtrends said she also 'falsely described her work experience' at a prior employer." (["Julie Swetnick, A Brett Kavanaugh Accuser, Faced Misconduct Allegations At Portland Company," *The Oregonian*, 9/29/2018](#))

Swetnick's Ex-Boyfriend Filed A Restraining Order Against Her: 'She's Not Credible At All. Not At All'

Swetnick's Ex-Boyfriend: 'I Have A Lot Of Facts, Evidence, That What She's Saying Is Not True At All'

"Julie Swetnick ... had a restraining order filed against her years later in Miami by her former boyfriend. A Miami-Dade County court docket shows a petition for injunction against Swetnick was filed March 1, 2001, by her former boyfriend, Richard Vinneccy, who told POLITICO Wednesday the two had dated for four years before they broke up." (["Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser," *Politico*, 9/26/2018](#))

- **"According to Vinneccy, Swetnick threatened him after they broke up and even after he got married to his current wife and had a child. 'Right after I broke up with her, she was threatening my family, threatening my wife and threatening to do harm to my baby at that time,' Vinneccy said in a telephone interview with POLITICO. 'I know a lot about her.' 'She's not credible at all,' he said. 'Not at all.'"** (["Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser," *Politico*, 9/26/2018](#))
- **"Vinneccy, 63, is a registered Democrat, according to Miami-Dade County voting records."** (["Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser," *Politico*, 9/26/2018](#))

"Vinneccy made clear that he did not believe her story. 'I have a lot of facts, evidence, that what she's saying is not true at all,' he said. 'I would rather speak to my attorney first before saying more.'" (["Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser," *Politico*, 9/26/2018](#))

Swetnick Filed A Personal Injury Lawsuit In Maryland Against The Washington Metro (WMATA) Claiming 'She Lost More Than \$420,000 In Earnings After She Hurt Her Nose In A Fall On A Train In 1992.'

"Swetnick was on the other side of a civil case in 1994, as a plaintiff, when she filed a personal injury lawsuit in Maryland against the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority. She claimed she lost more than \$420,000 in earnings after she hurt her nose in a fall on a train in 1992. Swetnick, who described herself in court records as a model and actor, **claimed she had "numerous modeling commitments" with several companies at the time of the accident**

but missed out them because of her injuries.” ([“3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes,” The Associated Press, 9/30/2018](#))

- “To support her claim for lost wages, Swetnick named ‘Konam Studios’ as one of the companies promising to employ her. A court filing identified Nam Ko, a representative of ‘Kunam Studios,’ as a possible plaintiff’s witness for her case. Ko, however, told AP on Friday that he was just a friend of Swetnick’s and that he had never owned a company with a name spelled either way and had never agreed to pay her money for any work before she injured her nose. He said he first met Swetnick at a bar more than a year after her alleged accident. ([“3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes,” The Associated Press, 9/30/2018](#))
- “‘I didn’t have any money back then. I (was) broke as can be,’ Ko said. Ko said he has a hazy memory of Swetnick asking to use him as a ‘character reference’ but doesn’t recall hearing about her lawsuit. ‘I thought it was for a job application,’ he said.” ([“3rd Kavanaugh Accuser Has History Of Legal Disputes,” The Associated Press, 9/30/2018](#))

Swetnick Filed A Sexual-Harassment Complaint A Decade Ago In Which She Was Represented By Debra Katz’s Law Firm

“Roughly a decade ago, Ms. Swetnick was involved in a dispute with her former employer, New York Life Insurance Co., over a sexual-harassment complaint she filed, according to people familiar with the matter. Representing her in the complaint was the firm run by Debra Katz, the lawyer currently representing Dr. Ford.” ([“Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh,” The Wall Street Journal, 9/26/2018](#))

- “The company ultimately reached a financial settlement with Ms. Swetnick, the people said. A spokesman for New York Life confirmed that Ms. Swetnick worked there as an agent for less than two years, from 2006 to 2008.” ([“Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh,” The Wall Street Journal, 9/26/2018](#))

Swetnick Had Two Tax Liens Filed Against Her For A Total of Over \$100,000

THE WASHINGTON POST: “Swetnick has repeatedly encountered trouble paying her taxes over the last decade.” ([“Who Is Julie Swetnick, The Third Kavanaugh Accuser?,” The Washington Post, 9/26/2018](#))

- “In 2015, the state of Maryland filed an interstate lien against her property in the District. The bill included over \$32,000 in unpaid taxes from 2008, and another \$27,000 in interest on the seven-year-old debt. Court records reflect the full amount due of nearly \$63,000 was satisfied 15 months later, in December 2016. It is not clear from court records if the bill was paid or if the lien was released because of a decision that the bill was unwarranted.” ([“Who Is Julie Swetnick, The Third Kavanaugh Accuser?,” The Washington Post, 9/26/2018](#))
- “Similarly, the IRS in 2016 assessed Swetnick a bill of over \$40,000 in unpaid taxes from 2014. The federal government filed a lien on her property for the amount in 2017. The debt was listed as satisfied and lien was released in March of this year.” ([“Who Is Julie Swetnick, The Third Kavanaugh Accuser?,” The Washington Post, 9/26/2018](#))

Swetnick Has Been Involved In Three OTHER Court Cases In Maryland

Suburban Hospital, Inc, in Bethesda, MD, named Swetnick as a defendant in a civil case in 2005 over an amount of \$1788. The complaint was dismissed by the Montgomery County District Court. ([Montgomery County District Court – Civil System, Case Number 060100238082005, 11/18/2005](#)).

2 cases against a couple in 1993 (one for each person) whom she accused of repeated abusive telephone calls that were not prosecuted. ([Montgomery County District Court – Criminal System, Case Number 00703394D6, 7/01/1993](#); [Montgomery County District Court – Criminal System, Case Number 00703393D5, 7/01/1993](#))

###

**SENATE REPUBLICAN COMMUNICATIONS CENTER
202.228.NEWS**

From: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO"
(b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO"
(b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO"
(b)(6)

Subject: Fwd: UPDATED: Julie Swetnick: 'She's Not Credible At All'

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 13:00:42 +0000

Importance: Normal

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Abegg, John (McConnell)" (b)(6)
Date: September 27, 2018 at 8:59:40 AM EDT
To: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Subject: UPDATED: Julie Swetnick: 'She's Not Credible At All'

Revised document.

Note: upon further evaluation, we removed one of the court cases (changed from 6 to 5).

Thanks.

Julie Swetnick: 'She's Not Credible At All'

Julie Swetnick By The Numbers:

- One Sexual Harassment Lawsuit, Where She Was Represented By Debra Katz's Law Firm
- One Defamation Suit Filed Against Her In Oregon
- One Restraining Order Filed Against Her By Her Ex-Boyfriend In Florida
- Two Tax Liens Filed Against Her, Totaling Over \$100,000
- Five Court Cases In Maryland That She Was A Party To

Swetnick Filed A Sexual-Harassment Complaint A Decade Ago And Was Represented By Debra Katz's Law Firm

"Roughly a decade ago, Ms. Swetnick was involved in a dispute with her former employer, New York Life Insurance Co., over a sexual-harassment complaint she filed, according to people familiar with the matter. Representing her in the complaint was the firm run by Debra Katz, the lawyer currently representing Dr. Ford." ([Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh, The Wall Street Journal, 9/26/2018](#))

- “The company ultimately reached a financial settlement with Ms. Swetnick, the people said. A spokesman for New York Life confirmed that Ms. Swetnick worked there as an agent for less than two years, from 2006 to 2008.” ([“Third Woman, Julie Swetnick, Makes Allegations Against Supreme Court Nominee Brett Kavanaugh,” The Wall Street Journal, 9/26/2018](#))

Swetnick’s Ex-Boyfriend Filed A Restraining Order Against Her: ‘She’s Not Credible At All. Not At All’

Swetnick’s Ex-Boyfriend: ‘I Have A Lot Of Facts, Evidence, That What She’s Saying Is Not True At All’

“Julie Swetnick ... had a restraining order filed against her years later in Miami by her former boyfriend. A Miami-Dade County court docket shows a petition for injunction against Swetnick was filed March 1, 2001, by her former boyfriend, Richard Vinneccy, who told POLITICO Wednesday the two had dated for four years before they broke up.” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))

- “According to Vinneccy, Swetnick threatened him after they broke up and even after he got married to his current wife and had a child. ‘Right after I broke up with her, she was threatening my family, threatening my wife and threatening to do harm to my baby at that time,’ Vinneccy said in a telephone interview with POLITICO. ‘I know a lot about her.’ ‘She’s not credible at all,’ he said. ‘Not at all.’” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))
- “Vinneccy, 63, is a registered Democrat, according to Miami-Dade County voting records.” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))
- “Vinneccy made clear that he did not believe her story. ‘I have a lot of facts, evidence, that what she’s saying is not true at all,’ he said. ‘I would rather speak to my attorney first before saying more.’” ([“Ex-boyfriend Filed Restraining Order Against Third Kavanaugh Accuser,” Politico, 9/26/2018](#))

Swetnick Was Sued For Defamation By An Oregon Company

Swetnick was sued for defamation in 2000 by Webtrends Corporation in Oregon and a woman who appears to have been their HR Director. (Webtrends Corporation vs. Julie Swetnick, Oregon Judicial Department, Case Number 001112165, 11/27/2000)

Swetnick Had Two Tax Liens Filed Against Her For A Total of Over \$100,000

THE WASHINGTON POST: “Swetnick has repeatedly encountered trouble paying her taxes over the last decade.” ([“Who Is Julie Swetnick, The Third Kavanaugh Accuser?,” The Washington Post, 9/26/2018](#))

- “In 2015, the state of Maryland filed an interstate lien against her property in the District. The bill included over \$32,000 in unpaid taxes from 2008, and another \$27,000 in interest on the seven-year-old debt. Court records reflect the full amount due of nearly \$63,000 was satisfied 15 months later, in December 2016. It is not clear from court records if the bill was paid or if the lien was released because of a decision that the bill was unwarranted.” ([“Who Is Julie Swetnick, The Third Kavanaugh Accuser?,” The Washington Post, 9/26/2018](#))
- “Similarly, the IRS in 2016 assessed Swetnick a bill of over \$40,000 in unpaid taxes from 2014. The federal government filed a lien on her property for the amount in 2017. The debt was listed as satisfied and lien was released in March of this year.” ([“Who Is Julie Swetnick, The Third Kavanaugh Accuser?,” The Washington Post, 9/26/2018](#))

Swetnick Has Been Involved In 5 Court Cases In Maryland

- **Suburban Hospital, Inc, in Bethesda, MD, named Swetnick as a defendant in a civil case in 2005 over an amount of \$1788. The complaint was dismissed by the Montgomery County District Court.** ([Montgomery County District Court – Civil System, Case Number 060100238082005, 11/18/2005](#)).
- **The 2015 Maryland tax lien against her, for an amount of \$62,821. It was closed.** ([Anne Arundel Circuit Court – Civil, Case Number C-02-JG-15-017160, 10/02/2015](#)).
- **A 3-year personal injury negligence claim against WMATA (Metro) that Swetnick filed in 1994. The case was eventually dismissed without prejudice in 1997.** ([Montgomery County Circuit Court – Civil System, Case Number 125911V, 9/26/1994](#)).
- **2 cases against a couple in 1993 (one for each person) whom she accused of repeated abusive telephone calls that were not prosecuted.** ([Montgomery County District Court – Criminal System, Case Number 00703394D6, 7/01/1993](#); [Montgomery County District Court – Criminal System, Case Number 00703393D5, 7/01/1993](#)).

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: SCOTUS: Myth vs. Fact: Michael Avenatti's Statements on the Senate Judiciary Committee's Investigation into his Clients Allegations

Date: Thu, 27 Sep 2018 13:47:58 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image005.png; image006.png; image007.png; image008.png



COMMITTEE *on the* **JUDICIARY**
CHAIRMAN CHUCK GRASSLEY WWW.JUDICIARY.SENATE.GOV

Myth vs. Fact: Michael Avenatti's Statements on the Senate Judiciary Committee's Investigation into his Clients Allegations

Myth: Michael Avenatti alerted the Senate Judiciary Committee of his client's allegations on Sunday evening, but the Committee's investigators did not get back to him until Wednesday.

Fact: Chairman Grassley's Chief Counsel for Nominations proactively reached out to Michael Avenatti within ten minutes after he tweeted out his vague and anonymous allegations against Judge Kavanaugh on Sunday night. Committee investigators specifically requested that Mr. Avenatti "advise us of [the] information immediately so that Senate investigators may promptly begin an inquiry."

Instead of responding to our request, an hour and a half later, Mr. Avenatti sent a list of questions he demanded be posed to Judge Kavanaugh "without delay." The investigators responded within 20 minutes, reiterating requests for information. Instead of providing evidence, Mr. Avenatti again changed the subject, inquiring about testimony of a witness for a separate investigation for separate allegations.

For the third time Sunday night, Committee investigators specifically requested evidence of the allegations, so that they could meaningfully begin an investigation. On Monday morning, Mr. Avenatti sent a list of demands of the Committee before he would be willing to share any information at all. Instead of responding to his demands of the Senate Judiciary Committee, including informing him alone of still-confidential portions of our investigation, the Committee instead continued its investigation.

The committee staff have made six separate attempts to gather further information from Mr. Avenatti, and has repeatedly invited him and his client to a staff interview.

Myth: The Senate Judiciary Committee has not investigated the claims raised by Mr. Avenatti on behalf of his clients.

Fact: Chairman Grassley's Committee investigators immediately moved to set up a follow-up interview with Judge Kavanaugh regarding the claims in our possession regarding Mr. Avenatti's client. Despite Mr.

Avenatti's refusal to cooperate or provide any information so that more specific questions could be asked, Committee investigators interviewed Judge Kavanaugh on Tuesday. Committee investigators even specifically asked the questions posed by Mr. Avenatti. Judge Kavanaugh unequivocally denied all claims alleged by Mr. Avenatti. Committee investigators asked him again about the allegations in an interview on the night of September 26. Judge Kavanaugh forcefully and unequivocally denied all allegations.

Mr. Avenatti appears to be offended that the Senate Judiciary Committee has not given him complete access to our internal investigation, but to do so would be inappropriate. The Constitution entrusts the United States Senate, not Mr. Avenatti, with the advice-and-consent duties.

Myth: Michael Avenatti argues that an FBI investigation was necessary.

Fact: As then-Chairman Biden [stated](#): "The next person who refers to an FBI report as being worth anything obviously doesn't understand anything. FBI explicitly does not, in this or any other case, reach a conclusion." The Senate Judiciary Committee's investigators are more than capable of investigating the claims presented by Mr. Avenatti. Even if the FBI were to engage in a new background check to take into account these allegations, the FBI would simply report their interviews with the relevant witnesses. This is no more than the Senate Judiciary Committee has done and continues to do.

Mr. Avenatti conditioned his willingness to cooperate with Senate investigators on the existence of an FBI investigation. The Senate Judiciary Committee does not need permission from an Executive Branch agency to perform the Senate's constitutional duties. We have and will continue to conduct our independent investigation of these allegations.

Myth: The Senate Judiciary Committee is hiding witnesses.

Fact: Mr. Avenatti repeatedly demanded Mark Judge be subpoenaed to testify regarding his client's claim prior to the committee even being provided any evidence regarding these claims. Mr. Judge has [stated](#) that he "never saw Brett act in the manner Dr. Ford describes."

The repeated, systematic, drug-fueled, assault allegations set out by Mr. Avenatti's client do not comport with Mr. Judge's statement to the committee—made under penalty of felony. Demanding a subpoena of Mr. Judge prior to presenting any evidence to the Committee reflects a partisan stunt uninterested in finding the truth efficiently and effectively.

-30-



Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)
To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Cc: "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: 60 Minutes

Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2018 19:32:28 +0000

Importance: Normal

Yeah. McConnell has been trying to manage.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 29, 2018, at 3:25 PM, Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:



Laura Litvan (@[LauraLitvan](#))

[9/29/18, 2:12 PM](#)

60 Minutes just announced that Jeff Flake and Chris Coons will lead the show tomorrow night to talk about their last-minute compromise for a Brett Kavanaugh investigation.

[Download](#) the Twitter app

From: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

Subject: SCOTUS: Matthew Long

Date: Tue, 2 Oct 2018 00:04:57 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.png

Matthew Long, a former colleague of Rachel Mitchell's criticized her memo about Dr. Ford's testimony in an [interview](#) with Mother Jones.

Matthew Long praised the decision to hire Mitchell not even a week ago:

Less than a week ago, Long gave a phone interview to Pinal Central (local news). In that [interview](#), Long said:

- "She's the best. She does the right things for the right reasons. She understands the complexities of sexual abuse ... and she is someone who advanced both victim's rights and offender's due process rights."
- "She has the ability, perhaps more than anyone else, to evaluate the credibility of a victim or offender,"
- "And (she's) someone who is ethical, fair, and the type of person you want when those types of offices wield that kind of power and have a ton of influence over people's lives,"
- "She's one that's demonstrated that she cares about what's true, not just about getting some guy. Rachel taught me that the process and the investigations matter, and they matter to protect victims. And if the prosecutions and investigations are not correct, both the accuser and the accused are damaged by the system."

In an [AP story](#) dated September 27, Long said: "She is about evidence-based approaches and isn't an activist on one side or the other. She is rare: a career prosecutor who is bound to issues rather than politics."

Long is currently defending a former police officer accused of molesting two girls decades ago. In a [June 25, 2018](#) story, Long says, "The system that's in place harms victims and defendants alike in these types of cases because **it doesn't do the victim any good to bring cases many, many years later that weren't adequately investigated**. But too often, what [prosecutors] are relying on is that the allegations are so shocking and so disturbing that the truth doesn't matter."

Less than a week ago, Matthew Long thought Rachel Mitchell was "the best" and exceptionally qualified to "evaluate the credibility of a victim or offender." He claimed she did not do things for partisan reasons, and cared about the truth. What a difference a week makes.

Best,
Taylor

Taylor Foy | *Communications Director*

 [Senate Judiciary Committee](#)

Chairman Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa)

202-224-6708 | [Get The SCOOP](#)

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: text of letter from BK

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 22:20:23 -0000

Importance: Normal

Dear Chairman Grassley:

Thank you for the invitation to appear before the Senate Committee on the Judiciary on Monday, September 24. I will be there. I look forward to the opportunity to testify before the Committee.

I also appreciate the time that Committee staff took to interview me on Monday, September 17. During that interview, I twice requested a Committee hearing for the following day, Tuesday, September 18. I continue to want a hearing as soon as possible, so that I can clear my name.

Since the moment I first heard this allegation, I have categorically and unequivocally denied it. I remain committed to defending my integrity.

Sincerely,

Brett M. Kavanaugh

From: "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: Kavanaugh Response to Invitation to Testify

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 22:04:30 +0000

Importance: Normal

Ok I will send to the WH pool

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 20, 2018, at 5:55 PM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 5:44 PM

To: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6);

Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO

(b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: FW: Kavanaugh Response to Invitation to Testify

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 5:41 PM

To: 'Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)' (b)(6); 'Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)'

(b)(6); (b)(6) Katharine Willey (Judiciary-Rep)

Subject: Kavanaugh Response to Invitation to Testify

All:

Attached please find Judge Kavanaugh's response to the Committee's invitation to testify in support of his nomination on Monday, September 24, 2018.

Warmly, Sean

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

<2018-09-20 Kavanaugh Letter to Grassley.pdf>

September 20, 2018

The Honorable Charles Grassley, Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510


Dear Chairman Grassley:

Thank you for the invitation to appear before the Senate Committee on the Judiciary on Monday, September 24. I will be there. I look forward to the opportunity to testify before the Committee.

I also appreciate the time that Committee staff took to interview me on Monday, September 17. During that interview, I twice requested a Committee hearing for the following day, Tuesday, September 18. I continue to want a hearing as soon as possible, so that I can clear my name.

Since the moment I first heard this allegation, I have categorically and unequivocally denied it. I remain committed to defending my integrity.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Brett M. Kavanaugh". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Brett M. Kavanaugh

From: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Cc: "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: RE: BK's edits

Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2018 20:08:00 -0000

Importance: Normal

It's fine. Will do.

From: Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 4:02 PM

To: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Cc: Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Subject: Re: BK's edits

(b)(5)

(b)(5) ? If not, go.

On Sep 20, 2018, at 3:56 PM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

Dear Chairman Grassley:

Thank you for the invitation to appear before the Senate Committee on the Judiciary on Monday, September 24. I will be there. I look forward to the opportunity to testify before the Committee.

I also appreciate the time that Committee staff took to interview me on Monday, September 17. During that interview, I twice requested a Committee hearing for the following day, Tuesday, September 18. I continue to want a hearing as soon as possible, so that I can clear my name.

Since the moment I first heard this allegation, I have categorically and unequivocally denied it. I remain committed to defending my integrity.

Sincerely,

Brett M. Kavanaugh

From: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

To: (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO"
(b)(6)

Subject: Do we have

Date: Wed, 3 Oct 2018 23:21:05 +0000

Importance: Normal

The quote from his friend the day if he hearing from TV that he was surprised at the emotion coming from BK, that he's the solid middle uniter type?

From: "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
To: "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)
Cc: "Bash, Zina" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: SCOTUS -- Ashley face-to-face meetings with 4 senators on Monday

Date: Mon, 1 Oct 2018 00:38:42 +0000

Importance: Normal

After a further conversation, sounds like Grassley's team is interested in having her meet with them all as a group, not individually. I think (b)(5)

. They are also willing, after all, to help schedule. Will plan to have them proceed on those lines.

Will also continue to express our desire to eliminate a media circus, and (b)(5). In my view, (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 30, 2018, at 8:19 PM, Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

I talked to Davis.

If Ashley is willing, two remaining Qs are 1) whether the Members are willing and 2) whether we can avoid a media circus.

On 1) Davis says the outreach has to come from us not Grassley but he can't imagine them saying no. So I suppose (b)(5)

On 2) Davis says he likes the image of her walking through the front door of their offices. (b)(5)

So sounds like Davis wants us to do it and (I gather) Ashley is willing and (b)(5)

In that case, should we (b)(5)?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 30, 2018, at 7:09 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Yes. It would be fair to say (b)(5)

On Sep 30, 2018, at 7:03 PM, Bash, Zina (b)(6) wrote:

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 30, 2018, at 6:02 PM, Bash, Zina (b)(6) wrote:

Got it. So would it be fair (b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 30, 2018, at 6:00 PM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Megan was running down some details since this isn't the first time it has come up and fizzled last time. It's unclear whether the members mentioned are actually open to it and the logistics are very important.

On Sep 30, 2018, at 6:50 PM, Bash, Zina (b)(6) wrote:

Annie, Davis mentioned the below (proposed meeting between Ashley and a few senators) to one of BK's clerks who then asked BK about it. (b)(5)

Let me know if (b)(5)

Sent from my

Thank you,

Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations

United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman

224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)

Sent: Sunday, September 30, 2018 5:17 PM

To: McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Cc: Annie Donaldson EOP/WHO

(b)(6) Amy Swonger EOP/WHO; Megan Lacy (b)(6)

Subject: SCOTUS -- Ashley face-to-face meetings with 4 senators on Monday

Importance: High

Grassley wants this to happen:

1. Graham
2. Flake
3. Collins
4. Murkowski

Thank you,

Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations

United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman

224 Dirksen Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

From: "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)

To: "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

Cc: "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

Subject: Re: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2018 14:10:35 +0000

Importance: Normal

Inline-Images: image001.png

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 10:06 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

These fine for quick and dirty talkers?

(b)(5)

From: Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2018 9:24 AM

To: Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

Cc: Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

Subject: FW: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Can you push this raw information to the relevant folks on the Committee?

~~~

(b)(5)

---

**From:** Wong, Candice (CRM)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:49 AM

**To:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

(b)(5)

Jennie, here's some additional (b)(5)

(b)(5)

---

**From:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:29 AM

**To:** Wong, Candice (CRM) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 9:27 AM, Wong, Candice (CRM) [REDACTED] wrote:

Here are some quick hits:

(b)(5)

---

**From:** Talley, Brett (OLP)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:26 AM

**To:** Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (CRM)

(b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Quickly, would be good. (b)(5)

---

**From:** Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:25 AM

**To:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Wong, Candice (CRM)

(b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Got it. I'll ask Bernie and Bethany to do these things.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 9:08 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

Hey guys. (b)(5)

Frago, (b)(5)

that would be helpful.

---

**From:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:05 AM  
**To:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

(b)(5), that would be great.

---

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO  
**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 8:39 AM  
**To:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Subject:** Re: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

May be worth a tweet.

Sean Sandoloski  
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel  
(b)(6)  
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

On Sep 28, 2018, at 7:09 AM, Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Yes. Definitely. Thanks.

On Sep 28, 2018, at 6:38 AM, Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

A couple of points on the ABA's call for a delay for those going on TV this AM:

(b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski  
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel  
(b)(6)  
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

(b)(5)

**From:** "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**To:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)"

(b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 14:09:00 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Inline-Images:** image001.png

---

Look good ot me.

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 10:06 AM

**To:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6);

Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** FW: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

# Duplicative Material

**From:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 14:01:41 -0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Inline-Images:** image001.png

---

Thoughts on this?

(b)(5)

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:24 AM

**To:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Subject:** FW: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Duplicative Material



**From:** "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**Cc:** "Wong, Candice (CRM)" (b)(6), "Lichter, Jennifer (OLP)" (b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 13:52:41 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

(b)(5)

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 9:50 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

(b)(5)

---

**From:** Wong, Candice (CRM)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:49 AM

**To:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Duplicative Material

**From:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** FW: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 13:29:03 -0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

**From:** Wong, Candice (CRM)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:27 AM

**To:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

# Duplicative Material

**From:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** FW: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 13:27:46 -0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

**From:** Wong, Candice (CRM)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:27 AM

**To:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Lichter, Jennifer (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Duplicative Material

**From:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 13:36:19 -0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Inline-Images:** image001.png

---

Give us five minutes to get this right, please.

---

**From:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:30 AM

**To:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6);

Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Need to get it out. Even Fox is getting it wrong.

---

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:22 AM

**To:** Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM



Sean Sandoloski  
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)  
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:15 AM

**To:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Cc:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO

(b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Write it for me ASAP guys

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 9:14 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

Agreed. Make the public statement now and then (b)(5) OLP is on it.

---

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:12 AM

**To:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

(b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:03 AM

**To:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Can we get a confirmation of this? Not the rating panel pulling their rating?

---

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 8:39 AM

**To:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

Duplicative Material

**From:** "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**To:** "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)


**Cc:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** FW: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 13:23:42 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Inline-Images:** image001.png



Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:21 AM

**To:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

(b)(5) Do tweet clarification. (b)(5)

---

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:19 AM

**To:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

(b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)  
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO  
**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:16 AM  
**To:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

(b)(5) Just package this up is perfect. Thanks, guys!

---

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO  
**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:14 AM  
**To:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

And to answer Annie's question, the letter from the ABA came from its President --- not from the Standing Committee on the Federal Judiciary.

(b)(5)

Sean Sandoloski  
Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)  
O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO  
**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:12 AM  
**To:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP); Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Subject:** RE: ABA Points for TV Hits This AM

# Duplicative Material

**From:** "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**To:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: Hold off on calling ABA

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 13:36:36 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

<https://twitter.com/ZoeTillman/status/1045660325742366720>

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:27 AM

**To:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP) (b)(6); Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO

(b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: Hold off on calling ABA

Sando says the letter was signed by Robert M. Carlson.

---

**From:** Fragoso, Michael (OLP)

**Sent:** Friday, September 28, 2018 9:25 AM

**To:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: Hold off on calling ABA

Was it not the Standing Committee that called for the investigation?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 9:17 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:



**From:** "Fragoso, Michael (OLP)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**Cc:** "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: Hold off on calling ABA

**Date:** Fri, 28 Sep 2018 13:30:02 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

Yeah he's the president. Paul should put out a statement distancing themselves from this.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 28, 2018, at 9:26 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

Duplicative Material

**From:** "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)  
**To:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)  
**Cc:** "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

**Date:** Tue, 2 Oct 2018 12:09:02 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

And I meant \*rest\* of the correspondence. Obviously at a loss for words.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2018, at 8:07 AM, Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6) wrote:

I would like to note, to be recorded in the annals of the Republic and for all posterity, this is disgusting and they should be ashamed of themselves.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 2, 2018, at 8:04 AM, Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

Here's the letter. Sorry, didn't mean to forward the rear of the correspondence.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Chris Michel (b)(6)  
**Date:** October 2, 2018 at 8:02:58 AM EDT  
**To:** "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/ Who" (b)(6)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Ferguson, Andrew (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6)  
**Date:** Tue, Oct 2, 2018 at 7:26 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Letter to the Judiciary Committee  
**To:** (b)(6) Chris Michel

Best,  
Andrew

----- Original message -----

From: "Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)  
Date: 10/2/18 6:33 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6), "Ferguson, Andrew  
(Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6), "Foy, Taylor (Judiciary-Rep)"  
(b)(6)  
Subject: Fwd: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Ugh

----- Original message -----

From: Bridget Fahey (b)(6)  
Date: 10/1/18 11:34 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Davis, Kolan (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6), "Duck, Jennifer (Judiciary-  
Dem)" (b)(6)  
Subject: Letter to the Judiciary Committee

Please find a letter from three of Judge Kavanaugh's former law clerks attached.

Best,

Bridget

<Letter - October 1.pdf>

October 1, 2018

The Honorable Chuck Grassley, Chairman  
Committee on the Judiciary  
United States Senate  
135 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, Ranking Member  
Committee on the Judiciary  
United States Senate  
331 Hart Senate Office Building  
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein:

We are former clerks to Judge Kavanaugh. In early July, we joined letters sent to this committee describing our positive experiences with the Judge as a boss and mentor on the D.C. Circuit. Over the weekend, the committee publicized quotations from those letters, creating the impression that they were responsive to the serious allegations raised in the last several weeks. We write to clarify that, like many Americans, we have been deeply troubled by those allegations and the events surrounding them and were encouraged by the initiation of a formal FBI investigation, which we believe is warranted. We hope, for the good of everyone involved, that this investigation will be independent and thorough.

Sincerely,

Will Dreher, Bridget Fahey, and Rakim Brooks

**From:** "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6) >

**Subject:** SCOTUS | Two New Letters

**Date:** Wed, 3 Oct 2018 01:19:54 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Inline-Images:** image001.png

---

Good evening,

Chairman Grassley sent two separate letters to his colleagues today regarding allegations targeting Judge Kavanaugh:

- [Letter to Sen. Coons](#)

**NOTE:** "This allegation has all the makings of a tabloid headline. But there's just one problem. The accuser freely admits to having no evidence whatsoever that Judge Kavanaugh even attended this party. ... We've reached a new level of absurdity with this allegation. ... My investigators have serious work to do. While the Minority has refused to engage with the allegations against Judge Kavanaugh in any meaningful way, my investigators have tried to pursue every relevant lead."

- [Letter to Committee Democrats](#) and summary of investigations

**NOTE:** "This supplemental investigation was requested by undecided members of both parties to help them determine whether to support Judge Kavanaugh's confirmation. Am I to understand from your request that all of you are reconsidering your opposition to Judge Kavanaugh depending on what the FBI comes back with?"

Best,  
Taylor

**Taylor Foy** | *Communications Director*

 [Senate Judiciary Committee](#)

Chairman Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa)

202-224-6708 | [Get The SCOOP](#)

Thank you,  
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations  
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary  
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman  
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

**From:** "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)  
**To:** "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)  
**Cc:** "Mark Champoux" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), (b)(6) Michael Fragoso (OLP), "Turner, Roslyne (Judiciary)" (b)(6), "Mehler, Lauren (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: SCOTUS -- Day 5  
**Date:** Tue, 18 Sep 2018 13:49:45 +0000  
**Importance:** Normal

---

Same as last time across the board works for us. Thank you!

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2018, at 9:48 AM, Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) (b)(6) wrote:

I presume that we will setup Day 5 next Monday like Days 1 through 4.

We are going to try to secure the Finance hold room again for BK. We will also try to have the war rooms.

How many Admin seats do you need? Same as last time?

What else do you need?

Thank you,  
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations  
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary  
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman  
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)  
(b)(6) (cell)  
202-224-9102 (fax)  
(b)(6)

**From:** "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**To:** "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), (b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP),  
"Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6),  
"Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** FBI

**Date:** Wed, 26 Sep 2018 17:08:28 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

Can we keep pushing or re push the Biden clips

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**To:** "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

(b)(6) Brett Talley (OLP), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO"

(b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** Ex-boyfriend filed restraining order against third Kavanaugh accuser - POLITICO

**Date:** Thu, 27 Sep 2018 00:12:36 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

<https://www.politico.com/story/2018/09/26/ex-boyfriend-filed-restraining-order-against-kavanaugh-accuser-845348>

Sean Sandoloski

Associate Counsel | Office of White House Counsel

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6) | C: (b)(6)



**From:** "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** SCOTUS -- POSTPONEMENT Notice of a Committee Hearing on Monday, September 24

**Date:** Fri, 21 Sep 2018 22:19:34 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

September 21, 2018

**POSTPONEMENT NOTICE OF COMMITTEE HEARING CONTINUATION**

The Senate Committee on the Judiciary hearing on the nomination of the Honorable Brett M. Kavanaugh to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States scheduled to continue Monday, September 24, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 216 of the Hart Senate Office Building **has been postponed.**

By order of the Chairman.

Jason A. Covey  
Hearing Clerk | Senate Judiciary Committee  
202-224-5225  
<http://judiciary.senate.gov>

Thank you,  
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations  
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary  
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman  
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

**From:** "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

**To:** "Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** SCOTUS: Grassley Hires Experienced Prosecutor to Question Witnesses

**Date:** Wed, 26 Sep 2018 02:11:29 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Inline-Images:** image002.png; image004.png; image006.png; image008.png

---



**COMMITTEE** *on the* **JUDICIARY**  
CHAIRMAN CHUCK GRASSLEY [WWW.JUDICIARY.SENATE.GOV](http://WWW.JUDICIARY.SENATE.GOV)

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Tuesday, September 25, 2018

## Grassley Hires Experienced Prosecutor to Question Witnesses During Thursday's Session of Kavanaugh Confirmation Hearing

**WASHINGTON** – Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley said he has asked Rachel Mitchell, a career prosecutor with decades of experience prosecuting sex crimes, to question the witnesses scheduled to testify on Thursday at the committee's continuation of its hearing to consider the nomination of Judge Brett Kavanaugh to serve on the United States Supreme Court.

Mitchell is serving as nomination investigative counsel for the majority members on the committee for consideration of this nomination.

*"As I have said, I'm committed to providing a forum to both Dr. Ford and Judge Kavanaugh on Thursday that is safe, comfortable and dignified. The majority members have followed the bipartisan recommendation to hire as staff counsel for the committee an experienced career sex-crimes prosecutor to question the witnesses at Thursday's hearing. The goal is to de-politicize the process and get to the truth, instead of grandstanding and giving senators an opportunity to launch their presidential campaigns. I'm very appreciative that Rachel Mitchell has stepped forward to serve in this important and serious role. Ms. Mitchell has been recognized in the legal community for her experience and objectivity," Grassley said. "I've worked to give Dr. Ford an opportunity to share serious allegations with committee members in any format she'd like after learning of the allegations. I promised Dr. Ford that I would do everything in my power to avoid a repeat of the 'circus' atmosphere in the hearing room that we saw the week of September 4. I've taken this additional step to have questions asked by expert staff counsel to establish the most fair and respectful treatment of the witnesses possible."*

Mitchell came to the committee staff from Arizona, where she is on leave as Deputy County Attorney in the Maricopa County Attorney's Office in Phoenix and the Division Chief of the Special Victims Division, which consists of sex-crimes and family-violence bureaus. She had served as a prosecutor since 1993. She previously spent 12 years running the bureau in the Division responsible for the prosecution of sex-related felonies, including child molestation, adult sexual assault, cold cases, child prostitution and computer-related sexual offenses. She also supervised a satellite bureau responsible for the prosecution of felonies including child

molestation, adult sexual assault, child physical abuse and neglect, elder abuse, stalking, and domestic violence. She is a widely recognized expert on the investigation and prosecution of sex crimes, and has frequently served as a speaker and instructor on the subject. In particular, Mitchell has for many years instructed detectives, prosecutors, child-protection workers and social workers on the best practices for forensic interviews of victims of sex crimes.

In 2013, Mitchell received the David R. White Excellence in Victim Advocacy Award from the Arizona Prosecuting Attorneys' Advisory Council. In 2006, she was named Prosecutor of the Year by the Maricopa County Attorney's Office, and she received the Outstanding Child Abuse Legal Professional Award for Excellence from the Arizona Children's Justice Task Force. And in 2003, she was recognized by Governor Janet Napolitano and Attorney General Terry Goddard as the Outstanding Arizona Sexual Assault Prosecutor of the Year.

-30-



Thank you,  
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations  
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary  
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman  
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building  
Washington, DC 20510

(b)(6) (direct)

(b)(6) (cell)

202-224-9102 (fax)

(b)(6)

**From:** "Tuck, Christopher M. EOP/OVP" (b)(6)  
**To:** "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)  
**Cc:** "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Dickey, Jennifer B. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Gramley, Shannon M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Morgan, Matthew E. EOP/OVP" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Bash, Zina" (b)(6), "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "LaVerghetta, Dino L. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Niceta, Rickie C. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Bonner, Maria K. EOP/OVP" (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: Today

**Date:** Sat, 6 Oct 2018 17:25:55 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

212 it is then.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 6, 2018, at 1:20 PM, Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO (b)(6) wrote:

All,

We have arranged 2 CARPETS to depart WEST EXEC at 2:15PM with a destination of SENATE STEPS for the vote. Following the vote, we have 2 CARPETS to depart SENATE STEPS with a destination of WEST EXEC tentatively at 4:15PM. Per Tuck's most recent update, the Senate vote will likely occur between 3:30PM – 3:45 PM. So that we are all on the same page and given that it looks to be a disaster at the Capitol please follow the directions in Maria Bonner's email exactly on entry. I have provided again below so that there is not any confusion on the pass front. Given that gallery passes are extremely limited you **must** pick up in OVP S-212 so we are not confusing the process. If you have any questions please let me know. Please arrive no later than 2:45PM if you are not taking CARPET because it is a mob out there.

Dear Guests:

The Vice President, as President of the United States Senate, invites you to the Capitol to view the floor vote on Judge Brett Kavanaugh's nomination to serve as an Associate Justice on the United States Supreme Court scheduled for tomorrow (Saturday, October 6).

This invitation is extended to you personally and is non-transferable. Please bring photo identification – a driver's license or passport is preferred. WHO and OVP guests, please note that your White House badge photo identification may not be honored.

Find below entry instructions.

- Tentatively plan to arrive at the Capitol tomorrow afternoon between 3 and 5pm, but look for another email tomorrow confirming the vote time and providing an arrival time recommendation.
- Please arrive at the “North Capitol security booth,” the entrance near the corner of Constitution Avenue and Northeast Drive (map attached).
- At the booth, inform security that you have an appointment in the Vice President's office with Chelsea Quinn, Room S-212.
- Once inside the Capitol building, stop at the first desk on your left – “the Appointments Desk,” where you will need to show a photo identification and receive your visitor’s badge.
- The Appointments Desk will provide directions to the Vice President’s Office (S-212).
- Once at the Vice President’s Office, you will receive a Gallery pass and be ushered to your Gallery seat.

Please note that, before you enter the Gallery, you must leave your electronic devices in a cubby or locker. There is no use of electronic devices in the Gallery.

Liz Horning


(b)(6)

O: (b)(6)

C: (b)(6)

<North Capitol Security Booth.JPG>

  
North Capitol  
Security Booth



**From:** "Bonner, Maria K. EOP/OVP" (b)(6)  
**To:** "Bonner, Maria K. EOP/OVP" (b)(6)  
**Cc:** "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Quinn, Chelsea N. EOP/OVP" (b)(6), "Gramley, Shannon M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Subject:** Invitation from the Senate President

**Date:** Fri, 5 Oct 2018 22:06:54 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Attachments:** North\_Capitol\_Security\_Booth.JPG

---

Dear Guests:

The Vice President, as President of the United States Senate, invites you to the Capitol to view the floor vote on Judge Brett Kavanaugh's nomination to serve as an Associate Justice on the United States Supreme Court scheduled for tomorrow (Saturday, October 6).

This invitation is extended to you personally and is non-transferable. Please bring photo identification – a driver's license or passport is preferred. WHO and OVP guests, please note that your White House badge photo identification may not be honored.

Find below entry instructions.

- Tentatively plan to arrive at the Capitol tomorrow afternoon between 3 and 5pm, but look for another email tomorrow confirming the vote time and providing an arrival time recommendation.
- Please arrive at the "North Capitol security booth," the entrance near the corner of Constitution Avenue and Northeast Drive (map attached).
- At the booth, inform security that you have an appointment in the Vice President's office with Chelsea Quinn, Room S-212.
- Once inside the Capitol building, stop at the first desk on your left – "the Appointments Desk," where you will need to show a photo identification and receive your visitor's badge.
- The Appointments Desk will provide directions to the Vice President's Office (S-212).
- Once at the Vice President's Office, you will receive a Gallery pass and be ushered to your Gallery seat.

Please note that, before you enter the Gallery, you must leave your electronic devices in a cubby or locker. There is no use of electronic devices in the Gallery.

If you have urgent entry issues on arrival, please contact Liz Horning (b)(6) or Chelsea Quinn (b)(6).

Kind regards, Maria K. Bonner  
Deputy Associate Counsel to the Vice President

**From:** "Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)  
**To:** "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Clark, Justin R. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6), "Sandoloski, Sean M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Murray, Claire M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lacy, Megan M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Kupec, Kerri A. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Michel, Christopher G. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Burnham, James M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "LaVerghetta, Dino L. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Swonger, Amy H. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "Lyons, Derek S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)  
**Cc:** "Gramley, Shannon M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)  
**Subject:** FW: Invitation from the Senate President  
**Date:** Fri, 5 Oct 2018 11:58:03 +0000  
**Importance:** Normal  
**Attachments:** North\_Capitol\_Security\_Booth.JPG

---

Carpet will depart West Exec to head to the Capitol at **9:00AM this morning.**

Liz Horning

(b)(6)

O: (b)(6)

C: (b)(6)

---

**From:** Bonner, Maria K. EOP/OVP  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 4, 2018 11:02 PM  
**To:** Bonner, Maria K. EOP/OVP (b)(6)  
**Cc:** Horning, Liz A. EOP/WHO (b)(6); Quinn, Chelsea N. EOP/OVP (b)(6); Gramley, Shannon M. EOP/WHO (b)(6)  
**Subject:** Invitation from the Senate President

Dear Guests:

The Vice President, as President of the United States Senate, invites you to the Capitol to view the cloture vote on Judge Brett Kavanaugh's nomination to serve as an Associate Justice on the United States Supreme Court.

This invitation is extended to you personally and is non-transferable. Please bring photo identification – a driver's license or passport is preferred. WHO and OVP guests, please note that your White House badge photo identification may not be honored.

Find below entry instructions.

- Plan to arrive at the United States Capitol between 9:15 and 9:45am.
- Please arrive at the "North Capitol security booth," the entrance near the corner of Constitution Ave and Northeast Drive (map attached).



- At the security booth, inform security that you have an appointment in the Vice President's office with Chelsea Quinn, Room S-212.
- Once inside the Capitol building, stop at the first desk on your left – “the Appointments Desk,” where you will need to show a photo identification and will receive your visitor’s badge.
- The Appointments Desk will provide directions to the Vice President’s Office (S-212).
- Once at the Vice President’s Office, you will be ushered to your seat in the Gallery.

Please note that, before you enter the Gallery, you must leave your electronic devices in a cubby or locker. There is no use of electronic devices in the Gallery.

If you have urgent entry issues on arrival, please contact Liz Horning ((b)(6) ) or Chelsea Quinn ((b)(6) ).

Kind regards, Maria K. Bonner  
Deputy Associate Counsel to the Vice President

**From:** "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**To:** "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Cc:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: DRAFT: Press Guidance

**Date:** Thu, 4 Oct 2018 04:14:19 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

Love the edits. All good on my end.

I wanted to hit send once the car headed out of our complex. But ya'll tell me what we can do.

---

**From:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Thursday, October 4, 2018 12:12 AM

**To:** Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO (b)(6); McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO

(b)(6)

**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: DRAFT: Press Guidance

Suggested edits below in red. Might have some tense issues with is being transmitted or has been transmitted.

---

**From:** Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 3, 2018 11:28 PM

**To:** Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO (b)(6); McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO

(b)(6)

**Cc:** Talley, Brett (OLP) (b)(6)

**Subject:** DRAFT: Press Guidance

OTR statement is for the press. TPs are internal, for our people going out tomorrow:

### ON THE RECORD STATEMENT

"The White House has received the Federal Bureau of Investigation's supplemental background investigation into Judge Kavanaugh, and it is being transmitted to the Senate. With Leader McConnell's cloture filing, Senators have been given ample time to review this seventh background investigation. This is the last addition to the most comprehensive review of a Supreme Court nominee in history, which includes extensive hearings, multiple committee interviews, over 1,200 questions for the record and over a half million pages of documents. With this additional information, the White House is fully confident the Senate will vote to confirm Judge Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court." – White House Spokesman Raj Shah

### TALKING POINTS FOR OUR SURROGATES

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

**Raj Shah**  
Deputy Assistant to the President  
The White House  
Cell: (b)(6)  
@RajShah45

**From:** "Shah, Raj S. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**To:** "Donaldson, Annie M. EOP/WHO" (b)(6), "McGahn, Donald F. EOP/WHO" (b)(6)

**Cc:** "Talley, Brett (OLP)" (b)(6)

**Subject:** DRAFT: Press Guidance

**Date:** Thu, 4 Oct 2018 03:28:12 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

OTR statement is for the press. TPs are internal, for our people going out tomorrow:

### ON THE RECORD STATEMENT

“The White House has received the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s supplemental background investigation into Judge Kavanaugh, and it is being transmitted to the Senate. With Leader McConnell’s cloture filing, Senators have been given ample time to review this seventh background investigation. This is the last addition to the most comprehensive review of a Supreme Court nominee in history, which includes extensive hearings, multiple committee interviews, over 1,200 questions for the record and over a half million pages of documents. With this additional information, the White House is fully confident the Senate will vote to confirm Judge Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court.” – White House Spokesman Raj Shah

### TALKING POINTS FOR OUR SURROGATES



(b)(5)

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

(b)(5)

**Raj Shah**  
Deputy Assistant to the President  
The White House  
Cell: (b)(6)  
@RajShah45